

Do the 2019 EULAR/ACR SLE classification criteria close the door on certain groups of SLE patients?

The release of the 2019 EULAR/ACR classification criteria of SLE would be considered a landmark work in developing international consensus on SLE classification criteria.¹ However, there are some concerns regarding the new criteria. First of all, the criteria did not include clinical manifestations sometimes could occur as initial symptoms in SLE patients. If a patient comes to a rheumatologist with the only presentation, such as repeated vascular thrombosis, mesenteric vasculitis or unexplained liver injury and positive results for both antinuclear antibodies and antibodies to the double-stranded DNA, would they be classified as SLE? With the increasing understanding of the aetiology and pathogenesis of SLE and the continuous development of the testing technique, the updated classification criteria of SLE included complement proteins and more antibodies.¹⁻⁴ However, the clinical domains of the criteria were not substantially updated. Besides, the non-SLE populations used for the derivation and validation cohorts were mainly other rheumatic disorders and infection except malignancies. Malignancies, especially haematological tumours, could sometimes mimic SLE-like symptoms, and misdiagnosis would delay the treatments, which were contributing to a growing quandary for clinical decision-making. It would be more practicable if patients with malignancies such as lymphoma were included in the non-SLE groups during the derivation and validation process. What is more, it would be interesting if the authors could show the performance of the criteria in different age groups, sex and ethnicity as the authors pointed out that the derivation and validation cohorts were from limited populations and needed to be further validated among different groups.

Huihui Chi , Jialin Teng , Zhihong Wang, Chengde Yang, Yutong Su 

Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Medical School Affiliated Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai, China

Correspondence to Dr Yutong Su, Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China;

suyt2015@163.com and Prof Chengde Yang, Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China; yangchengde@sina.com

Contributors HC: concept, writing; JT: concept; ZW: concept; CY: revising; YS: concept, revising.

Funding This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81801600).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



To cite Chi H, Teng J, Wang Z, *et al.* *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021;**80**:e126.

Received 2 September 2019

Accepted 6 September 2019

Published Online First 16 September 2019



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216338>

Ann Rheum Dis 2021;**80**:e126. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216253

ORCID iDs

Huihui Chi <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9587-1180>

Jialin Teng <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1016-9064>

Yutong Su <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0488-2939>

REFERENCES

- Aringer M, Costenbader K, Daikh D, *et al.* 2019 European League against Rheumatism/American College of rheumatology classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;**78**:1151–9.
- Tan EM, Cohen AS, Fries JF, *et al.* The 1982 revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1982;**25**:1271–7.
- Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;**40**:1725.
- Petri M, Orbai A-M, Alarcón GS, *et al.* Derivation and validation of the systemic lupus international collaborating clinics classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012;**64**:2677–86.