Most patients were woman (83.5%) with a mean age of 70.7 (SD=11.2) years-old at the time of their wrist fracture. A previous FF was seen in 22.9% of patients and 13.3% had a new FF during the follow-up period. We found an association between the occurrence of a new FF and the number of comorbidities (p=0.012), number of visits to the ED due to falls (p<0.001), previous fracture (and localization), overweight/obesity and other cardiovascular risk factors, endocrinopathies, psychiatric or neurologic disease or other comorbidities. After adjustment for age, gender, anti-osteoporotic treatment and comorbidities, the main predictors of a new FF were visits to the ED for falls (p=0.005), chronic pulmonary disease (p=0.040), hematologic pathologies (p=0.004) and need for hospitalization (p=0.040) (table 1).

**Table 1. Multivariate analyses: linear multiple regression for predictive factors of new fragility fracture.**

- **Determinants**
  - Unstandardized Coefficients B
  - Standardized Coefficients Beta
  - 95.0% CI
  - p-value
- **Variables**
  - Age
  - Gender
  - Number of comorbidities
  - Visits to the emergency service for falls
  - Chronic pulmonary disease
  - Hematologic pathologies
  - Need for hospitalization
  - Anti-osteoporotic treatment

**CI**: Confidence Interval; NS: non-significant.

**Conclusion**: Certain comorbidities seem to be associated with new FF. Patients with visits to the emergency service after falls and those who needed hospitalization due to the wrist fracture were more prone to have a new FF. There might be a substantial missed opportunity for intervention in these patients.
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**Background**: The main consequence of osteoporosis is the occurrence of fractures due to bone fragility, with important sequelae in terms of disability and mortality. It has been already demonstrated that the information about bone mass density (BMD) alone is not sufficient to predict the risk of fragility fractures, since several fractures occur in patients with normal BMD [1].

The Frailty Score is a parameter that allows to estimate skeletal fragility thanks to a trans-abdominal ultrasound scan performed with Radiofrequency Echographic Multi Spectrometry (REMS) technology. It is calculated by comparing the results of the spectral analysis of the patient’s raw ultrasound signals with reference models representative of fragile and non-fragile bones [2].

It is a dimensionless parameter, which can vary from 0 to 100, in proportion to the degree of fragility, independently from BMD.

**Objectives**: This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of Frailty Score, measured during a bone densitometry exam performed with REMS technology at lumbar spine, in identifying patients at risk of incident osteoporotic fractures at a follow-up period of 5 years.

**Methods**: Caucasian women with age between 30 and 90 were scanned with spinal REMS and DXA. The incidence of osteoporotic fractures was assessed during a follow-up period of 5 years. The ability of the Frailty Score to discriminate between patients with and without incident fragility fractures was subsequently evaluated and compared with the discriminatory ability of the T-score calculated with DXA and with REMS.

**Results**: Overall, 533 women (median age: 60 years; interquartile range [IQR]: 54-66 years) completed the follow-up (median 42 months; IQR: 35-56 months), during which 73 patients had sustained an incident fracture. Both median REMS and DXA measured T-score values were significantly lower in fractured patients than for non-fractured ones, conversely, REMS Frailty Score was significantly higher (Table 1).

**Table 1. Analysis of T-score values calculated with REMS and DXA and Frailty Score calculated with REMS.** Median values and interquartile ranges (IQR) are reported. The p-value is derived from the Mann-Whitney test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients without incident fragility fracture</th>
<th>Patients with incident fragility fracture</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-score DXA [median (IQR)]</td>
<td>-1.9 (-2.7 to -1.0)</td>
<td>-2.6 (-3.3 to -1.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-score REMS [median (IQR)]</td>
<td>-2.0 (-2.8 to -1.1)</td>
<td>-2.7 (-3.5 to -1.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frailty Score [median (IQR)]</td>
<td>29.9 (25.7 to 36.2)</td>
<td>53.0 (34.2 to 62.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By evaluating the capability to discriminate patients with/without fragility fractures, the Frailty Score obtained a value of the ROC area under the curve (AUC) of 0.80, higher than the AUC of the REMS T-score (0.66) and of the T-score DXA (0.64), and the difference was statistically significant (Figure 1).
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**Background**: Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic disabling disease that is associated with bone loss. Previous studies estimated that approximately...