Conclusion: The discrimination function is a proposed screening algorithm for pts with SSc-ILD who could develop progression disease. Usage of discrimination function revealed that 84% pts with ILD-SSc have got poor prognosis and 9% of them have got fatal outcome. Usage the equation of prognosis is very useful to choice of treatment and observation pts SSc-ILD.

Disclosure of Interests: None declared.

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-eular.3589

AB0454

SPECIFICITY OF INTERSTITIAL LUNG DISEASE IN PATIENTS WITH SYSTEMIC SCLEROSIS POSITIVE FOR A-TOPO-1 AND A-RNP

R. Shayakhmetova¹, L. P. Ananyeva¹, O. Koneva¹, M. Starovoytova¹, O. Desinova¹, O. Ovsyannikova¹, L. Garzanova¹, A. Khelkovskaia-Sergeeva¹, S. Glukhova¹. ¹V.A. Nasonova Research Institute of Rheumatology, V.A. Nasonova Research Institute of Rheumatology, Moscow, Russian Federation

Background: The classification criteria of SSC (EULAR/ACR 2013) compared to the criteria of 1980 showed high sensitivity and allow to detect the full spectrum of systemic sclerosis (SSc). The use of the new criteria led to the fact that some of the patients who were diagnosed with MCTD began to meet the criteria of SSc. Since these patients have hyperproduction of antibodies to RNP, but no other SSc-specific autoantibodies, we believe that they represent a special clinical and immunological phenotype of SSc. Lung involvement in SSc impairs the quality of life and the prognosis, so the study of interstitial lung disease(ILD) in different phenotypes is relevant.

Objectives: To compare the main manifestations of ILD in two groups of patients who meet the classification criteria of SSc 2013 - positive for a-Topo-1 and for a-RNP.

Methods: The study included 100 patients. The first group - 50 patients positive for a-Topo-1 (45 women and 5 men, mean age 55±10 years, duration of the disease 10.2±8 years, subset of the disease: diffuse 52%, limited 48%) and the second group - 50 patients positive for a-RNP (43 women and 7 men, mean age 44.5±14 years, duration of the disease 11.7±8 years; form of the disease: diffuse 14%, limited 86). The diagnosis of ILD was established by HRCT.

Results: In patients of group I ILD was detected in 94% of cases, in group II - 68% (p<0.05). In both groups a decrease in functional lung tests was noted with a high frequency, but a severe decrease in the lung diffusion capacity was significantly more often observed in group I.

Table 1. Data of laboratory and instrumental parameters

Parameters	a-Topo-1- positive	a-RNP-positive	p
	n (%)	n (%)	
Decrease of DLCO < 80%	49 (98)	43 (86)	p<0,05
DLCO <55%	33 (66)	16 (32)	p<0,05
Decrease of FVC< 80 %	19 (38)	10 (20)	p<0,05
FVC<70%	13 (26)	7 (14)	NS
Ground glass opacity	25 (50)	26 (52)	NS
Honeycombing	17 (34)	8 (16)	p<0,05
SPAP >40mm.Hg.	6 (12)	10 (20)	NS
including PAH**	2 (4)	3 (4,6)	NS
ANA> 1:640	37 (74)	45 (90)	p<0,05

* ANA – antinuclear antibodies, PAH – pulmonary arterial hypertension, SPAP - systolic pulmonary artery pressure ** confirmed by the data of catheterization of the right heart

Typical changes according to HRCT were detected in both groups, but fibrotic changes dominates in group I. There were no significant differences in the frequency of occurrence of PAH. Patients of group II had high level of ANA more often.

Conclusion: In both groups, a high frequency and similar manifestations of ILD were noted. In patients with SSc positive for anti-U1RNP, despite the predominance of limited skin lesions (in 86% of patients), a high incidence of ILD was detected, while the frequency of PAH was comparable to SSc in general. Compared with a-Topo-1 positive patients, ILD was significantly less frequent in anti-U1RNP positive patients and was accompanied with a smaller reduction of DLCO and/or FVC and a lower frequency of severe lung fibrosis. These data confirm the similarity of lung involvement in compared phenotypes of SSc and indicate the importance for screening for ILD in patients with hyperproduction of a-RNP, especially at an early stage of the disease.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Van den Hoogen F, Khanna D, Fransen J, et al. 2013 classification criteria for systemic sclerosis: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:1747-55.

Disclosure of Interests: None declared.

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-eular.3591

AB0455

MODIFICATION OF PROGNOSIS INTERVALS WAS DERIVED BY THE DISCRIMINATION FUNCTION FOR SSc-ILD PATIENTS

O. Ovsyannikova¹, L. P. Ananyeva¹, L. Garzanova¹, O. Koneva², S. Glukhova², M. Starovoytova¹, O. Desinova¹, R. Shayakhmetova¹. ¹V.A. Nasonova Research Institute of Rheumatology, Laboratory of Microcirculation and Inflammation, Moscow, Russian Federation; ²V.A. Nasonova Research Institute of Rheumatology, Educational and Methodical Department, Moscow, Russian Federation

Background: Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a multisystem autoimmune disease. Pulmonary alterations are the major cause of mortality in SSc. SSc-ILD has heterogeneous disease progression: many patients will have a chronic, indolent course while others may develop progressive, life-threatening disease.

Objectives: To assess prognosis intervals for pts with SSc-ILD.

Methods: It was a longitudinal study involving 140 pts with SSc-ILD. The mean age was 46.7±13.9years, females 82%, SSc duration 6.2±5.8 years, diffuse subset - 54%. The mean duration of follow up was 73,2±27,8 months. Clinical, laboratory, and immunologic data were collected on all patients.

Based on analysis of discrimination function the equation of prognosis was developed. The equation is 3.14GGO (ground glass opacities) + 0,7 index ESsSG - 1,326 FVC - 0,1 the maximum daily dose of glucocorticoids + 0,136 Gamma globulins + 1.066 CYP - 1.075 DLCO ≤ 5,8. Value of equation of prognosis to 5.8 corresponds to stabilization or good prognosis and value after 5.8 corresponds to poor prognosis. The equation of prognosis had 86% sensitivity and 56% specificity. The cut-off point 4.7 was represented 65% sensitivity and 67% specificity. The prognosis intervals were following: value of equation to 4.7 corresponds to good prognosis; value from 4.8 to 5.8 corresponds to stabilization and value after 5.8 corresponds to poor prognosis.

Results: Pts were distributed into groups depending on results of discrimination function: 14 pts (10%) - zone of good prognosis; 8 pts (6%) - zone of stabilization and 118 (84%) - zone of poor prognosis. We have decided to join pts from zone good prognosis and zone stabilization into united group (U-group) - 22 pts (16 %).

Table 1. Comparative characteristics of the groups

	Group 1 (n=14)	Group 2 (n=8)	U-Group (n=22)	Group 3 (n=118)
Age years	45,4±16,4	50,2±15,8	47,2±16	47,5±13
P>0,05				
Gender				
Male	3(21%)	1(12,5%)	4(18%)	21(18%)
Female	11(79%)	7(87,5%)	18(82%)	97(82%)
P>0,05				
Limited form	8(57%)	2(35%)	10(46%)	54(46%)
Diffuse form	6(43%)	6(75%)	12(54%)	64(54%)
P>0,05				
Duration of disease years	6,8±7,6	5±4,2	6,2±6,4	6,5±5,7
p>0,05				
CRP mg/ml	10±10	8,5±10,6	9,4±10,4	12,6±23,9
p>0,05				
ESR mm/h	16,7±13,1	17,4±21,9	17±16,3	23,5±16,7
p>0,05				
ANA-hep-2	525±322*	420±190	487±281*	774±430*
p: Gr1 vs Gr3 =0,038; Gr2 vs Gr3=0,02; Gr1,2 vs Gr3 =0,032				
a-Scl-70 u/ml	59,9±82,7*	67±94,5	116±93**	62,5±85* **
p: Gr1 vs Gr3 =0,03; Gr1,2 vs Gr3 =0,013				
Ejection fraction(EF)(%)	67,3±5,3*	65,5±6,1	66,7±5,5**	63±6,8* **
p: Gr1 vs Gr3 =0,02; Gr1,2 vs Gr3 =0,017				
PAP mm hg	31,6±5,8	32,4±9,3	31,9±7	36,4±14
p>0,05				
6MWT m	490±110	477±104	485±104	430±100
(6 Minute walk test)				
p>0,05				

The age, SSc duration, gender proportion and SSc forms, were similar in the all groups (p>0,05). In the study mean dates of ANF-hep-2, a-Scl-70 were significantly lower and EF was significantly higher in groups 1 and U-group than in group 3. In groups 1,2 and U-group the mean levels of ESR, CRP, PAP were lower and value of 6MWT were higher than mean levels in group 3 accordingly. So separately group 2 didn't have significant differences with group 1 and 3.

Conclusion: In the study we didn't find any correlation between meanings of group 2 and groups 1,3 but the meanings of group 1 and U-group have had the