to 2.13% with mean of $0.67 \pm 0.59\%$. A high significant difference was found between SLE pts and controls $p=0.001$, an insignificant rise was seen in SLE pts compared to T1DM pts $p=0.157$. A high significant increase in Th9 was found in severe SLE: mean of $3.74 \pm 1.15\%$ than in pts with mild to moderate SLE: mean $0.94 \pm 0.88\%$ $p<0.001$

IL9 level was highly increased in SLE pts: mean of 42.83 ± 23.98 pg/ml than both control gps. In healthy controls the mean was 8.54 ± 13.27 , while in T1DM with mean of 29.17 ± 16.09 pg/ml. A high significant difference was found between SLE pts and normal controls $p<0.001$ but an insignificant rise with T1DM $p=0.294$. A high significant increase in IL9 in pts with severe ds compared to mild to moderate pts $p<0.001$. A significant direct correlation between Th9 & IL9 and SLEDAI/105 A significant direct correlation between damage index and Th9 $p=0.040$ but not IL9 $p=0.053$

In SLE no significant relation between Th9 or IL9 & clinical manifestations or disease duration. A direct correlations between Th9 & ESR $p=0.046$ and CRP $p=0.025$, a significant correlation between IL9 and CRP $p=0.033$, no correlations between Th9&IL9 level and anti-dsDNA $p=0.593$ & 0.4 Significant direct correlation between Th9 and IL9 in T1DM pts, still no correlation with glyce-mic profile. IL9 levels were significantly increased in SLE with elevated CRP $p=0.033$ & the % of Th9 cells were increased with elevated ESR and CRP $p=0.025$, 0.046

Conclusion: In SLE pts; IL9 level and Th9 cells expression were significantly elevated compared to healthy controls. IL9 levels and the percentages of Th9 directly correlated with the SLE disease activity. IL9 levels also were significantly increased in T1DM pts compared to controls, but they were less expressed than in SLE. This suggests an important role of IL9 in the pathogenesis AIDs as SLE

REFERENCES:

- [1] Tahernia L et al. Cytokines in SLE: their role in pathogenesis of disease and possible therapeutic opportunities. *Rheum Res* 2017

Disclosure of Interests: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-eular.3946

AB0346

RHUPUS SYNDROME: CLINICAL ANALYSIS OF 21 PATIENTS

M. Ben Brahim¹, S. Daada¹, M. Elghali², J. Mahboub³, N. Bergaoui³, S. Hammami¹. ¹Fattouma Bourguiba University Hospital, Internal Medicine, Monastir, Tunisia; ²Fattouma Bourguiba University Hospital, Immunology, Monastir, Tunisia; ³Fattouma Bourguiba University Hospital, Rheumatology, Monastir, Tunisia

Background: Rhupus syndrome is a clinical condition characterized by a combination of the clinical and immunologic features of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (1). However, this definition did not establish whether Rhupus syndrome is a distinct clinical entity or is an overlap between RA and SLE. To date, fewer than 200 cases of Rhupus have been reported (1).

Objectives: Our purpose was to analyze the clinical and serological characteristics of patients with Rhupus and compare them with patients with SLE.

Methods: We undertook a retrospective study covering 10 years (2009 to 2019) of experience in our University Hospital: Department of Internal Medicine and department of Rheumatology. 238 patients hospitalized were evaluated during this period. The clinical and laboratory parameters of 21 patients with Rhupus syndrome were compared with those of 217 SLE without RA patients

Results: Twenty one patients were included (all fulfilled ACR criteria for SLE as well as for RA). They were 19 female and 2 male. The mean age of patients at the disease onset was 43.5 years. Among these, 9 patients (42.8%) were diagnosed with RA at the onset of disease and then developed SLE over an average period of 6.2 years. Six patients (28.5%) had a diagnosis of SLE prior to the RA diagnosis with an interval of 8.6 years between diagnoses. Six patients (28.5%) were diagnosed with RA and SLE concomitantly. Patients with Rhupus experienced more often arthritis, joint swelling, morning stiffness and joint erosions than patients with SLE alone ($p<0.001$). Comparison of extra-articular manifestations showed that, renal involvement was less often in patients with Rhupus when compared to patients with SLE-alone. There were no significant differences in the prevalence of malar rash, serositis, neurological and hematological features between the Rhupus and SLE-alone groups. Rheumatoid factor and anticyclic citrullinated peptide (CCP) antibody were significantly more prevalent in the Rhupus group than in the control group. The incidences of increased erythrocyte sediment rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP) were also significantly higher in Rhupus patients. (Table 1)

Table 1. Comparison of clinical and biological features between Rhupus Syndrome (Rhupus Group) and SLE-alone patients (Control Group).

	Rhupus Group N=21 (%)	Control Group N=217 (%)	p
Malar rash	12 (57.1)	120 (55.3)	0.87
Serositis	6 (28.5)	47 (21.7)	0.46
Lupus nephritis	4 (19)	56 (25.8)	0.49
Hemolytic anemia	1 (4.7)	8 (3.6)	1
Leucopenia	8 (38)	90 (41.4)	0.15
Thrombopenia	4 (19)	27 (12.4)	1
Polyarthritis	21 (100)	54 (24.8)	<0.001
Erosion of joint	21 (100)	0	<0.001
Increased ESR	20 (95.2)	155 (71.4)	0.019
Increased CRP	18 (85.7)	88 (40.5)	<0.001
Anti-DNA antibodies	16 (76.1)	134 (61.7)	0.61
Anti-Sm antibodies	6 (28.5)	63 (29)	0.57
Rhumatoide Factor	12 (57.1)	52 (23.9)	0.04
Anti-CCP antibody	6 (28.5)	14 (6.5)	0.027

CRP: C-reactive protein, ESR: erythrocyte sediment rate, Anti-CCP: anticyclic citrullinated peptide antibody.

Conclusion: Patients sharing features of both RA and SLE have been observed infrequently. In fact, the incidence of Rhupus syndrome in SLE patients is estimated at 1.3% (2). In our study, this incidence was 8.8%. The findings of our study are consistent with those of most studies with respect to the frequencies arthritis, lupus related features, and serological disorders (2,3).

REFERENCES:

- [1] Rubini E, Foddai SG, Radin M, Cecchi I, Rossi D, Sciascia S, et al. Ab1177 How to Define Rhupus Syndrome: Systematic Review of the Current Literature. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2019 Jun 1;78(Suppl 2):2049–50.
- [2] Li J, Wu H, Huang X, Xu D, Zheng W, Zhao Y, et al. Clinical Analysis of 56 Patients with Rhupus Syndrome: Manifestations and Comparisons with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Medicine*(Baltimore). 2014; 93(10): 958-63.
- [3] Liu T, Li G, Mu R, Ye H, Li W, Li Z. Clinical and laboratory profiles of rhupus syndrome in a Chinese population: a single-centre study of 51 patients. *Lupus*. 2014;23(9):958–63.

Disclosure of Interests: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-eular.3969

AB0347

RENAL BIOPSY IN PATIENTS WITH SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS: IS IT ONLY LUPUS NEPHRITIS?

E. Duran¹, T. Yıldırım¹, U. Kalyoncu¹, A. Taghiyeva³, E. Bilgin¹, E. Arzu Sağlam⁴, M. Üner⁴, J. Jabrayilov², E. C. Bolek¹, C. Önal², B. Farisoğulları¹, N. S. Koç², G. K. Yardımcı¹, S. Girgin², G. Ayan¹, Z. Özsoy¹, G. Sandal Uzun¹, L. Kılıç¹, Ş. R. Yılmaz², A. Akdoğan¹, Ş. A. Bilgin¹, O. Karadağ¹, S. Kiraz¹, B. Altun², Y. Erdem², M. Arıcı², A. İ. Ertenli¹. ¹Hacettepe University School of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Ankara, Turkey; ²Hacettepe University School of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Ankara, Turkey; ³Hacettepe University School of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Ankara, Turkey; ⁴Hacettepe University School of Medicine, Department of Department of Pathology, Ankara, Turkey

Background: Renal biopsy is a cornerstone in the diagnosis and management of renal involvement in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). However, non-lupus nephritis has been also observed in SLE patients with renal disease (1).

Objectives: The aim of this study was to draw attention to the causes of non-lupus nephritis in SLE patients with kidney biopsy.

Methods: This retrospective, descriptive study included 139 SLE patients who had at least one kidney biopsy between 2001 and 2020. All patients had fulfilled the SLICC or EULAR/ACR criteria for SLE. According to the pathology report results, 116 of the patients were diagnosed with lupus nephritis (LN), 18 patients had non-lupus nephritis, 2 biopsies were normal, and 3 biopsies were insufficient. Demographics, SLE disease duration, and renal biopsy diagnosis were derived from our hospital medical records.

Results: Of the 23 patients (female:18/male:5), the mean age at the SLE diagnosis was 30.5 years and the median SLE disease duration was 8.5 (11.6) years. Pathologic report findings were compatible with focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in 6 patients, membranous nephropathy with no cellular proliferation and inflammation in 4 patients, thrombotic microangiopathy in 3 patients, IgM nephropathy in 2 patients, tubulointerstitial nephritis in 2 patients, and proliferative glomerulonephritis with monoclonal IgG deposits in one patient. There were no different for SLE manifestation in both groups. LN vs other renal pathologies