Background: Parenteral anti-osteoporotic medications are frequently recommended for the management of primary and secondary osteoporosis by NICE (1) and EULAR (2) guidelines.

Objectives: This audit aimed at evaluating the efficacy, adherence and safety profile of denosumab (D), zoledronate (Z) and teriparatide (T).

Methods: The data of patients initiating D, Z and T from 2012-2021 were retrospectively reviewed using electronic medical records at Basildon hospital.

Results: We enrolled 146 patients diagnosed with low bone density and on fol-
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Table 1. Monitoring BMD after courses of D, Z and T.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of scanned patients</th>
<th>After the 5th D</th>
<th>After the 6th D</th>
<th>After the 3rd Z</th>
<th>After the 5th Z 2 years of T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved or stable BMD at spine or hip areas</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved or stable BMD at the spine but declined at hip area</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declined BMD at both spine and hip areas</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The course of T was stopped earlier in 28.2% of the cases due to the difficulty in taking injections or side effects including nausea, gastric upset, myalgia, insomnia, poor renal function, raised PTH, ALP and calcium. Dental issues (not osteonecrosis) were the reason to stop Z and D in 2% of each group and 8% choose to discontinue D after developing other non-related comorbidities. There were no fragility fractures during the treatment courses.

The outcome after reviewing 91.3% of those who completed or stopped the T course shows 39% commenced on D whereas 35.7% and 19% on Z and alendronate respectively leaving 71% on drug holiday. After completion of the 10th D, 78.5% of the reviewed patients were continued on further D injections whereas 3.5% were switched to T and 17.8% given drug holiday. For those who were reviewed after the 5th Z, 94% were switched to D and 5.8% given drug holiday.

Conclusion: D, Z and T were well tolerated and could effectively either maintain or improve the BMD at both spinal and hip sites and prevent fragility fractures and T was associated with the most pronounced soar in BMD. There were few cases of deterioration mainly at the hip area with all groups.

Prior bisphosphonate therapy did not show significant influence on the later effects of D, Z or T on BMD. After completion of the treatment for the 3 groups, only 30.87 % of the reviewed cases were advised for drug holiday and majority were switched to D as Z and T is limited to 5 and 2 years course respectively. Heterogeneity in decision making exists due to variability in recommending these medications, patient preference and mode of administration. To optimize the adherence and effects of anti-osteoporotic medications, stratified guidelines is required for the long term use of these medications and discontinuation of D.
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Graph 1: demonstrates the sequence of anti-osteoporotic treatments in 468 patients.
the baseline - 14.7±0.2 years. All patients retrospectively calculated the 10-year probability of fractures and prognostic model developed by the IR.

Results: According to the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool, 32 (46%) patients had a low risk of osteoporotic fractures, 38 (54%) had a high risk. According to the predictive model of IR 33 (47%) patients had a low risk of osteoporotic fractures, 37 (53%) had a high risk. During the follow-up period, osteoporotic fractures were occurred in 18 (26%) patients: 14 (78%) of them had a high risk of fractures according to the predictive IR model, and 13 (72%) patients - according to the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool. Positive and negative predictive value of the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool was 34% and 84%, respectively; of the predictive model of IR - 38% and 88%, respectively. Prognosis of the predictive model of IR in 73% cases coincided with assessing the 10-year probability of fracture.

Conclusion: The predictive model developed at V.A. Nasonova Research Institute of Rheumatology (Russia) showed a higher sensitivity and specificity in determining the risk of osteoporotic fractures in RA patients vs FRAX algorithm.
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POS1109  MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF RISK FACTORS FOR REDUCED BONE MINERAL DENSITY ASSESSED WITH RADIOFREQUENCY ECHOCOGRAPHIC MULTI SPECTROMETRY (REMS)
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Background: Radiofrequency echographic multi spectrometry (REMS) is an innovative radiation-free approach for the assessment of bone mineral density (BMD) at axial sites. The principle of this technology is based on the analysis of native raw ultrasonic signals, i.e., the so-called radiofrequency ultrasonic signals, acquired during an echographic scan of the lumbar spine and femoral neck [1]. A previous published study showed a high degree of correlation between the T-score values provided by the two techniques-REMS and dual energy X-ray absorptiometry for both lumbar spine and femoral neck [2]. REMS software outputs information about BMD (g/cm2), T-scores, z-scores [standard deviations (SD)], percentage of body fat and basal metabolic rate [BMR (kcal/daily)] [3].

Objectives: The aim of the current study is to investigate the multivariate significant risk factors for reduced BMD through REMS technology.

Methods: In this study, a total of 273 women with mean age 62 years (yrs.) ± 12 yrs. (range 25-88 yrs.) underwent REMS assessments. Subjects were divided into two groups after acquiring information about the spinal T-scores: 1st group with T-scores <-1 SD and 2nd group with T-scores < -1 SD. Age, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), basal metabolic rate (BMR), body fat and menopausal status were the risk factors included in the multivariate statistical analyses. Binary logistic regression was used to assess which are the significant risk factors for T-score <-1 SD. Youden's indices were calculated for selecting the cut-off points for each risk factor.

Results: 273 women had mean weight of 70.5 kg ± 15.7 kg (range 39.4-127.3 kg), mean height 157.1 cm ± 8.8 cm. (range 100-182 cm), and mean body mass index (BMI) 28.6 kg/m2 ± 6.1 kg/m2 (range 14.9-47.5 kg/m2). The mean body fat of the subjects was 37.8% ± 8.8% (range 9-52%), and the mean BMR was 1274.01 kcal/daily ± 163.17 kcal/daily (range 929-1908.4 kcal/daily). 260 women (95.2%) were attributed to postmenopausal. Age (p=0.000), BMI (p=0.015), menopause (p=0.006) and BMR (p=0.000) were the multivariate significant risk factors for T-score <-1 SD. Odds ratio for the risk factor age was 1.16, so each added year of the women's age increased the risk for T-score <-1 SD by 1.16%. Women over the age of 65 yrs. showed the highest risk for spinal T-score <-1 SD. The odds ratio of the menopausal as a risk factor for spinal T-score <-1 SD was 9.54, so postmenopausal women showed about 9.5 times higher risk of T-score <-1 SD than the lumbar spine in women who still have their period. The increase of BMI by one kg/m2 decreased the probability of spinal T-score <-1 SD by 0.15% and the increase of BMR by one kcal/daily decreased this probability by 0.02%. Women with BMI above 28.6 kg/m2 and those with BMR >1331.75 kcal/daily were unlikely to develop spinal T-score <-1 SD.

Conclusion: In the current study, multivariate regression analysis was used to develop a specific REMS-based risk prediction model for BMD, corresponding to T-score <-1 SD. Postmenopausal women over age of 65 yrs. with BMI lower than 28.6 kg/m2 and BMR <1331.75 kcal/daily were at the highest risk for T-score <-1 SD of the lumbar spine.
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POS1110  RELIABILITY OF VERTEBRAL FRACTURE ASSESSMENT ON DUAL-ENERGY X-RAY ABSORPTIOMETRY
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Background: Vertebral Fracture Assessment (VFA) is a new feature available on modern densitometers. Yet, the assessment of vertebral fracture (VF) status has not become standard practice.

Objectives: Our study aimed to evaluate the reliability of VFA as assessed by a rheumatologist and a radiology technician.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional study assessing the performance of low-dose single energy x-ray absorptiometry VFA for the detection of VF. We selected patients who were assessed for osteoporosis according to screening protocols. Bone mineral densitometry was measured using standard methods over the lumbar spine L1-L4, the total proximal femur, and results were expressed as T-scores. All VFA were independently evaluated by 2 experienced readers: a rheumatologist and a radiology technician for the identification of VF (T4-L4).VF was classified according to the Genant grading system: grade 1 for an anterior, mid or posterior reduction of 20–25% in vertebral height; grade 2 for a reduction of 25–40% and grade 3 for a reduction of more than 40% in vertebral height. A score for the inter-rater reliability between the readers was expressed using the kappa statistic.

Results: One hundred patients were included, with a mean age of 66.9 ± 9.5 years [46.7-83] years. There was a female predominance (91%). Nearly half of patients had osteopenia (48.9%), 27.7% had osteoporosis and 23.4% had a normal bone mineral density. On VFA scans, the non-visible vertebrae was mostly located in the upper thoracic spine (60%). The mean number of VF was 1.2 [0-3] for both readers. According to the doctor’s evaluation, 25% of patients had at least one VF, of which 75.9% had a Genant grade 1, 17.2% had a Genant 2, and 6.9% had a VF grade 3. According to the technician evaluation, at least one VF was found in 36% of patients. A grade 1 was assessed in 91.7% of cases, a grade 2 in 8.3% of patients but no grade 3 was assessed. A kappa score for the inter-rater reliability between the readers for VFA was 0.545 (p=0.000). The overall agreement by grade between the readers was the 0.785 (p<0.000). The exclusion of non-visible vertebra resulted in a better agreement (k=0.853). Further analysis excluding vertebra T4 to D10, revealed a very good agreement (k=0.9).

Conclusion: Our study showed a low agreement between the readers on VFA and a better agreement when non-visible vertebrae were excluded. Thus, caution should be advocated when relying exclusively on this device.
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POS1111  DIAGNOSIS OF OSTEOPOROSIS USING RADIOFREQUENCY ECHOCOGRAPHIC MULTI SPECTROMETRY (REMS) AT THE LUMBAR SPINE IN PATIENTS WITH DIFFERENT BODY MASS INDEX
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Background: In recent years, the technology based on the analysis of raw ultrasound signals, Radiofrequency Echographic Multi Spectrometry (REMS), has been validated against Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA) for the diagnosis of osteoporosis and risk fracture prediction.

Objectives: The aim of this multicenter observational study was to evaluate the diagnostic performance of REMS with respect to DXA in patients with different body mass index (BMI) categories.

Methods: The inclusion criteria were: Caucasian women; age between 30 and 90 years; referral by their clinician for spinal DXA assessment; absence of significant walking impairment; signed informed consent.
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