Background: Experts emphasize early diagnosis and treatment in RA, but the widely used diagnostic criteria fail to meet the accurate judgment of early rheumatoid arthritis. In 2012, Professor Zhangxiu Li took the lead in establishing ERA “Chinese standard,” and its sensitivity and accuracy have been recognized by peers. However, the optimal first-line treatment of patients (pts) with undifferentiated arthritis (UA), early rheumatoid arthritis (ERA), and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) are yet to be established.

Objectives: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of iguratimod-based (IGU-based) Strategy in the above three types of pts, and to explore the characteristics of the effects of IGU monotherapy and combined treatment.

Methods: This prospective cohort study (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01548001) was conducted in China. In this phase 4 study pts with RA (ACR 1987 criteria[1]), ERA (not match ACR 1987 criteria[1] but match ACR/ EULAR 2010 criteria[2] or 2014 ERA criteria[3]), UA (not match classification criteria for ERA and RA but imaging suggests synovitis) were recruited. We applied different treatments according to the patient’s disease activity at baseline, including IGU monotherapy and combination therapies with methotrexate, hydroxychloroquine, and prednisone. Specifically, pts with LDA and fewer poor prognostic factors were entered the IGU monotherapy group (25mg bid), and pts with high disease activity were assigned to combination groups. A Chi-square test was applied for comparison. The primary outcomes were the proportion of pts in remission (REM) or low disease activity (LDA) that is DAS28-ESR<2.6 or 3.2 at 24 weeks, as well as the proportion of pts, achieved ACR20, Boolean remission, and good or moderate EULAR response (G+M).

Results: A total of 313 pts (26 pts with UA, 59 pts with ERA, and 228 pts with RA) were included in this study. Of these, 227/313 (72.5%) pts completed the 24-week follow-up. The results showed that 115/227 (50.7%), 174/227 (76.7%), 77/227 (33.9%), 179/227 (78.9%) pts achieved DAS28-ESR defined REM and LDA, ACR20, Boolean remission, G+M response, respectively. All parameters continued to decrease in all pts after treatment (Fig 1). Compared with baseline, the three highest decline indexes of disease activity at week 24 were SW28, CDAI, and T28, with an average decline rate of 73.8%, 61.4%, 58.7%, respectively. Results were similar in three cohorts. We performed a stratified analysis of which IGU treatment should be used in different cohorts. The study found that the proportion of pts with UA and ERA who used IGU monotherapy were significantly higher than those in the RA cohort. While the proportion of triple and quadruple combined use of IGU in RA pts was significantly higher than that of ERA and UA at baseline and whole-course (Fig 2). A total of 81/313 (25.8%) pts in this study had adverse events (AE) with no serious adverse events. The main adverse events were infection(25/313, 7.99%), gastrointestinal disorders(13/313, 4.15%), liver dysfunction(13/313, 7.99%), which were lower than 259/2666 (9.71%) in the previous Japanese phase IV study.[4]

The most common reasons of lost follow-up were: 1) discontinued after remission 25/86 (29.1%); 2) lost 22/86 (25.6%); 3) drug ineffective 19/86 (22.1%).

Conclusion: Both IGU-based monotherapy and combined therapies are tolerable and effective for treating UA, ERA, and RA, while the decline in joint symptoms was most significant. Overall, IGU combination treatments were most used in RA pts, while monotherapy was predominant in ERA and UA pts.
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Figure 1: Change of various disease activity assessment criteria of UA, ERA and RA

Figure 2: Comparison of proportion of monotherapy and combined use of IGU in UA, ERA and RA
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Background: Methotrexate (MTX) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) are the first line treatments of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) during treatment with these drugs are common. Survival analysis on time to first ADR and on first time drug use duration have not yet been performed for these drugs in real-world settings.

Objectives: To compare proportions of patients with ADRs during first time use of either MTX monotherapy, HCQ monotherapy or MTX+HCQ combination therapy and to compare survival to first ADR and drug survival between these drugs.

Methods: Retrospective single centre cohort study including adult RA patients treated with either MTX monotherapy, HCQ monotherapy or MTX+HCQ combination therapy. First time users between 1 January 2003 and 30 April 2020 were followed until discontinuation of their first time drug use. The proportion of patients with ADRs was defined as the percentage of patients experiencing an ADR during their first time drug use. Survival to first ADR during first time drug use of MTX, HCQ or a combination of both was statistically tested using Fisher’s Exact Test. Survival to first ADR and drug survival were studied by Kaplan-Meier analysis and statistically tested by performing Log Rank tests.

Results: In total, 794 patients were included (MTX 383, HCQ 77, MTX+HCQ 354). For 156 patients (19.6%) at least one ADR was registered during first time drug use (MTX 59 [16.3%], HCQ 9 [11.7%], MTX+HCQ 88 [24.9%]). Proportions of ADRs differed significantly between MTX monotherapy and MTX+HCQ combination therapy (p=0.005) and between HCQ monotherapy and MTX+HCQ combination therapy (p=0.011). Survival to first ADR also differed significantly for both monotherapies compared to MTX+HCQ combination therapy (medians not reached, p<0.001 and p=0.008, respectively (figure 1A)). Drug survival differed significantly between MTX and HCQ monotherapy and between MTX monotherapy and MTX+HCQ combination therapy (median survival MTX 3.32 years [95% CI [2.81-3.83], HCQ 1.39 years [95% CI [1.03-1.75]], MTX+HCQ 1.23 years [95% CI [1.11-1.34]), both p<0.001 (figure 1B)).
Conclusion: Patients using MTX+HCQ monotherapy are more likely to experience an ADR during the first time drug use compared to MTX and HCQ monotherapies. MTX+HCQ combination therapy also leads to experiencing an ADR sooner compared to both monotherapies. Drug survival of patients treated with HCQ monotherapy as well as MTX+HCQ combination therapy is shorter compared to MTX monotherapy.
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Background: Baricitinib (BARI) is a JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor which provides improvements to clinical signs, symptoms, and patient-reported outcomes (PROs) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis [1, 2].

Objectives: The effect of BARI on the relationship between disease activity and pain has been explored previously [3]. The purpose of this post hoc analysis was to determine the association between additional PROs (physical function, pain) and MJS duration than patients treated with PBO or ADA regardless of their disease activity status reached after 12 weeks of treatment. Using this approach, improvements in fatigue with BARI 4 mg may be greater than with PBO and similar to ADA after 12 weeks.
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