6

- 1 **Supplement to:**
- 2 Lupus Low Disease Activity State Attainment in the Phase 3 TULIP Trials of
- 3 Anifrolumab in Active Systemic Lupus Erythematosus
- 5 Eric F. Morand¹, Gabriel Abreu², Richard A. Furie³, Vera Golder¹, Raj Tummala⁴
- ¹Centre for Inflammatory Diseases, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- 8 ²Biometrics, Late Respiratory & Immunology, BioPharmaceuticals R&D, AstraZeneca,
- 9 Gothenburg, Sweden
- ³Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Division of Rheumatology, Great Neck,
- 11 NY, USA
- ⁴Clinical Development, Late Respiratory & Immunology, BioPharmaceuticals R&D,
- 13 AstraZeneca, Gaithersburg, MD, USA
- 14 **Running title**: LLDAS Attainment in TULIP Phase 3 Trials of Anifrolumab
- 15 **Target journal:** Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases
- 16 **Corresponding author:** Raj Tummala
- 17 1 MedImmune Way
- 18 Gaithersburg, MD 20878
- 19 **Phone**: 1-301-398-0548
- 20 Email: Raj.Tummala@astrazeneca.com
- 21 **ORCID iD:** 0000-0002-5506-4445

23 SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

- Figure S1. Percentage of patients meeting the composite definition of lupus low disease 24
- 25 activity state responder and each individual component of this definition by timepoint for
- 26 each treatment group

	Weeks													
	LLDAS Components	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	36	40	44	48	52
<u> </u>	LLDAS response	0.0	2.5	6.7	13.6	18.9	23.1	25.6	25.0	27.2	29.2	29.4	30.8	30.0
mg)	SLEDAI-2K score ≤4	3.1	13.3	23.3	33.1	40.0	44.7	48.1	48.1	49.7	53.9	53.6	57.5	59.2
(300	No activity in any SLEDAI-2K major organ ^a	78.6	84.2	88.6	88.6	88.3	89.4	90.6	90.6	90.0	91.4	92.5	93.1	93.6
	No fever	98.1	99.4	99.2	99.7	99.2	99.4	98.9	99.4	99.2	99.4	99.4	99.7	99.7
ıab	No new lupus disease activity ^b	84.2	86.4	84.7	80.6	81.7	81.7	84.2	83.9	80.3	81.4	84.7	85.3	83.6
Ē	PGA VAS ≤1	15.0	32.2	43.3	51.7	57.2	61.7	64.2	63.6	66.4	66.9	73.6	73.9	74.4
nifrol	GC dose ≤7.5 mg/day ^c	44.2	45.8	54.2	60.6	66.9	72.8	78.1	80.3	81.9	82.8	84.2	83.3	83.9
	No use of restricted medication ^d	97.5	96.1	95.0	93.6	92.5	91.7	91.4	90.6	88.9	88.3	87.8	85.0	81.9
٧	No discontinuation of IP	96.9	95.0	94.7	92.8	93.1	93.3	93.1	91.9	92.8	93.1	93.1	94.2	94.4

		Weeks												
	LLDAS Components	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	36	40	44	48	52
	LLDAS response	0.3	1.4	4.1	7.1	9.3	11.2	13.7	15.8	16.7	15.6	17.8	16.1	19.7
	SLEDAI-2K score ≤4	2.7	9.8	18.0	23.2	27.0	30.6	35.0	41.3	42.3	44.3	48.9	51.1	55.2
	No activity in any SLEDAI-2K major organ ^a	76.2	77.3	78.7	80.6	84.2	85.5	85.0	85.8	86.1	86.9	91.0	91.0	91.0
oq	No fever	96.7	98.9	98.6	98.6	98.4	98.6	98.9	98.6	99.2	99.5	99.5	98.9	98.9
Placebo	No new lupus disease activity ^b	84.4	76.8	79.0	77.3	79.0	80.3	78.7	78.4	82.0	81.4	83.1	82.8	83.6
E E	PGA VAS ≤1	12.3	24.9	31.1	38.0	43.7	46.4	52.5	53.8	57.9	59.8	61.5	63.7	65.0
	GC dose ≤7.5 mg/day ^c	46.7	48.4	53.8	56.3	60.1	64.5	65.0	68.3	71.9	74.3	74.9	76.8	77.3
	No use of restricted medication ^d	98.1	96.2	94.0	89.6	88.5	87.2	85.2	83.3	82.5	80.3	79.5	78.1	76.5
	No discontinuation of IP	96.4	94.8	93.4	91.8	90.7	88.88	89.1	88.3	88.0	88.5	89.6	90.7	92.3

- 28 CNS, central nervous system; GC, glucocorticoid; IP, investigational product; LLDAS,
- 29 Lupus Low Disease Activity State; PGA, physician global assessment; SLEDAI-2K,
- 30 Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2000, TULIP, Treatment of
- 31 Uncontrolled Lupus via the Interferon Pathway; VAS, visual analogue scale.

^aCNS, vascular, renal, cardiovascular and respiratory.

^bNo new lupus disease activity compared with the previous assessment: no present activity (item score >0) in any new SLEDAI-2K item (irrespective of organ system) compared with the previous visit.

this previous scheduled visit and ending on the day after the previous scheduled visit and ending on the day of the visit.

dRestricted medications as defined in TULIP-2, Morand et al, 2019.

32 **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES**

33 **Table S1.** Baseline patient demographics and disease characteristics in pooled TULIP data

	Pooled TULIP			
	Anifrolumab 300 mg (n=360)	Placebo (n=366)		
Age, mean (SD), years	42.6 (12.0)	41.0 (11.9)		
Female, n (%)	333 (92.5)	341 (93.2)		
Race, an (%)				
White	235 (65.3)	244 (66.7)		
Asian	41 (11.4)	35 (9.6)		
Black/African American	46 (12.8)	48 (13.1)		
Other	30 (8.3)	31 (8.5)		
Time from SLE diagnosis to randomisation, median (range), months	91.0 (0–555)	78.5 (4–503)		
IFNGS status at screening, n (%)				
High	298 (82.8)	302 (82.5)		
Low	62 (17.2)	64 (17.5)		
≥1 BILAG-2004 A, n (%)	174 (48.3)	179 (48.9)		
No BILAG-2004 A and ≥2 BILAG-2004 B, n (%)	170 (47.2)	162 (44.3)		
SLEDAI-2K global score, mean (SD)	11.4 (3.8)	11.5 (3.7)		

SLEDAI-2K ≥10, n (%)	254 (70.6)	266 (72.7)
PGA score, mean (SD)	1.8 (0.4)	1.8 (0.4)
CLASI activity score, mean (SD)	8.4 (7.6)	7.8 (7.2)
Swollen joint count, ^b mean (SD)	6.8 (5.8)	7.2 (5.7)
Tender joint count, ^b mean (SD)	10.3 (7.4)	10.8 (7.5)
SDI score, mean (SD)	0.6 (1.0)	0.6 (0.9)
SLE treatments at baseline, n (%)		
Glucocorticoid ^c	291 (80.8)	304 (83.1)
Glucocorticoid ≥10 mg/day	190 (52.8)	185 (50.5)
Antimalarials	243 (67.5)	267 (73.0)
Immunosuppressants ^d	173 (48.1)	177 (48.4)

^aRace data were missing for 16 patients in TULIP-2 (8 each in the anifrolumab and placebo groups). ^bJoint counts are based on 28 joints.

37 BILAG-2004, British Isles Lupus Assessment Group-2004; CLASI, Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus Disease Area and Severity Index; IFNGS,

38 type I interferon gene signature; PGA, Physician's Global Assessment; SD, standard deviation; SDI, Systemic Lupus International Collaborating

39 Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SLEDAI-2K, SLE Disease Activity Index

40 2000; TULIP, Treatment of Uncontrolled Lupus via the Interferon Pathway.

4

^cGlucocorticoids include prednisone or equivalent. ^dAzathioprine, methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil, mycophenolic acid, and mizoribine.

- Table S2. LLDAS response rate by timepoint and BICLA response status from Week 4 to Week 52, analysed using a stratified Cochran—
- 42 Mantel-Haenszel approach

		Nun	nber of patients, n	(%) ^a	Comparison between groups ^a				
Timepoint	BICLA responder status at Week 52 ^b	LLDAS Responder	LLDAS Nonresponder	95% CI response rate	Difference in response rates	95% CI difference	P-value ^c		
Week 4	Responder	0	318 (100)	0.0, 1.8	0.2	24.21	0.9665		
	Nonresponder	1 (0.2)	500 (99.8)	0.0, 1.5	-0.2	-2.4, 2.1	0.8665		
W 10	Responder	10 (3.2)	308 (96.8)	0.6, 5.7	1.8	-1.2, 4.8	0.2424		
Week 8	Nonresponder	7 (1.4)	494 (98.6)	0.0, 3.0	1.8	-1.2, 4.8			
Week 12	Responder	27 (8.5)	291 (91.5)	5.2, 11.8	5.5	1.7.02	0.0044		
Week 12	Nonresponder	15 (3.0)	486 (97.0)	1.1, 4.9	5.5	1.7, 9.3	0.0044		
Week 16	Responder	61 (19.4)	257 (80.6)	15.2, 23.6	116	9.8, 19.3	<0.0001		
vveek 10	Nonresponder	24 (4.8)	477 (95.2)	2.6, 7.0	14.6	9.0, 19.3	NU.UUU1		
Week 20	Responder	89 (28.1)	229 (71.9)	23.4, 32.9	22.1	16.8, 27.4	<0.0001		

		Nun	nber of patients, n	(%) ^a	Comparison between groups ^a				
Timepoint	BICLA responder status at Week 52 ^b	LLDAS Responder	LLDAS Nonresponder	95% CI response rate	Difference in response rates	95% CI difference	<i>P</i> -value ^c		
	Nonresponder	30 (6.1)	471 (93.9)	3.7, 8.4					
W1-24	Responder	109 (34.5)	209 (65.5)	29.4, 39.5	20.4	22.8, 34.0	<0.0001		
Week 24	Nonresponder	31 (6.1)	470 (93.9)	3.7, 8.4	28.4		<0.0001		
Week 28	Responder	117 (36.8)	201 (63.2)	31.7, 41.8	28.4	22.7, 34.2	<0.0001		
Week 28	Nonresponder	42 (8.3)	459 (91.7)	5.7, 10.9			\0.0001		
Week 32	Responder	125 (39.2)	193 (60.8)	34.0, 44.4	31.8	26.0.27.6	<0.0001		
Week 32	Nonresponder	37 (7.4)	464 (92.6)	4.9, 9.9	31.8	26.0, 37.6	\0.0001		
Week 36	Responder	139 (43.6)	179 (56.4)	38.3, 49.0	36.1	20.2.42.0	<0.0001		
week 30	Nonresponder	38 (7.5)	463 (92.5)	5.0, 10.0	30.1	30.2, 42.0	< 0.0001		
Wook 40	Responder	150 (47.2)	168 (52.8)	41.8, 52.6	41.2	35.3, 47.1	<0.0001		
Week 40	Nonresponder	30 (6.0)	471 (94.0)	3.7, 8.4	41.2	55.5, 47.1	SU.UUU 1		
Week 44	Responder	153 (47.9)	165 (52.1)	42.6, 53.3	40.8	34.8, 46.7	<0.0001		

		Nun	nber of patients, n	(%) ^a	Con	nparison between gr	oups ^a
Timepoint	BICLA responder status at Week 52 ^b	. 1		Difference in response rates	95% CI difference	<i>P</i> -value ^c	
	Nonresponder	36 (7.2)	465 (92.8)	4.7, 9.7			
West- 40	Responder	168 (52.9)	150 (47.1)	47.5, 58.3		42.4, 54.1	<0.0001
Week 48	Nonresponder	23 (4.6)	478 (95.4)	2.4, 6.7	48.3		<0.0001
Wook 52	Responder	186 (58.4)	132 (41.6)	53.1, 63.7	547	40.0.60.2	<0.0001
Week 52	Nonresponder	19 (3.8)	482 (96.2)	1.7, 5.8	54.7	49.0, 60.3	NO.0001

45 aResponder rates were calculated using a stratified Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel approach, with stratification factors of SLEDAI-2K at screening,

Day 1 glucocorticoid dose, type I IFN gene signature at screening, and study. Nominal P-values were calculated using logistic regression with

47 the same stratification factors. ^bThe number of patients analysed at each timepoint was the same; n=318 responders and n=501 nonresponders.

48 ^cUnadjusted *P*-values are presented.

49 BICLA, British Isles Lupus Assessment Group-based Composite Lupus Assessment; CI, confidence interval; IFN, interferon; LLDAS, Lupus

50 Low Disease Activity State; SLEDAI-2K, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2000.

52 Mantel-Haenszel approach

		Number of patients, n (%) ^a			Comparison between groups ^a			
Timepoint	SRI(4) responder status at Week 52 ^b	LLDAS Responder	LLDAS Nonresponder	95% CI response rate	Difference in response rates	95% CI difference	P-value ^c	
Week 4	Responder	0	380 (100)	0.0, 1.6	-0.2	-2.4, 1.9	0.8231	
week 4	Nonresponder	1 (0.2)	438 (99.8)	0.0, 1.7				
Week 8	Responder	10 (2.7)	370 (97.3)	0.5, 4.9	1.1	-1.7, 3.9	0.4448	
Week o	Nonresponder	7 (1.6)	432 (98.4)	0.0, 3.3				
Week 12	Responder	30 (8.1)	350 (91.9)	5.1, 11.1	5.3	1.8, 8.9	0.0035	
Week 12	Nonresponder	12 (2.7)	427 (97.3)	0.8, 4.7				
Week 16	Responder	66 (17.5)	314 (82.5)	13.8, 21.3	13.3	8.9, 17.6	<0.0001	
WEEK 10	Nonresponder	19 (4.3)	420 (95.7)	2.0, 6.5				
Wook 20	Responder	99 (26.4)	281 (73.6)	22.1, 30.7	22.0	17.2, 26.8	<0.0001	
Week 20	Nonresponder	20 (4.4)	419 (95.6)	2.2, 6.6				

		Nu	ımber of patients, ı	n (%) ^a	Comparison between groups ^a				
Timepoint	SRI(4) responder status at Week 52 ^b	LLDAS Responder	LLDAS Nonresponder	95% CI response rate	Difference in response rates	95% CI difference	P-value ^c		
Week 24	Responder	118 (31.2)	262 (68.8)	26.6, 35.8	26.4	21.2, 31.5	<0.0001		
week 24	Nonresponder	22 (4.9)	417 (95.1)	2.6, 7.1					
Wools 20	Responder	127 (33.9)	253 (66.1)	29.3, 38.5	26.7	21.4, 32.0	<0.0001		
Week 28	Nonresponder	32 (7.2)	407 (92.8)	4.5, 9.8					
Week 32	Responder	134 (35.6)	246 (64.4)	30.9, 40.3	29.4	24.1, 34.7	<0.0001		
week 32	Nonresponder	28 (6.2)	411 (93.8)	3.7, 8.7					
Week 36	Responder	153 (40.7)	227 (59.3)	35.9, 45.6	35.5	30.1, 40.8	<0.0001		
week 30	Nonresponder	24 (5.3)	415 (94.7)	2.9, 7.6					
Wash 40	Responder	165 (43.9)	215 (56.1)	39.0, 48.8	40.5	35.3, 45.8	<0.0001		
Week 40	Nonresponder	15 (3.3)	424 (96.7)	1.3, 5.4					
Wools 44	Responder	174 (46.4)	206 (53.6)	41.6, 51.2	43.1	37.8, 48.3	<0.0001		
Week 44	Nonresponder	15 (3.3)	424 (96.7)	1.3, 5.4					

55

60

		Nu	mber of patients, 1	1 (%) ^a	Comparison between groups ^a			
Timepoint	SRI(4) responder status at Week 52 ^b	LLDAS Responder	LLDAS Nonresponder	95% CI response rate	Difference in response rates	95% CI difference	<i>P</i> -value ^c	
Wash 40	Responder	184 (48.9)	196 (51.1)	43.9, 53.8	47.3	42.0, 52.5	<0.0001	
Week 48	Nonresponder	7 (1.6)	432 (98.4)	0.0, 3.3				
Week 52	Responder	203 (54.0)	177 (46.0)	49.1, 58.8	53.5	48.4, 58.6	<0.0001	
week 52	Nonresponder	2 (0.5)	437 (99.5)	0.0, 1.9				

^aResponder rates were calculated using a stratified Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel approach, with stratification factors of SLEDAI-2K at screening,

Day 1 glucocorticoid dose, type I IFN gene signature at screening, and study. Nominal P-values were calculated using logistic regression with

56 the same stratification factors. ^bThe number of patients analysed at each timepoint was the same; n=380 responders and n=439 nonresponders.

57 ^cUnadjusted *P*-values are presented.

58 CI, confidence interval; IFN, interferon; LLDAS, Lupus Low Disease Activity State; SLEDAI-2K, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease

59 Activity Index 2000; SRI(4), SLE Responder Index-4; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus.

- 61 **Table S4.** LupusQoL responses (change from baseline) by LLDAS attainment at Week 52,
- analysed using summary statistics

LupusQoL change from baseline to Week 52 by domain, median (IQR)	LLDAS responder (n=205)	LLDAS nonresponder (n=614)			
Physical health	9.38 (0, 25.00)	3.13 (-3.13, 18.75)			
Pain	8.34 (0, 33.33)	8.33 (0, 25.00)			
Planning	8.33 (0, 33.33)	0 (-8.33, 16.67)			
Intimate relationships	0 (0, 25.00)	0 (0, 12.50)			
Burden to others	8.33 (0, 33.33)	0 (-8.33, 25.00)			
Emotional health	4.17 (0, 16.67)	4.16 (-4.17, 16.66)			
Body image	7.50 (0, 25.00)	5.00 (-5.00, 20.83)			
Fatigue	12.50 (0, 31.25)	6.25 (-6.25, 18.75)			

- 64 IQR, interquartile range; LLDAS, Lupus Low Disease Activity State; LupusQoL, Lupus
- 65 Quality of Life.