Correspondence on ‘Points to consider for the treatment of immune-mediated inflammatory diseases with Janus kinase inhibitors: a consensus statement’

We read with interest the recent article by Nash et al, wherein authors have exhaustively reviewed the role of Janus kinase inhibitors (JAKis) in several inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. These drugs have also emerged as an important therapeutic option for several monogenic type I interferonopathies—inhorn errors of immunity (IEIs) characterised by abnormal activation of type I interferon pathway (figure 1). In this context, the authors have referred to reference number 43; however, the said reference does not cover this aspect in detail. We briefly review the efficacy, safety and dosing of JAKis in monogenic interferonopathies—a distinct group of disorders that often present in children wherein data on safety, efficacy and appropriate dosing of JAKis are limited.

Systemic inflammation and skin lesions in patients with stimulator of interferon genes (STING)-associated vasculopathy of infancy (SAVI) have been shown to respond to ruxolitinib therapy (maximum weight-based dose of 1.25 mg/kg/day (young children)), often with improvement in lung inflammation and stabilisation of lung fibrosis. Severe viral infections, BK viruria and intracranial hypertension have been the reported adverse events. Baricitinib has also been found to be beneficial in the stabilisation of lung fibrosis (with improvement of lung functions), with marked improvement in skin disease and near-normalisation of interferon signature (maximum doses used: 4 mg/day (<20 kg), 6 mg/day (20–40 kg) or 10 mg/day (>40 kg)). During a mean treatment duration of 2½ years, infections (pneumonia, osteomyelitis and BK viraemia) were the most important adverse events. Baricitinib has been found to be useful in a child who did not initially respond to ruxolitinib. In a recent report describing the largest cohort of SAVI, two out of eight patients treated with ruxolitinib needed to be switched over to tofacitinib or baricitinib because of adverse events. Tofacitinib has also been shown to improve interferon signature in SAVI; however, data on long-term clinical efficacy and safety are limited.

Baricitinib has been used for the treatment of proteasome-associated autoinflammatory syndrome (dosing similar to SAVI). Steroid were tapered in most patients and complete response was noted in approximately half. After a mean treatment duration of 3.6 years, the most notable adverse events were severe infections (herpes zoster, BK viraemia, pneumonia, influenza, Hemophilus influenzae and Clostridium difficile colitis). Baricitinib therapy was discontinued in one patient with a suspicion of BK virus nephropathy. Improvement in joint contractures, resolution of organomegaly, lymphadenopathy and hyperinflammation has been reported in one patient with a novel mutation in proteasome assembly gene PSMB2.

Baricitinib (6 mg/day) and ruxolitinib (30 mg/day) have been used in two adolescent girls with coatomer subunit alpha (COPA) syndrome with joint and lung predominant disease, respectively. Significant clinical improvement was noted within 2 months of therapy; however, near-normalisation of interferon signature took ~1 year. Stabilisation of restrictive lung disease was noted in both.

Patients with TREX1 deficiency manifesting as chilblain lupus with arthritis have been reported to respond to ruxolitinib (maximum 15 mg/day) and baricitinib. Ruxolitinib (maximum 20 mg/day) has also been used successfully in few children with MDA5 (IFIH1) gain-of-function (GOF) mutations with improvement in neurological manifestations, fever and interferon score. No significant adverse events were reported during follow-up of 2.5 years. Recently, Vanderver et al reported treatment with baricitinib in a large cohort of patients with Aicardi-Goutières syndrome (gene defects of patients)–IFIH1 (8) RNASEH2B (8) TREX1 (6) ADAR1 (6) SAMHD1 (5) RNASEH2A (1) and RNASEH2C (1)). Reduction in interferon scores was noted in the majority and significant improvement in the skin disease was seen in approximately half. One-third of the cohort attained >1 new milestone, with better results being noted at higher doses. During a mean treatment duration of ~1.5 years, adverse events (BK viraemia, anaemia and thrombocytosis) led to dose decrement in one-tenth of the cohort.

Patients with treatment-refractory A20 haploinsufficiency have been shown to have elevated type I interferon signature. Therapy with tofacitinib (5–10 mg/day) or baricitinib has resulted in improvement of clinical, radiological and laboratory parameters in these patients. No significant adverse effects were noted during follow-up of 2 years. Promising results have been noted with JAKi therapy in several patients with signal transducer and activator
of transcription 1 (STAT1) GOF—an IEI characterised by chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis, autoimmunity and elevated type I interferon signature.20 Forbes et al used ruxolitinib (maximum dose 40 mg/day (adults)) for management of treatment-refractory autoimmune manifestations, including cytopenia, hepatitis, lupus-like manifestations and enteropathy in 11 patients with STAT1 GOF. During 4–34 months of JAKi therapy, improvement was noted in ~80%. The adverse events reported were herpes zoster (requiring antiviral drugs and temporary cessation of ruxolitinib), mild pancreatitis and mild cytopenia.21 Recently, baricitinib (maximum 20 mg/day) has been reported to result in improvement of pulmonary, cutaneous and neurological manifestations in a young child with USP18 deficiency.22

To conclude, JAKis have emerged as targeted therapy for several monogenic type I interferonopathies, though the data are still not plentiful.
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