Correspondence on: 'What comes after the lockdown? Clustering of ANCA-associated vasculitis: single-centre observation of a spatiotemporal pattern'

It was with great interest that we read the article by Gauckler *et al* titled 'What comes after the lockdown? Clustering of ANCA-associated vasculitis: single-centre observation of a spatiotem-poral pattern', published in *Ann Rheum Dis* in December 2020. ¹ Its authors reported a twofold increase of the incidence rate of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV) diagnoses and a threefold increase in incidence rate of de novo AAV manifestations at their centre compared with previous years. Furthermore, they found the clustering of cases following the end of the pandemic's first wave. The increase in the incidence could not be attributed to a deferral of symptoms or delayed diagnoses.

Indeed, the SARS-CoV-2 virus pandemic significantly affected our daily rheumatological routine and the management of systemic vasculitides. We had to adjust our daily practice practically overnight, finding new ways to take optimal care of our patients. Therefore, how successful were we in managing patients with systemic vasculitides? At our secondary/tertiary rheumatological department, for the past decade we have been following the variations in the frequency, delays to diagnosis (the symptom duration time until diagnosis) and the baseline activity of the most commonly diagnosed vasculitides, that is, giant cell arteritis (GCA), IgA vasculitis and AAV. In the present study, we examined whether these characteristics have changed significantly during the COVID-19 pandemic. In 2020, we diagnosed a total of 79 new adult cases of GCA, IgAV and AAV (30, 28 and 21 cases, respectively). Temporal variations of GCA, IgAV and AAV over the last decade (2010-2020) are presented in the online supplemental figure S1A. Table 1 shows the median (IQR) symptom duration time and disease activity at presentation. In spite of the COVID-19 pandemic, neither was the symptom duration time longer nor was the baseline disease activity higher (determined by the Birmingham vasculitis activity score (version 3)² for small vessel vasculitides, and by permanent vision defects) in 2020 compared with the previous decade (2010-2019). In addition, we did not observe the clustering of vasculitides after the end of spring lockdown in May 2020 as reported by Gauckler et al^1 (online supplemental figure S1B). Our experience with GCA is in fact quite the opposite to that reported by Luther et al, who recently identified an increase of

Table 1 Characteristics of vasculitides before and during COVID-19 19 pandemics year 2020

	Symptom duration time* (weeks)		Disease activity/severity†	
Vasculitis	2010–2019	2020	2010-2019	2020
GCA	4.3 (3.0-8.6)	4.3 (2.0-6.9)	10.0%	10.0%
AAV	13.0 (5.2–36.1)	10.9 (5.6–21.7)	16 (11–22)	11 (6–21)
lgAV	1.0 (0.7–2.3)	1.6 (0.9–3.0)	8 (3–14)	8 (5–14)

^{*}Median and IQR.

†Determined as BVAS-3 (median, IQR) in IgAV and AAV, and as percentage of patients with permanent vision defect in GCA.

AAV, ANCA associated vasculitis; GCA, giant cell arteritis; IgAV, IgA vasculitis.

GCA cases as well as of cases with visual impairment during the COVID-19 pandemic.³

In conclusion, our experience shows that patients with systemic vasculitis sought help and did not suffer from significant delays in receiving healthcare even during the pandemic.

Alojzija Hočevar ⁽¹⁾, Aleš Ambrožič, ¹ Matija Tomšič^{1,2}

¹Department of Rheumatology, University Medical Centre Ljubljana Division of Internal Medicine, Ljubljana, Slovenia

²Internal Medicine, University of Ljubljana Faculty of Medicine, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Correspondence to Dr Alojzija Hočevar, Department of Rheumatology, University Medical Centre Ljubljana Division of Internal Medicine, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia; alojzija.hocevar@gmail.com

Contributors AH contributed to the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data. AA and MT helped to revise the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript for submission.

 ${\bf Funding}\,$ The study was funded by the Slovenian Research Agency - research core funding P3-0314.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Slovenian National medical ethics committee, approval number 0120-554/2020/3.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

This article is made freely available for personal use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

► Additional material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220290).



To cite Hočevar A, Ambrožič A, Tomšič M. Ann Rheum Dis 2023;82:e146.

Received 6 March 2021 Accepted 9 March 2021 Published Online First 31 March 2021



► http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220324

Ann Rheum Dis 2023;82:e146. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220290

ORCID iD

Alojzija Hočevar http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7361-6549

REFERENCES

- 1 Gauckler P, Bettac EL, Nairz M, et al. What comes after the lockdown? clustering of ANCA-associated vasculitis: single-centre observation of a spatiotemporal pattern. Ann Rheum Dis 2021;80:669–71.
- 2 Mukhtyar C, Lee R, Brown D, et al. Modification and validation of the Birmingham vasculitis activity score (version 3). Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:1827–32.
- 3 Luther R, Skeoch S, Pauling JD, et al. Increased number of cases of giant cell arteritis and higher rates of ophthalmic involvement during the era of COVID-19. Rheumatol Adv Pract 2020;4:rkaa067.



Figure S1. Panel A) Annual fluctuation in the frequency of giant cell arteritis, ANCA-associated vasculitis and IgA vasculitis. Panel B) Monthly fluctuation in the frequency of giant cell arteritis, ANCA-associated vasculitis and IgA vasculitis during period 2010 to 2019 and in year 2020.



