Response to: ‘New 2019 SLE EULAR/ACR classification criteria are valuable for distinguishing patients with SLE from patients with pSS’ by Assan et al.

In addressing the differential classification of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and primary Sjögren’s syndrome (pSS), Dr Florence Assan and colleagues focus on an important and frequently challenging issue.

Distinguishing between SLE and pSS has been a theme from the very beginning of the European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology (EULAR/ACR) classification criteria project. For example, the similarities to pSS led to antibodies against Ro/SS-A or La/SS-B being neither of positive nor of negative predictive value for SLE in the early SLE cohort. In the SLE patient survey, among those who answered this question, 42% reported anti-Ro and 27% anti-La positive.

Accordingly, the pSS population was important in testing the SLE classification criteria. Of the non-SLE patients in the combined derivation and validation cohorts, 242 (22%) had a diagnosis of pSS. It is therefore not unexpected, but reassuring, to see that Dr Assan and colleagues find a sensitivity of 98% and a specificity of 96% for the EULAR/ACR criteria when directly tested against a pSS population.

The French group goes one important step further in addressing patients with SLE/pSS overlap situations, which is a group that has not yet been tested with the EULAR/ACR criteria. In their overlap group of 26 patients, they still find 85% sensitivity for the EULAR/ACR criteria, as compared with 77% for the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics (SLICC) and 39% for the ACR criteria. This suggests classification of SLE is possible in patients with an overlap syndrome.

Indeed, the possibility to correctly classify SLE in overlap situations was seen as important in EULAR/ACR criteria development. The general attribution rule of the criteria, that items are not scored if there is a more likely cause other than SLE, was in part devised in response to this idea, which prohibited excluding other diseases, such as pSS. To the best of our knowledge, the study by Drs Assan, Seror, Mariette and Nocturne is the first test of this concept, and we fully agree with them that their study shows the EULAR/ACR criteria to be useful for classification in overlap presentations.
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