

Response to: 'Correspondence on 'What comes after the lockdown? Clustering of ANCA-associated vasculitis: single-centre observation of a spatiotemporal pattern' by Hocevar *et al*

In our previous report on antineutrophil cytoplasm antibodies (ANCA)-associated vasculitides (AAV) during the current COVID-19 pandemic, we described our observation of both an incidence-shift with a post-lockdown clustering and an increased incidence rate of AAV diagnoses between February and August 2020 compared with previous years.¹

In correspondence to our article, Hocevar *et al* report how COVID-19 pandemic affected management of patients with vasculitides at their centre. The in-depth analysis examined symptom duration (ie, time eclipsed to final diagnosis), disease activity/severity and seasonal changes of patients presenting with giant cell arteritis, and IgA vasculitis and AAV during 2020 and in the previous decade. No significant differences were found in incidence rates or indications for deferrals; that is, symptoms were experienced for a longer length of time before a diagnosis was made or a more severe presentation occurred.²

As the COVID-19 pandemic remains ongoing, six patients with AAV treated at our centre contracted COVID-19; clinical details are summarised in table 1. One patient (patient 2) on maintenance rituximab required intensive care unit treatment, while another with a recent disease relapse (patient 1) was hospitalised. Moderate hypogammaglobulinaemia was detected in these patients. The remaining four patients were not admitted and managed as outpatients. Patient 1 received rituximab induction therapy 2 months before COVID-19 and due to active disease on kidney biopsy, was receiving intravenous cyclophosphamide (cumulative dose >3 g) just before SARS-CoV-2 infection. Patient 2 was admitted to the intensive care unit and received non-invasive ventilation for several days, alongside remdesivir, dexamethasone and convalescent plasma (two doses). Nonetheless, he had undetectable SARS-CoV-2 antibodies after discharge. Outcomes were favourable in all six patients. All but one patient underwent SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing following COVID-19, and antibodies were detectable in three of five patients.

There is consensus that active disease and relapses need to be prevented and managed appropriately, but great uncertainty remains with regards to several crucial questions. Due to its favourable efficacy and safety profile, rituximab is the standard treatment for induction and maintenance treatment of patients with AAV. Yet increasing evidence suggests rituximab treatment itself is a risk factor for unfavourable outcomes of COVID-19 in patients with autoimmune diseases,³ and a diagnosis of vasculitis might confer a particular risk. No information, however, was provided about concomitant disease activity, as these patients may be at risk for worse prognosis.

Furthermore, long-term B cell depletion hinders adequate immune response to both infection control and vaccination. Absence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies following COVID-19 in rituximab users may be frequent and as such, places patients at risk of reinfection.⁴ The most pressing question related to rituximab use and COVID-19 is vaccine readiness; that is, predicting an adequate immune response following administration of COVID-19 vaccines.^{5 6} Production of antibodies against the S1-protein of the virus and neutralising antibodies might be diminished or absent when patients are vaccinated within the first weeks following the last rituximab dose. Tools to measure T cell immunity in such situations should be implemented to potentially predict a protective effect of vaccination despite absent humoral response.

While the pandemic is an ongoing challenge for patients and physicians, research into vaccine response and risk factors for COVID-19-related mortality continues. Such investigations will inform physicians of vaccine response in several circumstances (eg, ongoing high doses steroids, impact of disease activity, and ultimately, if a T cell response is sufficient to reduce severity of COVID-19). Effective combatting in the pandemic necessitates flexible approaches to patient management, such as telemedicine and the potential use of alternative treatments, as well as the continued vaccination of our vulnerable patient groups.

Philipp Gauckler ¹, Erica L Bettac,² Andreas Kronbichler ¹

¹Department of Internal Medicine IV, Nephrology and Hypertension, Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

²Department of Psychology, Washington State University - Vancouver, Vancouver, Washington, USA

Table 1 Six patients with antineutrophil cytoplasm antibodies-associated vasculitis contracted COVID-19

| Patient | Age | Sex | Induction therapy | Maintenance therapy | Immunosuppression (within the last 6 months) | Admission to hospital | Serum creatinine (mg/dL) before COVID-19 | Hypogammaglobulinaemia | COVID-19 renal outcome | COVID-19 overall outcome | Serum creatinine (mg/dL) after COVID-19 | SARS-CoV-2 antibodies |
|---------|-----|-----|-------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|
| 1 | 72 | M | Yes | – | Cyclophosphamide 3.09 g (3 pulses), rituximab (2×1 g), methylprednisolone (3.06 g) | Yes | 3.30 | Moderate (IgG 336 mg/dL) | AKI (peak creatinine 5.12) | Oxygen supply (max. 4 L), steroids increased | 2.45 | Detectable |
| 2 | 62 | M | – | Yes | Rituximab (1 g) | Yes | 1.19 | Moderate (IgG 409 mg/dL) | AKI (peak creatinine 1.73) | Non-invasive ventilation, remdesivir, dexamethasone, convalescent plasma | 1.38 | Not detectable |
| 3 | 61 | M | – | – | – | No | 1.35 | No (IgG 1150 mg/dL) | – | Home quarantine | 1.29 | – |
| 4 | 80 | F | – | – | – | No | 3.06 | No (IgG 1100 mg/dL) | – | Home quarantine | 2.33 | Detectable |
| 5 | 56 | F | – | – | – | No | 0.86 | No (IgG 705 mg/dL) | – | Home quarantine | 0.84 | Detectable |
| 6 | 39 | F | – | Yes | Rituximab (1 g) | No | 0.75 | No (IgG 1120 mg/dL) | – | Home quarantine | 0.75 | not detectable |

AKI, acute kidney injury; F, female; M, male.



Correspondence to Dr Andreas Kronbichler, Internal Medicine IV, Nephrology and Hypertension, Innsbruck Medical University, Innsbruck 6020, Austria; andreas.kronbichler@i-med.ac.at

Handling editor Josef S Smolen

Contributors All authors have contributed in design, and writing of the Correspondence.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval IRB approval of our study was obtained (No. 1215/2020, Medical University Innsbruck).

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



To cite Gauckler P, Bettac EL, Kronbichler A. *Ann Rheum Dis* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220324

Received 17 March 2021

Accepted 18 March 2021

► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220290>

Ann Rheum Dis 2021;0:1–2. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220324

ORCID iDs

Philipp Gauckler <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5964-0307>

Andreas Kronbichler <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2945-2946>

REFERENCES

- 1 Gauckler P, Bettac EL, Nairz M, *et al*. What comes after the lockdown? clustering of ANCA-associated vasculitis: single-centre observation of a spatiotemporal pattern. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-219212. [Epub ahead of print: 01 Dec 2020].
- 2 Hocevar A, Ambrozic A, Tomsic M. Correspondence on: "What comes after the lockdown? Clustering of ANCA-associated vasculitis: single-centre observation of a spatiotemporal pattern". *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220290
- 3 Strangfeld A, Schäfer M, Gianfrancesco MA. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death in people with rheumatic diseases: results from the COVID-19 global rheumatology alliance physician-reported registry. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-219498
- 4 Friedman MA, Winthrop KL. Second COVID-19 infection in a patient with granulomatosis with polyangiitis on rituximab. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220088
- 5 Kronbichler A, Anders H-J, Fernandez-Juárez GM, *et al*. Recommendations for the use of COVID-19 vaccines in patients with immune-mediated kidney diseases. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2021. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfab064. [Epub ahead of print: 09 Mar 2021].
- 6 Windpessl M, Bruchfeld A, Anders H-J, *et al*. COVID-19 vaccines and kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2021. doi:10.1038/s41581-021-00406-6. [Epub ahead of print: 08 Feb 2021].