

## New 2019 SLE EULAR/ACR classification criteria are valuable for distinguishing patients with SLE from patients with pSS

The new 2019 SLE European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology (EULAR/ACR) classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) have been recently published.<sup>1</sup> These criteria have been developed to find a better equilibrium between specificity and sensitivity compared with the previous criteria (SLE ACR-1997<sup>2</sup> and SLE Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics (SLICC)<sup>3</sup>). Even if these criteria have been built for classification, they could be useful in clinical practice in patients with a suspicion of systemic autoimmune disease (AID) to differentiate patients with SLE from patients with another systemic AID, such as primary Sjögren's syndrome (pSS), scleroderma or myositis. SLE and pSS share biological and clinical similarities. In

clinical practice, it is frequently difficult to differentiate these two diseases. Moreover, SLE and Sjögren's syndrome (SS) may overlap. The aim of this study was to explore the utility of the 2019 SLE EULAR/ACR criteria compared with the SLE ACR-1997<sup>2</sup> and SLE SLICC<sup>3</sup> criteria for differentiating patients with SLE from patients with pSS or with an overlap between SLE and SS in clinical practice.

This retrospective study was performed in the Department of Rheumatology, Hopitaux Universitaires Paris Sud, a French reference centre for rare systemic AID. The biological, immunological and clinical data were collected at diagnosis or at the first visit at the centre. We included three different groups of patients:

- Forty-nine patients with SLE (both inpatients and outpatients followed in the Department of Rheumatology) based on the diagnosis made by the clinician, with exclusion of patients with an association with another connective tissue disease.

**Table 1** Patient characteristics

Characteristics	SLE	pSS	Overlap	P value
<b>General features</b>				
Mean age at diagnosis, years (SD)	33 (11.88)	54 (13.96)	41 (16.43)	p < 10 <sup>-4</sup>
Female, n (%)	40 (81.6)	49 (100)	26 (100)	p = 0.001
SLEDAI, <sup>6</sup> mean (SD)	11.32 (7.20)	–	6.5 (5.34)	0.002
–	–	–	–	–
Mean ESSDAI, <sup>5</sup> mean (SD)	x	5.55 (3.28)	9.65 (5.43)	p = 0.001
Mean disease duration, years (SD)	14.2 (8.8)	14.4 (6.5)	14 (5)	p = 0.748
<b>Clinical features</b>				
Fever, n (%)	9 (18.37)	1 (2.04)	3 (11.53)	p = 0.003
Photosensitivity, n (%)	9 (18.37)	2 (4.08)	4 (15.38)	p = 0.082
Acute/subacute lupus, n (%)	22 (44.90)	0 (0)	4 (15.38)	p < 10 <sup>-4</sup>
Chronic lupus, n (%)	8 (16.32)	0 (0)	0 (0)	p = 0.001
Oral ulcerations, n (%)	6 (12.24)	2 (4.08)	0 (0)	p = 0.083
Non-scarring alopecia, n (%)	7 (14.29)	0 (0)	2 (7.69)	p = 0.024
Pleurisy, n (%)	7 (14.29)	0 (0)	0 (0)	p = 0.003
Pericarditis, n (%)	4 (8.16)	0 (0)	1 (3.84)	p = 0.121
Adenomegalies, n (%)	10 (20.40)	3 (6.12)	5 (19.23)	p = 0.099
Myalgias, n (%)	4 (8.16)	14 (28.57)	5 (19.23)	p = 0.034
Arthralgias, n (%)	45 (91.84)	41 (83.67)	19 (73.08)	p = 0.097
Synovitis, n (%)	26 (53.06)	3 (6.12)	8 (30.77)	p < 10 <sup>-4</sup>
Cough, n (%)	0 (0)	12 (24.49)	9 (34.61)	p = 0.0001
<b>Biological features</b>				
Leukopenia*, n (%)	9 (18.36)	3 (6.12)	4 (23.07)	p = 0.178
Lymphopenia†, n (%)	16 (32.65)	7 (14.29)	13 (50)	p = 0.004
Mean CRP, mg/L (SD)	13.68 (28.13)	9.32 (13.98)	7.66 (15.22)	p = 0.0004
<b>Immunological features</b>				
ANA >1/80 IIF **, n (%)	48 (97.96)	36 (73.47)	25 (96.15)	p = 0.003
Anti-DNA, n (%)	44 (89.80)	0 (0)	26 (100)	p < 10 <sup>-4</sup>
Anti-Sm, n (%)	19 (38.77)	0 (0)	7 (26.92)	p < 10 <sup>-4</sup>
RF positivity, n (%)	1 (2.04)	17 (34.69)	11 (42.30)	p = 0.001
APL, n (%)	20 (40.82)	7 (14.28)	7 (26.92)	p = 0.013
Hypocomplementaemia C3 ‡, n (%)	13 (26.53)	0 (0)	3 (11.54)	p < 10 <sup>-4</sup>
Hypocomplementaemia C4 §, n (%)	24 (48.98)	9 (18.36)	9 (34.6)	p = 0.006
Hypergammaglobulinaemia ¶, n (%)	18 (58.06)	21 (43.75)	22 (88.46)	p = 0.0002
Mean serum gammaglobulin level, g/L (SD)	15.4 (5.44)	14.3 (6.44)	19.1 (6.58)	p = 0.002
<b>Renal features</b>				
Significant glomerular proteinuria, n (%)	17 (34.69)	0 (0)	1 (3.85)	<10 <sup>-4</sup>
<b>Sets of criteria</b>				
SLE ACR-1997, n (%)	38 (77.6)	1 (2.1)	10 (38.5)	p < 10 <sup>-4</sup>
SLE SLICC, n (%)	48 (97.9)	4 (8.3)	20 (76.9)	p < 10 <sup>-4</sup>
2019 SLE EULAR/ACR, n (%)	48 (97.9)	2 (4.2)	22 (84.6)	p < 10 <sup>-4</sup>
pSS ACR/EULAR 2016, n (%)	0 (0)	49 (100)	26 (100)	p=1.000

Significant glomerular proteinuria was defined by daily proteinuria  $\geq 1$  g/day.

\*Leucopenia was defined by leucocyte count  $< 4 \times 10^9/L$ .

†Lymphopenia was defined by lymphocyte count  $< 1 \times 10^9/L$ .

‡Hypocomplementaemia C3 was defined by seric C3 fraction level  $\leq 0.5$  g/L.

§Hypocomplementaemia C4 was defined by seric C4 fraction level  $\leq 0.15$  g/L.

¶Hypergammaglobulinaemia was defined by serum gammaglobulin level  $> 13.5$  g/L.

ACR, American College of Rheumatology; ANA, antinuclear antibodies; APL, antiphospholipid; CRP, C reactive protein; ESSDAI, EULAR Sjögren's syndrome Disease Activity Index; EULAR, European League Against Rheumatism; IIF, indirect immunofluorescence assay; pSS, primary Sjögren's syndrome; RF, rheumatoid factor; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SLEDAI, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index; SLICC, Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics.

## Correspondence

- ▶ Forty-nine patients with pSS randomly chosen from the Paris Sud database and compared in a 1:1 ratio with the SLE group. All patients with pSS fulfilled the pSS ACR/EULAR 2016 criteria.<sup>4</sup> We excluded patients with an association with another connective tissue disease.
- ▶ Twenty-six patients with SLE/SS overlap based on clinical diagnosis. This last group was made of 13 patients diagnosed with SLE but also presenting objective signs of associated Sjögren's syndrome, including positive minor salivary glands biopsy (Focus Score  $\geq 1$ ), and/or objective sicca syndrome defined by a salivary flow  $< 0.10$  mL/min or a Schirmer test  $< 5$  mm at 5 min (n=13), and 13 patients diagnosed with Sjögren's syndrome, but associated with anti-DNA antibodies.

The characteristics of the patients are presented in [table 1](#). Disease duration was equal between the three groups, and was around 14 years in each arm. Three sets of lupus criteria (SLE ACR-1997, SLE SLICC and 2019 SLE EULAR/ACR criteria) were tested in each group of patients. The 2019 SLE EULAR/ACR criteria were met in 97.9% of patients with SLE and in only 4.2% of patients with pSS. Thus this new set of criteria for SLE offered the best equilibrium between specificity and sensitivity compared with the older criteria and was able to discriminate patients with SLE and pSS in clinical practice.

Interestingly, patients from the overlap group fulfilled both the criteria for SLE and SS, confirming the mixed presentation and the capacity of the criteria to detect the overlap. The comparison of the three groups showed that some clinical and biological manifestations helped to differentiate the two conditions. Actually, skin involvement, serositis, synovitis, glomerular involvement, lymphopaenia and systemic inflammation were more frequent in SLE. Conversely, cough, myalgia and rheumatoid factor positivity at diagnosis were more frequent in pSS. Systematic assessment of sicca symptoms is easy and might help to differentiate the two conditions. Interestingly, patients with overlap syndrome were likely to present with a more systemic disease than patients with pSS alone as assessed by the EULAR Sjögren's Syndrome Disease Activity Index.<sup>5</sup>

To sum up, this study shows that the new 2019 SLE EULAR/ACR criteria for SLE can be useful in clinical practice helping to differentiate between SLE and pSS and detecting overlap presentations.

Florence Assan <sup>1</sup>, Raphaele Seror,<sup>1,2</sup> Xavier Mariette,<sup>1,2</sup> Gaetane Nocturne<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Rheumatology, AP-HP, Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris-Sud, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France

<sup>2</sup>Université Paris-Sud, Center for Immunology of Viral Infections and Auto-immune Diseases (IMVA), Institut pour la Santé et la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) UMR 1184, Université Paris-Saclay, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France

**Correspondence to** Professor Xavier Mariette, Rheumatology, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Hôpitaux universitaires Paris-Sud – Hôpital Bicêtre, Le Kremlin Bicêtre 94270, France; [xavier.mariette@aphp.fr](mailto:xavier.mariette@aphp.fr)

**Contributors** Substantial contributions to study conception and design: RS, GN, XM. Substantial contributions to acquisition of data: FA. Substantial contributions to analysis and interpretation of data: FA, RS, GN, XM. Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content: FA, RS, GN, XM. Final approval of the version of the article to be published: RS, GN, XM. XM and GN are co-last authors.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

XM and GN contributed equally.



**To cite** Assan F, Seror R, Mariette X, *et al.* *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021;**80**:e122.

Received 26 August 2019

Accepted 30 August 2019

Published Online First 9 September 2019



▶ <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216220>

*Ann Rheum Dis* 2021;**80**:e122. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216222

#### ORCID iD

Florence Assan <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6988-6178>

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Aringer M, Costenbader K, Daikh D, *et al.* 2019 European League against Rheumatism/American College of rheumatology classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;78:1151–9.
- 2 Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;40.
- 3 Petri M, Orbai A-M, Alarcón GS, *et al.* Derivation and validation of the systemic lupus international collaborating clinics classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheumatism* 2012;64:2677–86.
- 4 Shiboski CH, Shiboski SC, Seror R, *et al.* 2016 American College of Rheumatology/European League against rheumatism classification criteria for primary Sjögren's syndrome: a consensus and data-driven methodology involving three international patient cohorts. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2017;69:35–45.
- 5 Seror R, Theander E, Brun JG, *et al.* Validation of EULAR primary Sjögren's syndrome disease activity (ESSDAI) and patient indexes (ESSPRI). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:859–66.
- 6 Bombardier C, Gladman DD, Urowitz MB, *et al.* Derivation of the SLEDAI. A disease activity index for lupus patients. The Committee on prognosis studies in SLE. *Arthritis Rheum* 1992;35:630–40.