

Correspondence on 'EULAR December 2020 viewpoints on SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients with RMDs'

In light of their increased risk of worst outcomes following COVID-19 infection, patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) on immunosuppressive therapy, including systemic glucocorticoids, biological (b) and targeted synthetic (ts) disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), represent a vulnerable population which should be prioritised to receive vaccination. Controlled data on the effectiveness and safety of different COVID-19 vaccines on patients with RMD are not available yet. However, rheumatology providers and health professionals should be ready to offer timely guidance for the optimal use of vaccines for patients on immunomodulatory drugs. Based on the long-time experience with other non-live vaccines, the COVID-19 Task Force of the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) first delivered a preliminary set of information in December 2020.¹ Overall, it is expected that the safety and immunogenicity of COVID-19 vaccines for most of the DMARDs will be comparable with that registered for the general population,²⁻⁴ so that postponing vaccination pending more information appears unjustified. A number of independent surveys have however alarmingly

reported that, among patients with RMD, potential acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines may not exceed 60%, without apparent differences in relation to specific diseases, comorbidities and type of medication.⁵⁻⁸ Strategies to effectively engage high-risk patients with RMD into vaccination programmes are therefore urgently needed.

Starting from 19 March 2021, rheumatologists of the IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo University Hospital of Pavia, Italy, have been actively involved in the vaccination campaign by personally contacting, booking and administering COVID-19 vaccines to patients with RMD on b/tsDMARDs followed at our institution. In course of phone contacts, rheumatologists identify themselves and offer a vaccination date. In agreement with the most recent determination of the Italian Ministry of Health (<https://www.trovanorme.salute.gov.it/norme/renderNormsanPdf?anno=2021&codLeg=79076&parte=1&serie=null>), patients are informed that they will receive alternatives to Oxford–AstraZeneca; the vaccine currently available at our hospital is the Pfizer/BioNTech. On the day of vaccination, patients are asked on their potential acceptance of other COVID-19 vaccines (Oxford–AstraZeneca, Moderna, Johnson & Johnson). Demographic and clinical characteristics are retrieved from electronic records and are detailed in online supplemental table 1. All patients provide their informed consent for the use of their anonymous data.

Table 1 Factors associated with adherence to COVID-19 vaccination

	Acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine (Pfizer/BioNTech)				Acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine (any)			
	Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis		Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
Age	0.99 (0.97 to 1.03)	0.81			1.01 (0.99 to 1.03)	0.49		
Age ≥70	0.51 (0.17 to 1.45)	0.19	0.42 (0.13 to 1.30)	0.13	0.56 (0.25 to 1.28)	0.17	—	—
Age <50	0.74 (0.30 to 1.85)	0.52			0.59 (0.31 to 1.12)	0.10	0.26 (0.08 to 0.83)	0.02
Male gender	2.22 (0.73 to 6.79)	0.16	2.57 (0.82 to 8.08)	0.11	1.56 (0.79 to 3.09)	0.19	4.13 (0.89 to 19.13)	0.06
Smoking	0.97 (0.20 to 4.71)	0.97			1.35 (0.42 to 4.34)	0.62		
BMI	1.06 (0.91 to 1.24)	0.45			0.95 (0.85 to 1.05)	0.30		
BMI >30	1.58 (0.18 to 13.86)	0.68			0.35 (0.09 to 1.32)	0.12	0.29 (0.06 to 1.40)	0.12
Hypertension	0.96 (0.30 to 3.08)	0.95			1.62 (0.67 to 3.89)	0.28		
Diabetes	0.36 (0.07 to 1.99)	0.24			0.35 (0.08 to 1.49)	0.15	—	—
Rheumatic diagnosis								
RA	Reference		—	—	Reference			
PsA	3.17 (0.70 to 14.46)	0.14			1.12 (0.51 to 2.47)	0.78		
SpA	1.41 (0.44 to 4.50)	0.57			0.81 (0.38 to 1.75)	0.60		
Vasculitis	1.01 (0.21 to 4.89)	0.99			1.06 (0.32 to 3.52)	0.93		
Disease duration	0.99 (0.99 to 1.00)	0.71			0.99 (0.99 to 1.00)	0.37		
Use of PDN	0.88 (0.37 to 2.10)	0.77			0.79 (0.43 to 1.45)	0.45		
PDN dose	1.01 (0.90 to 1.29)	0.41			1.03 (0.93 to 1.14)	0.55		
PDN dose ≥5 mg/day	2.28 (0.65 to 8.01)	0.19	3.36 (0.86 to 13.21)	0.08	1.14 (0.56 to 2.34)	0.71		
Use of csDMARDs	2.20 (0.83 to 5.82)	0.11	2.19 (1.03 to 5.60)	0.04	1.75 (0.93 to 3.28)	0.08	3.90 (0.92 to 16.56)	0.07
Type of b/tsDMARD								
Cytokine inhibitor	Reference		0.22 (0.04 to 1.15)	0.07	Reference			
CTLA4-Ig	0.95 (0.30 to 3.05)	0.93			1.19 (0.37 to 3.87)	0.77		
Anti-CD20	0.26 (0.06 to 1.12)	0.07			1.18 (0.52 to 2.71)	0.69		
JAK inhibitor	1.79 (0.22 to 14.47)	0.58			0.86 (0.21 to 3.47)	0.83		
PDE4 inhibitor	—	—			0.73 (0.18 to 3.07)	0.67		
Influenza vaccination	0.67 (0.22 to 2.41)	0.59			0.59 (0.17 to 1.35)	0.25		

The associations between demographic and clinical variables and acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine were investigated by means of univariable and multivariable logistic models including non-collinear variables with $p < 0.2$ at the univariable analysis. Results are presented as ORs and 95% CIs. All analyses were conducted using MedCalc V.12.7.0.0, and the level of significance was set at 0.05.

BMI, body mass index; b/ts, biological/targeted synthetic; cs, conventional synthetic; CTLA4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4; DMARD, disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; JAK, Janus kinase; PDE4, phosphodiesterase 4; PDN, prednisone; PsA, psoriatic arthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SpA, spondyloarthritis.

The general restrictions in vaccine supply are impacting on the rate of recruitment, with 224 patients out of a total cohort of ~900 having been contacted in the first 15 days of the campaign. Twenty-three patients (10.3%) opposed to vaccination despite extensive counselling; 23 (10.3%) had already been vaccinated (91.3% with Pfizer/BioNTech); 8 (3.5%) had recovered from COVID-19 for <3 months and, in agreement with the rheumatologist, postponed vaccination of 3 months; 35 (15.6%) expressed initial hesitancy but accepted vaccination following rheumatologists' recommendations; 135 (60.3%) immediately endorsed the vaccination proposal. Collectively, adherence to vaccination was thus spontaneous in 70.5% of the cases (23 already vaccinated+135 agreeing to vaccinate irrespective of the rheumatologist), a proportion that increased to 89.7% (n=201) following rheumatologists' recommendations in recent COVID-19 and hesitant patients. Of the 201 patients who received at least the first dose or were willing to do so, 154 (76.6%) would have accepted any vaccine, 24 (11.9%) any apart from Oxford–AstraZeneca, 12 (6%) Pfizer/BioNTech only, and 11 (5.5%) were uncertain but ready to follow rheumatologists' advice. As a result, despite active involvement of rheumatologists, potential adherence to vaccines alternative to Pfizer/BioNTech was significantly lower (73.7% vs 89.7%, $p<0.001$). As shown in table 1, factors associated with acceptance of Pfizer/BioNTech were mostly related to the intensity of immunosuppression, with a significant impact of combination therapy with conventional synthetic DMARDs, a trend for higher odds for prednisone doses ≥ 5 mg/day and lower odds for rituximab. In contrast, factors conditioning individual preferences among vaccines were predominantly demographic, with women of younger age (<50 years) and higher body mass index (>30) more frequently expressing scepticism towards alternatives to Pfizer/BioNTech.

As real-world experience accumulates, it is not surprising that the spontaneous acceptance of COVID-19 vaccination found here is higher compared with previous studies.^{5–8} However, active involvement of rheumatologists may further engage hesitant patients, allowing coverage of nearly 90% of those receiving several immunomodulatory drugs in combination. In this perspective, the constitution of dedicated task forces, such as those promoted by EULAR¹ as well as by other national and international societies,^{9,10} is fundamental to assist rheumatology providers with updated guidelines on the optimal use of COVID-19 vaccines for patients with RMD. The treating rheumatologists should then be at the fore of outreach strategies aimed at engaging as many patients with RMD as possible among those followed at their centres. Still, misinformation about individual characteristics potentially affecting the efficacy and adverse reactions of different vaccines may introduce delays in a proportion of immunosuppressed patients¹¹ for whom efforts of the treating rheumatologists are unlikely to produce significant effects in the absence of forceful public campaigns.

Serena Bugatti ^{1,2}, Silvia Balduzzi,¹ Ludovico De Stefano,^{1,2} Antonio Manzo,^{1,2} Blerina Xoxi,¹ Laura Bogliolo,¹ Sara Monti ^{1,2}, Paolo Delvino ^{1,2}, Carlomaurizio Montecucco ^{1,2}

¹Division of Rheumatology, IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy

²Department of Internal Medicine and Therapeutics, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

Correspondence to Professor Serena Bugatti, Division of Rheumatology, IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation, Pavia 27100, Italy; serena.bugatti@unipv.it

Acknowledgements We are grateful to our trainees Dr Fabio Brandolino, Dr Sofia Chiricolo, Dr Bernardo D'Onofrio, Dr Michele Di Lernia, Dr Eleonora Mauric, Dr Alessandra Milanese and Dr Clarissa Rocca. We are grateful to our nurses Laura Vecchio, Marina Berlinese, Michela Milanese and Massimo Facchini.

Contributors SBU conceived the work, contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data, and drafted the manuscript. SBa contributed to the acquisition and interpretation of data and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. LDS contributed to the acquisition and interpretation of data and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. AM conceived the work, contributed to the interpretation of data and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. BX contributed to the acquisition of data and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. LB contributed to the acquisition of data and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. SM contributed to the acquisition of data and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. PD contributed to the acquisition of data and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. CM conceived the work and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All the authors provided final approval of the version to be published.

Funding This study was supported in part by fundings from the IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee of the IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article. Raw data are available from the corresponding author (SBU) upon reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220541>).



To cite Bugatti S, Balduzzi S, De Stefano L, *et al.* *Ann Rheum Dis* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220541

Received 12 April 2021

Accepted 14 April 2021



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220564>

Ann Rheum Dis 2021;0:1–3. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220541

ORCID iDs

Serena Bugatti <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5396-7077>

Sara Monti <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1800-6772>

Paolo Delvino <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6383-8236>

Carlomaurizio Montecucco <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8263-3925>

REFERENCES

- 1 Bijlsma JW, December E. View points on SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients with RMDs. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;2021:411–2.
- 2 Geisen UM, Berner DK, Tran F, *et al.* Immunogenicity and safety of anti-SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines in patients with chronic inflammatory conditions and immunosuppressive therapy in a monocentric cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220272. [Epub ahead of print: 24 Mar 2021].

- 3 Connolly CM, Ruddy JA, Boyarsky BJ. Safety of the first dose of mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021.
- 4 Boyarsky BJ, Ruddy JA, Connolly CM, *et al*. Antibody response to a single dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine in patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220289. [Epub ahead of print: 23 Mar 2021].
- 5 Campochiaro C, Trignani G, Tomelleri A, *et al*. Potential acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine in rheumatological patients: a monocentric comparative survey. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-219811. [Epub ahead of print: 28 Jan 2021].
- 6 Priori R, Pellegrino G, Colafrancesco S, *et al*. SARS-CoV-2 vaccine hesitancy among patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases: a message for rheumatologists. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220059. [Epub ahead of print: 23 Feb 2021].
- 7 Boekel L, Hooijberg F, van Kempen ZLE, *et al*. Perspective of patients with autoimmune diseases on COVID-19 vaccination. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2021;3:e241–3.
- 8 Felten R, Dubois M, Ugarte-Gil MF, *et al*. Vaccination against COVID-19: expectations and concerns of patients with autoimmune and rheumatic diseases. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2021;3:e243–5.
- 9 Curtis JR, Johnson SR, Anthony DD, *et al*. American College of rheumatology guidance for COVID-19 vaccination in patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases – version 1. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2021.
- 10 Schulze-Koops H, Specker C, Skapenko A. Vaccination of patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases against SARS-CoV-2: considerations before widespread availability of the vaccines. *RMD Open* 2021;7:e001553.
- 11 Kramer DB, Opel DJ, Parasidis E, *et al*. Choices in a crisis — individual preferences among SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. *N Engl J Med Overseas Ed* 2021.