Response to: Correspondence on "New EULAR/ ACR 2019 SLE classification criteria: defining ominosity in SLE" by Whittall Garcia *et al*

We thank Dr Pons-Estel and colleagues¹ for their interest in our² paper proposing that a score of 20 or more in the 2019 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology (EULAR/ACR) classification criteria³ ⁴ predicts more severe disease activity in the following 5 years after the systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) classification.²

Pons-Estel *et al*¹ demonstrated that 98 (15.31%) patients who were classified by 1982/1997 ACR criteria, but not classified as SLE with the 2019 EULAR/ACR classification criteria, having a score of less than 10 points, accrued less damage compared with those who had a score of $\geq 10.^{5}$ In our cohort of patients with SLE, only 16 (1.8%) had a EULAR/ ACR score of less than 10. The 1997 ACR domain involvement of this subgroup of patients was predominated by skin, musculoskeletal and haematological involvement, and only rarely had severe organ-threatening disease (figure 1). We did not specifically assess their disease course compared with those who did score 10 or more. We recognise the possible utility of this analysis and congratulate the authors on their interesting results.⁵

The findings from Ugarte-Gil *et al*^{5 6} and Carneiro *et al*⁷ suggest an association between the EULAR/ACR score and damage accrual. In our recent work² we did not find a correlation between the EULAR/ACR score at baseline and damage at 5 years after SLE classification. We acknowledge that 5 years is too early to adequately establish damage accrual and are currently looking into the possible implications of a higher EULAR/ACR score at diagnosis and long-term outcomes.

We agree with the authors regarding the possible novel use of the new 2019 EULAR/ACR SLE classification criteria. Indeed, taking together the results from Ugarte-Gil *et al*,⁵ Carneiro *et al*⁷ and our findings,² using these criteria as a predictor of outcomes in patients with SLE is possible. This concept is certainly of interest as it could facilitate upfront patient stratification, leading to early recognition of patients at higher risk of an 'ominous' outcome.

We also agree that further studies on separate cohorts are needed to corroborate the results and cut-offs.





Laura Whittall-Garcia,¹ Dafna D Gladman [©] ,¹ Murray Urowitz [©] ,¹ Jiandong Su,² Zahi Touma [©] ,¹ Sindhu R Johnson [©] ^{1,3}

¹Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Toronto Western Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

²Lupus Clinic, Centre for Prognosis Studies in the Rheumatic Diseases, University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

³Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence to Dr Sindhu R Johnson, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Toronto Western Hospital, Mount Sinai Hospital; Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Sindhu.Johnson@uhn.ca

Handling editor Josef S Smolen

Acknowledgements We like to thank the Systemic Lupus Cooperating Clinics for allowing us to use the SLICC inception cohort as a validating cohort for our study.

Contributors All authors contributed to study design, data collection and/or evaluation and critical review of the final manuscript.

Funding Support for this study came from the Lupus Programme, Centre for Prognosis Studies in the Rheumatic Diseases. SRJ is supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Award. ZT is supported by a research salary award from the Department of Medicine, University of Toronto.

Competing interests SRJ reports grants from Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Corbus, GSK, Roche and Merck, and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and Ikaria.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the University Health Network Research Ethics Board (REB 11-0397).

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



To cite Whittall-Garcia L, Gladman DD, Urowitz M, *et al. Ann Rheum Dis* Epub ahead of print: [*please include* Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/ annrheumdis-2021-221014

Received 14 July 2021 Accepted 16 July 2021



▶ http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220994

Ann Rheum Dis 2021;0:1–2. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-221014

ORCID iDs

Dafna D Gladman http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9074-0592 Murray Urowitz http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7506-9166 Zahi Touma http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5177-2076 Sindhu R Johnson http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0591-2976

REFERENCES

- 1 Pons-Estel GL, Ugarte-Gil MF, Harvey GB. Correspondence on "New EULAR/ACR 2019 SLE classification criteria: defining ominosity in SLE" by Whittall Garcia et al.. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220994
- 2 Whittall Garcia LP, Gladman DD, Urowitz M, et al. New EULAR/ACR 2019 SLE classification criteria: defining ominosity in SLE. Ann Rheum Dis 2021. doi:10.1136/ annrheumdis-2020-218670. [Epub ahead of print: 15 Jan 2021].
- 3 Aringer M, Costenbader K, Daikh D, et al. 2019 European League against Rheumatism/ American College of rheumatology classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Rheum Dis 2019;78:1151–9.
- 4 Johnson SR, Brinks R, Costenbader KH, et al. Performance of the 2019 EULAR/ACR classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus in early disease, across sexes and ethnicities. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:1333–9. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217162
- 5 Ugarte-Gil MF, Pons-Estel GJ, Griffin R, et al. Achievement of the 2019 European alliance of associations for Rheumatology/American College of rheumatology criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus and amount of damage Accrual: results from a multiethnic multicenter cohort. Arthritis Care Res 2021;73:1038–40. doi:10.1002/acr.24213



Correspondence response

- 6 Ugarte-Gil MF, Pons-Estel GJ, Harvey GB, et al. Applying the 2019 EULAR/ACR lupus criteria to patients from the LUMINA cohort. Arthritis Care Res 2020. doi:10.1002/ acr.24367. [Epub ahead of print: 29 Jun 2020].
- 7 Carneiro AC, Ruiz MM, Freitas S, et al. A comparison of three classification criteria sets for systemic lupus erythematosus: a study looking at links to outcome and mortality. Arthritis Care Res 2020;72:1611–4. doi:10.1002/acr.24061