The preclinical phase of PsA: a challenge for the epidemiologist
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Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a chronic inflammatory arthritis and, like many chronic diseases, often has an insidious onset. The disease likely begins well before patients first present to a rheumatologist and even before they first have symptoms. Several studies have confirmed the presence of subclinical joint and enthesal inflammation in patients with psoriasis.1-5 However, relatively little is known about the preclinical phase of PsA. The ‘preclinical phase’ is emerging as an important issue in many rheumatic diseases and is an important area of ongoing research. A preclinical phase in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) has been fairly well described. Current research suggests that, among predeter-

mined or genetically susceptible individuals, an inciting agent (eg, smoking) ignites asymptomatic inflammation. This is followed by asymptomatic synovitis, development of symptoms, a transition to clinically apparent RA and subsequent diagnosis, and then a chronic inflammatory phase.6-8 The preclinical phase of RA is supported by studies identifying the presence of autoantibodies ≥10 years prior to presentation of clinically apparent disease in RA.9

In this issue of the Annals of Rheumatic Disease, Kristensen et al10 provide a population-based description of the period leading up to the diagnosis of PsA, potentially the ‘preclinical phase’ of PsA in their paper ‘Societal costs and patients’ experience of health inequities before and after diagnosis of psoriatic arthritis’. In this paper, we see that healthcare costs begin to rise approximately 5 years prior to the diagnosis of PsA, peak around the time of diagnosis, improve slightly (likely from institution of therapy and appropriate care) but then remain high (figure 1). Additionally, the investigators demonstrate an elevated prevalence of comorbidities compared with the general population both in the three years before and three years after diagnosis of PsA.

The findings in this study highlight the interesting and often complex issues encountered in designing and interpreting epidemiological studies of PsA. In particular, the elevated prevalence of comorbidities before PsA diagnosis has implications for studies examining comorbidities linked to PsA and studies aiming to identify risk factors for PsA. For both study designs, the critical issue is the definition of when PsA begins and how to manage this potential preclinical or prediagnosis phase of PsA.

DEFINING RISK FACTORS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF PsA: MANAGING THE TROUBLING PRECLINICAL PHASE

The most challenging aspect of the preclinical phase of PsA is managing its potential existence in studies of risk factors for PsA. In some ways, PsA is the perfect disease for which to identify risk factors. There is a known ‘risk pool’ (patients with psoriasis) that may develop the disease. And theoretically, if we could identify risk factors for the disease, we could either diagnose the disease earlier (and improve long-term outcomes) or potentially even mitigate the risk for developing the disease by removing the risk factor (eg, smoking cessation). We have previously discussed more general methodological considerations in risk factor studies in PsA.16 However, this study raises a new concern. The risk assessment window typically used in risk factor studies (shown in figure 1) may be biased: if in the 3–5 years preceding a PsA diagnosis the patient actually has preclinical PsA, we may identify ‘risk factors’ that are part of the disease rather than true aetiological or causal factors. Case-control studies (which start at diagnosis and look back for exposures) and studies using time-updated exposures/covariates would be particularly at risk for this bias. (An aside: Time-updated exposures are used to acknowledge and account for the fact that people may change exposure status over time during long observation periods. Cox proportional hazards models can account for these changes over time. Time-updated exposures also can be problematic for other reasons if you suspect that the value of the exposure at one time point influences its value at a subsequent time point. We’ll set that aside for now.17) Let’s use socioeconomic status (SES) as an example. Kristensen et al found that SES effectively worsens in the 3–5 years prior to PsA diagnosis. If we use a case-control design (or a cohort study using SES as a time-updated risk factor), we will come to the conclusion that lower SES is a risk factor for PsA because, as long as the data are available, the risk factor will be assessed closest to diagnosis. However, it may be that lower SES is instead the result of preclinical PsA. This is problematic if we are aiming to establish causality. Potential ways to address this problem include (a) cohort study designs in which risk factors are identified at baseline only (eg, at psoriasis diagnosis or start of follow-up) or (b) sensitivity analyses restricting the window during which risk factors are assessed (eg, closing the risk factor assessment window 3–5 years prior to diagnosis). On the other hand, if we are looking for factors that may signal onset of clinical symptoms and we aim to detect these patients earlier, then potentially the window should be limited to the
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Figure 1  A preclinical phase of psoriatic arthritis (PsA) likely includes an asymptomatic inflammatory phase followed by development of symptoms and ultimately diagnosis. Kristensen et al demonstrated increasing comorbidities and societal economic costs in the 3 and 5 years prior to diagnosis, respectively. Disentangling the ‘preclinical phase’ of PsA from psoriasis is challenging. Furthermore, this preclinical phase makes assessment of comorbidities and risk factors challenging by impacting the interval over which risk factors and comorbidities may be assessed.

3–5 years prior to diagnosis. This issue reinforces the importance of having a pre-specified question (eg, are we seeking potentially causal/etiological factors or preclinical factors for earlier disease identification?) and designing the study accordingly.

Now, to flip the coin: maybe comorbidities and SES are truly causal risk factors for the development of PsA among patients with psoriasis and this study provides evidence for these risk factors (although a comparison cohort of patients with psoriasis who didn’t develop PsA is not included in the study). Obesity and hyperlipidaemia have been identified as risk factors for psoriasis and PsA. Biological plausibility exists: comorbidities may be associated with inflammation, an altered endocrine state or mechanical/sheer forces (in the case of obesity) — so, these are possible legitimate triggers for disease onset. In this case, these factors should theoretically be positively associated regardless of the window during which they are assessed (although with potentially differing effect sizes). Thus, investigators should consider assessing both windows in separate analyses to confirm the association.

IMPLICATIONS FOR EXAMINING RISK OF DEVELOPMENT OF COMORBIDITIES AMONG PATIENTS WITH PSA

This preclinical phase also makes understanding relationships between the disease and comorbid conditions difficult. In assessing the risk for comorbidities in patients with PsA, we often ‘start’ follow-up time at the diagnosis of PsA (‘comorbidities assessment’ window in figure 1). We do this in order to examine whether comorbidities can be attributed to the disease rather than pre-existing conditions. Additionally, as incidence is calculated as the number of new cases in the population at risk for the disease, patients with pre-existing comorbidities are not included in this calculation. The study by Kristensen et al suggests that if we start the follow-up time at the diagnosis of PsA, we may be falsely assuming a lower incidence of comorbidities by excluding the initial cases when, in fact, these initial cases may actually have been caused by the preclinical phase of the disease. This concept is known as ‘depletion of the susceptibles’ — the patients most at risk for developing these comorbidities may be excluded (depleted) from the study because they have already developed the comorbidity before study follow-up begins. Following only patients without the disease at baseline may lower the HR relating the risk of an incident comorbidity in patients with PsA compared with the general population. In a recent study examining the risk of fracture among patients with PsA, we managed this potential bias by including a sensitivity analysis in which patients with a fracture prior to start date were included and we adjusted for previous fracture to determine whether it substantially changed the HR. Investigators could also consider moving back the start date by 3 years, for example. Standard analyses are still informative and do appropriately answer the clinically relevant question, ‘For this patient with a diagnosis of PsA, what is the likelihood of this patient developing a particular comorbidity?’ The bigger problem is attribution; in calculating attributable risk of fracture related to PsA, we will have missed some of the new fractures that could in fact be related to the disease (in the preclinical phase) but occurred prior to formal diagnosis.

FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

In summary, the paper by Kristensen et al raises many important questions about the years prior to PsA diagnosis and how to best study both the risk factors for PsA and the long-term outcomes related to PsA. Population-based studies of PsA have several strengths; studies addressing risk factors for the development of PsA and long-term outcomes would not be possible without large generalisable populations of patients with physician-diagnosed PsA, physician recording of important covariates (diagnoses, lifestyle habits and medications) and several years of follow-up. However, care should be taken to interpret findings from epidemiology studies of PsA in light of this potential preclinical phase, and sensitivity analyses to address this window should be considered. In the bigger picture, this study by Kristensen et al suggests that this disease, once thought to be a relatively benign condition, has costly outcomes. It also suggests, however, that there may be a crucial period during which we can identify and intervene upon patients with early PsA in order to improve outcomes.
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