Background: High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) is the gold standard diagnostic test for Interstitial lung disease (ILD), a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in systemic sclerosis (SSc). Different algorithms have been proposed for the screening of SSc-ILD, including the use of pulmonary function tests (Forced Vital Capacity - FVC, Lung Diffusion of Carbone Monoxide - DLCO). A prior survey reported that 50-66% of general rheumatologists and SSc experts ordered HRCT for ILD screening in newly diagnosed SSc patients (1).

Objectives: Given the recent availability of on-label treatment for SSc-ILD (2), the publication of consensus recommendations for the identification of SSc-ILD (3) and recent awareness programs for the use of HRCT to detect SSc-ILD, we aimed to re-evaluate the use of HRCT for screening, re-screening and follow-up of SSc-ILD.

Methods: An invitation was sent to the European Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) and Scleroderma Clinical Trials Consortium (SCTC) members, also advertised through social media. Answers were recorded between Nov 25th and Dec 31st 2020. Questions were asked on the use of chest HRCT at baseline, the re-screening of patients with a negative baseline HRCT and the follow-up of HRCT positive SSc-ILD patients. When HRCT was not routinely requested, additional details were collected about the parameters guiding its use. The results of the survey were tested for association with geographical origin, medical specialty, working environment, SSc referral institute and scientific group membership of the responders, using Chi-squared test.

Results: 205/630 (32.5%) physicians replied to the survey. Participants were widely distributed in terms of geographical origin (130 Europe, 23 Asia, 23 North America, 31 other continents), medical specialty (156 rheumatology, 17 internal medicine, 14 clinical immunology, 14 other), working environment (176 University Hospital, 12 community hospital, 17 other), SSc dedicated clinic (179 referral and 26 non-referral) and scientific group membership (98 EUSTAR, 42 SCTC, 42 EUSTAR and SCTC, 23 not declared).

At SSc diagnosis, 95.7% of responders would perform HRCT: 66.7% as routine screening for ILD (67.4% of SSc referral and 62% for non-referral physicians) and 29% for diagnostic purposes (among the latter, if crackles on auscultation - 92.5%, FVC<80% predicted - 86.6%, FVC±DLCO relative decline reaching the current definition of ILD progression, 86.6% or dyspnea at rest/exercise - 85.1/83.3%).

During follow-up, 78.8% of responders would repeat an HRCT in baseline negative cases: 20.3% as a yearly routine screening and 64.5% for diagnostic aims (decision on the latter group was more frequently driven by FVC±DLCO relative decline indicative of ILD progression- 90.6%, new onset or worsening of dyspnea at rest/exercise - 80.5/86.6%, new onset or worsening of lung crackles on auscultation - 82.6%).

Finally, 94.5% of responders would repeat a chest HRCT after SSc-ILD diagnosis: 36.8% as a yearly routine and 56.7% according to clinical evaluation (driven by new FVC±DLCO relative decline based ILD progression - 90.8%, new onset or worsening of dyspnea at rest/exercise - 83.2/81.7%; 5.2% to evaluate treatment effects). We found no difference in the distribution of answers among groups.

Conclusion: The use of baseline HRCT for the screening of SSc-ILD has slightly increased in non-referral and remained stable in referral centers compared to previous surveys, indicating that the implementation of guidelines might be successful and awareness programs should be continued. In addition, we provide new data on use of HRCT in re-screening and follow-up. The development of validated algorithms to further support the appropriate application of HRCT at follow-up is highly needed.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Bernstein EJ et al. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2018 Jun;70(6):971-972.
- [2] Distler O et al. N Engl J Med. 2019 Jun 27;380(26):2518-2528.
- [3] Hoffmann-Vold AM et al. The Lancet Rheumatology, Volume 2, Issue 2, e71 - e83.

Disclosure of Interests: Cosimo Bruni Speakers bureau: Actelion, Consultant of: Eli Lilly, Grant/research support from: Foundation for Research in Rheumatology (FOREUM), Gruppo Italiano Lotta alla Scleroderma (GILS), Fondazione Italiana per la Ricerca sull'Artrite (FIRA), New Horizon Fellowship, European Scleroderma Trial and Reserach (EUSTAR) Group., Lorinda Chung Consultant of: Boehringer Ingelheim, Eicos, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Reata., Anna-Maria Hoffmann-Vold Consultant of: Actelion, ARXX therapeutics, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Medscape, MSD, Lilly, Roche, Shervin Assassi Speakers bureau: Integrity Continuing Education, Consultant of: Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, and Corbus, Armando Gabrielli: None declared, Dinesh Khanna Consultant of: Acceleron, Actelion, Abbvie, Amgen, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, Corbus, Gilead, Galapagos, Genentech/Roche, GSK, Horizon, Merck, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, Sanofi-Aventis, and United Therapeutics Leadership, Grant/research support from: NIH, Immune Tolerance Network, Bayer, BMS, Horizon, Pfizer, Employee of: Equity position - Chief Medical Officer, Eicos Sciences, Inc., Elana Bernstein Consultant of: Boehringer Ingelheim, Oliver Distler Consultant of: Abbvie, Acceleron Pharma, Amgen, AnaMar, Arxx Therapeutics, Baecon Discovery, Blade Therapeutics, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, ChemomAb, Corbus Pharmaceuticals, CSL Behring, Galapagos NV, Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, GSK, Horizon (Curzion) Pharmaceuticals, Inventiva, iQvia, Italfarmaco, iQone, Kymera Therapeutics, Lilly, Medac, Medscape, Mitsubishi

Tanabe Pharma, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, Serodapharm, Topadur, Target Bioscience and UCB., Grant/research support from: Abbvie, Acceleron Pharma, Amgen, AnaMar, Arxx Therapeutics, Baecon Discovery, Blade Therapeutics, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, ChemomAb, Corbus Pharmaceuticals, CSL Behring, Galapagos NV, Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, GSK, Horizon (Curzion) Pharmaceuticals, Inventiva, iQvia, Italfarmaco, iQone, Kymera Therapeutics, Lilly, Medac, Medscape, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, Serodapharm, Topadur, Target Bioscience and UCB. Patent issued "mir-29 for the treatment of systemic sclerosis" (US8247389, EP2331143).

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-eular.849

AB0414

CROSS-CULTURAL ADAPTATION, CONVERGENT VALIDITY, AND RELIABILITY OF THE TURKISH VERSION OF THE COCHIN 17-ITEM SCLERODERMA FUNCTIONAL SCALE

N. G. Tore¹, D. Oskay¹, A. Avanoğlu Guler², A. Tufan². ¹Gazi University, Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Ankara, Turkey; ²Gazi University, Internal Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

Background: The Cochin 17-item Scleroderma Functional (CSF-17) Scale is a patient-reported outcome measure evaluating activities and participation in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc).

Objectives: The aim of the present study was to translate and cross-culturally adapt the CSF-17 into the Turkish language and investigate its convergent validity and reliability in Turkish-speaking patients with SSc.

Methods: The CSF-17 was cross-culturally adapted according to Beaton's guideline. Participants completed CSF-17 Scale, Scleroderma Health Assessment Questionnaire (SHAQ), Short Form-12 (SF-12) Health Survey and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). Internal consistency and test-retest reliability were determined interpreting Cronbach's alpha and Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) values, respectively. Convergent validity was tested using Pearson's correlation coefficient.

Results: Fifty-six patients with SSc were enrolled in the study. Cronbach's alpha and ICC values of the CSF-17 total score were found to be as 0.963 and 0.958, respectively, indicating excellent reliability. As for the convergent validity, it was determined that CSF-17 total score has a good correlation with SHAQ. Correlations of subscales of CSF-17 with subscales of SF-12 and HADS ranged from poor to moderate (Table 1).

Conclusion: Turkish version of CSF-17 met the set criteria of reliability and convergent validity. According to the results of the analysis, it was concluded that the Turkish version of the CSF-17 is a reliable and valid tool for Turkish-speaking SSc patients.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Daste C, Abdoul H, Foissac F et al. Development of a new patient-reported outcome measure to assess activities and participation in people with systemic sclerosis: the Cochin 17-item Scleroderma Functional scale. British Journal of Dermatology 2020; 183:710-718.
- [2] Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F et al. Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. Spine 2000; 25(24):3186-3191.
- [3] Karadag DT, Karakas F, Tekeoglu S et al. Validation of Turkish version of the Scleroderma Health Assessment Questionnaire. Clin Rheumatol, 2019,38(7):1917-1923.
- [4] Ware Jr J, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med. Care, 1996,34:220-233.
- [5] Zigmond A, Snaith R. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand, 1983,67:361-370.
- [6] Terwee CB, Bot SD, de Boer MR et al. Quality criteria were proposed for measurement properties of health status questionnaires. J Clin Epidemiol, 2007,60:34-42.

Table 1. Convergent validity of the CSF-17

Scales	CSF-17		
	Section A	Section B	Total
SHAQ	0.680**	0.640**	0.702**
HADS-A	0.405*	0.472**	
HADS-D	0.460**	0.605**	
SF-12 MCS	-0.482**	-0.491**	
SF-12 PCS	-0.745**	-0.700**	

CSF-17: Cochin 17-item Scleroderma Functional scale, SHAQ: Scleroderma Health Assessment Questionnaire, HADS-A: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety, HADS-D: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Depression, SF 12 MCS: Short Form-12 Mental Component Score, SF-12 PCS: Short Form-12 Physical Component Score. *p<0.05, **p<0.001

Disclosure of Interests: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-eular.865