risk of discontinuing SC-TNFi treatment were significantly lower in 2nd line compared to 1st line (Hazard Ratio [HR]; 0.60 [0.57, 0.63] and 0.59 [0.56, 0.62], respectively). This finding was also consistent across IA indications (Table 1).

Conclusion: In this preliminary analysis of IA patients cycling on SC-TNFi, persistence was greater in 2nd line compared to 1st line treatment. The finding was consistent across all IA indications. Hence, IA patients who fail to respond, lose response, or for other reasons discontinue their 1st line treatment may still benefit from switching to an alternative SC-TNFi as a 2nd line therapy.
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USE OF OPIATE FOR HIP AND KNEE OSTEARTHRITIS BEFORE AND AFTER JOINT REPLACEMENT SURGERY

C. Lao1, D. Lees2, D. White3, R. Lawrenson1,3. 1University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand; 2Tauranga Hospital, Tauranga, New Zealand; 3Waikato Hospital, Hamilton, New Zealand

Background: Osteoarthritis of the hip and knee is one of the most common causes of reduced mobility. It also causes stiffness and pain. Opioids can offer pain relief but is usually used for severe acute pain caused by major trauma or surgery. The use of opioids for relief of chronic pain caused by arthritis has increased over the last few decades.[1]

Objectives: This study aims to investigate the use of strong opiates for patients with hip and knee osteoarthritis before and after joint replacement surgery, over a 13 years period in New Zealand.

Methods: This study included patients with osteoarthritis who underwent publicly funded primary hip and knee replacement surgeries in 2005-2017 in New Zealand. These records were identified from the National Minimum Dataset (NMD). They were cross referenced with the NZJRx data to exclude the admissions not for primary hip or knee replacement surgeries. Patients without a diagnosis of osteoarthritides were excluded.

The PHARMS dataset was linked to the NMD to identify the use of strong opiates before and after surgeries. The strong opiates available for community dispensing in New Zealand and included in this study are: dihydrocodeine, fentanyl, methadone, morphine, oxycodeone and pethidine. Use of opiate within three months prior to surgery and within 12 months post-surgery were examined by gender, age group, ethnicity, Charlson Comorbidity Index score and year of surgery. Differences by subgroup was examined with Chi- square test. Logistic regression model was used to calculate the adjusted odds ratios of strong opiate use before and after surgery compared with no opiate use.

Results: We identified 53,439 primary hip replacements and 50,072 primary knee replacements with a diagnosis of osteoarthritis. Of patients with hip osteoarthritis, 6,251 (11.7%) had strong opiate before hip replacement surgeries and 11,939 (22.3%) had opiate after surgeries. Of patients with knee osteoarthritis, 2,922 (5.8%) had strong opiate before knee replacement surgeries and 15,252 (30.5%) had opiate after surgeries. The probability of patients with hip and knee osteoarthritis having opiate decreased with age, increased with Charlson comorbidity index score, and increased over time both before and after surgeries. Male patients with hip and knee osteoarthritis were less likely to have opiate than female patients both before and after surgeries. New Zealand Europeans with hip and knee osteoarthritis were more likely to receive opiate than other ethnic groups prior to surgeries, but were less likely to have opiate than Asians post-surgeries.

Patients who had opiate before surgeries were more likely to have opiate after surgeries than those who did not have opiate before surgeries. The odds ratio was 8.34 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 7.87-8.84) for hip osteoarthritis and 11.94 (95% CI: 10.84-13.16) for knee osteoarthritis after adjustment for age, gender, ethnicity, year of surgery and Charlson comorbidity index score. Having opiate prior to surgeries also increased the probability of having opiate for 6 weeks or more after surgeries substantially. The adjusted odds ratio was 21.46 (95% CI: 19.74-23.31) for hip osteoarthritis and 27.22 (95% CI: 24.95-29.68) for knee osteoarthritis.

Conclusion: Preoperative opiate holidays should be encouraged. Multiple strategies need to be used to develop analgesic plans that allow adequate rehabilitation, without precipitating a chronic opiate dependence. Clinicians would also benefit from clear guidelines for prescribing strong opiates.
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MATERNAL AND PERINATAL OUTCOMES IN WOMEN WITH RHEUMATIC DISEASES – A 10-YEAR EXPERIENCE FROM A PORTUGUESE TERTIARY CENTRE
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Background: Pregnant women with rheumatic diseases (RD) represent a population at a higher risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes (APO). At our unit, these patients (pts) are surveilled at a high-risk pregnancy clinic, by both rheumatologists and obstetricians.

Objectives: To assess pregnancy outcomes in pts with RD surveilled at our unit over the last decade.

Methods: Single-centre observational retrospective study of pregnant women with RD followed at a portuguese tertiary centre between 2009 to 2019.

Results: Overall, 353 pregnancies (preg) in 295 pts with RD were managed at our unit. Table 1 summarizes clinical data and the main APO recorded. Systemic lupus erythematus (SLE) was the leading diagnosis followed by spondyloarthritides (SpA) and rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) was diagnosed in 49 (13.9%) preg. We documented 284 (78%) live births (9 twin preg), 32 (10%) miscarriages, 7 (2%) elective abortions, 2 stillbirths (0.6%) and 2 ecopic preg; 35 (10%) of the overall preg were lost to follow up before delivery. Miscarriages occurred predominantly in pts with APS (34%). Fetal growth restriction (FGR) was recorded in 6% of preg, more than 1/3 of those in pts with APS. Preeclampsia (PE) complicated a total of 10 (4%) preg, 3 of those with superimposed HELLP syndrome, with SLE and APS accounting for 60% of the cases. Preterm births (15.5%) occurred mainly in APS, SLE and juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) pts. Neonatal lupus ensued in 3 (3.8%) preg positive for anti-Ro/La antibodies. No neonatal deaths were recorded. SpA and RA represented the diseases which flared the most considering both pregnancy and the postpartum period.