**Conclusion:** There was a significant improvement between the GIO pre and post-educational data, with increasing use of GIO preventive measures. Importantly, there was also a reduction in BMD testing of patients while still on GC. This research show the importance of provider education as a means of disseminating information and improving the quality of patient care.
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**POS0171 UNDERESTIMATION OF THE FRACTURE RISK BY THE FRAX FORMULA IN CHRONIC GLUCOCORTICOID USERS: A 10-YEAR LONGITUDINAL VALIDATION STUDY**

C. C. Mok1, L. Y. Ho1, S. M. Tse1, K. L. Chan1, Tuen Mun Hospital, Medicine, Hong Kong, Hong Kong (SAR)

**Background:** Objectives: To compare the actual fracture incidence over 10 years in a longitudinal cohort of patients using glucocorticoids (GCs) with the risk prediction from FRAX (fracture risk assessment tool).

**Methods:** Patients who attended our out-patient rheumatology clinics were included according to the following criteria: (1) adult patients ≥18 years; (2) underlying rheumatic diseases requiring prednisolone treatment (≥5mg/day); and (3) have had a DXA scan (baseline) performed between years 2007-2009. The predicted rates of major osteoporotic and hip fractures were estimated using FRAX (China database) based on the clinical data at the time of DXA, with adjustment when daily dose of prednisolone ≥7.5mg (multiply by 1.15 for major osteoporotic and 1.20 for hip fracture). The actual observed incidence of symptomatic vertebral and non-vertebral fractures at 10 years (in years 2017-2019) follow-up was retrieved by medical record review and compared with the estimated rates by FRAX. Factors associated with symptomatic clinical fractures at 10 years were studied by logistic regression.

**Results:** 89 patients were studied (age 49.3±8.8 years at DXA examination; 98% women). The underlying rheumatic diseases were systemic lupus erythematosus (68%), rheumatoid arthritis (17%) and others (14%). The mean daily dose of prednisolone at baseline was 7.7±6.5mg (38% patients received anti-osteoporotic treatment (oral bisphosphonates in 25, and 25.8%, respectively at baseline (32% at any of the 3 sites). 30(34%) patients received anti-osteoporotic treatment (oral bisphosphonates in 25, and 25.8% at baseline). The mean BMD was Z score -0.75±0.85 at spine (T score -1.57±1.09). The predicted major osteoporotic and hip fracture incidence was 14.6% (7.7% and 7.0%, respectively) at 10 years. After a follow-up of 10 years, one patient had a vertebral fracture (T score -3.2), and 3 patients had humerus fractures and 9 patients had symptomatic vertebral fractures. The actual observed incidence of symptomatic vertebral and non-vertebral fractures at 10 years (in years 2017-2019) follow-up was retrieved by medical record review and compared with the estimated rates by FRAX. Factors associated with symptomatic clinical fractures at 10 years were studied by logistic regression.

**Conclusion:** Despite adjustment for prednisolone dosage, the FRAX formula underestimates the major clinical fracture risk in patients using long-term GCs. The deleterious effect of GCs on bone quality, high proportion of SLE patients, disease activity and use of additional doses of GCs and other immunosuppressive drugs during follow-up are among the contributing factors for this underestimation.
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**POS0172 THROMBIN GENERATION ASSAY AND LUPUS ANTICOAGULANT IDENTIFY DIFFERENT POPULATIONS OF PATIENTS WITH ANTIPHOSPHOLIPID ANTIBODIES**

M. Fradin1, A. Barinotti1, I. Cecchi1, S. G. Foddai1, E. Rubini1, D. Roccatello1, E. Menegatti1, S. Sciascia1. University of Turin, Clinical and Biological Sciences, Turin, Italy

**Background:** Risk stratification in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) remains a clinical challenge [1].

**Objectives:** We aimed to evaluate the role of Thrombin Generation Assay (TGA) in distinguishing various populations of aPL positive patients (with and without lupus anticoagulant - LA) and its association with i2GPI-dependent and anti- phosphatidyl-serine/protrombin (aPSP/PT) antibodies.

**Methods:** One-hundred-and-eight patients were tested with TGA and divided as follows: 21 patients with aPS/PT IgG/IgM (Group 1), 29 with a2GPI IgG/IgM (Group 2), 31 with aPS/PT and a2GPI IgG/IgM (Group 3), 27 with aPS/PT and/or a2GPI IgM low-titers (Group 4). Table 1 resumes the clinical characteristics of the APS patients (excluding aPL asymptomatic). Thirty-one healthy donors (HDs) and 24 controls treated with VKA were also included.

**Results:** The most deranged TGA and LA profile was observed in patients with both aPS/PT and a2GPI when compared to those with an isolated positivity for aPS/PT or a2GPI and patients with aPS/PT and/or a2GPI IgM at low titres (Figure 1). Similarly, patients with aPS/PT and/or a2GPI at medium/high titres presented with the higher rate of clinical manifestations.

When comparing the TGA curves of APS patients, asymptomatic aPL positive (aPL+) subjects, HDs and controls treated with VKA, we observed that aPL+ patients (particularly those with a confirmed diagnosis of APS) showed a characteristic profile.

**Disclosures:** The four patient groups were confirmed also when comparing APS clinical manifestations. When comparing Group 1 and Group 4 we found significant differences with respect to the number of thrombotic events (21 vs.15 vs.0.05), the number of venous events (9 vs.3 vs.0.05), the occurrence of thrombosis (19 vs.0.0 vs.0.05). Group 2 and Group 4 showed differences in the occurrence of venous thromboses (50 vs.20 vs.0.05), and in the occurrence of DVT (8 vs.2 vs.0.05). Group 3 and Group 4, had higher number of thrombotic events (36 vs.15, p <0.05), the occurrence and the number of venous events (46% vs.15 vs.20% vs.3.05), the occurrence of TIA and DVT (4.11 vs.0 vs.2.05). When analysing the cumulative frequency of extra-criteria APS manifestations, Group 1 and Group 3 were comparable, while comparing Group 3 and those positive for a2GPI and/or aPS/PT IgM at low titres (Group 4) we found a statistically significant difference (71% vs.13% vs.0.05).

**Conclusion:** TGA seems a valuable approach to stratify aPL+ patients according to their risk profile. The differences among groups and different populations of autoantibodies specificities obtained from this test can be considered a translational validation of the increased thrombotic risk of patients with triple or tetra aPL positivity.
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