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OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY OF THE SKIN DETECTS SCLERODERMA CHANGES IN CLINICALLY UNAFFECTED SKIN: AN OPPORTUNITY FOR EARLY DETECTION OF SYSTEMIC SCLEROSIS
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Background: The Very Early Diagnosis Of Systemic Sclerosis (VEDOSS) study has shown that 82% of patients with Raynaud’s Phenomenon, specific ANA positivity and scleroderma pattern at nail fold videocapillaroscopy will fulfill classification criteria within 5 years. This is suggesting that there is a subclinical window of opportunity to diagnose systemic sclerosis (SSc) before clinical manifestations occur. In this scenario, a non-invasive tool to diagnose SSc in clinically unaffected skin might improve the early detection of disease in at-risk-patients. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) of the skin has been shown to be a sensitive and accurate biomarker of skin fibrosis in SSc.

Objectives: Here we aimed to assess the ability of skin OCT to "detect" SSc in clinically unaffected skin from a multicentre cohort.

Methods: Dorsal forearm skin of SSc patients and matched-health controls (HC) was evaluated using VivoSight scanner (Michelson Diagnostics). Manual A-scans (mean OCT signal plotted against depth-in-tissue) were derived (MinOD, MaxOD, and OD300) to evaluate their ability to discriminate between SSc and HC. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.0.

Results: One hundred seventy four OCT images were collected from 87 subjects [43 SSc (39 Female, mean age 49.7±9.1 years) and 44 gender/age-matched healthy controls (HC) (36 Female, mean age 50.2±8.3 years)] in two different SSc centres. All patients fulfilled classification criteria for SSc. OCT measures demonstrated discriminative ability in SSc skin detection with any clinical skin involvement (0-3 at site of analysis) with an AUC of 0.73 (MinOD, 95%CI 0.64-0.81), 0.77 (MaxOD, 95%CI 0.70-0.85) and 0.82 (OD300, 95%CI 0.76-0.89); p<0.0001 for all as previously indicated. Most importantly, all three measures showed comparable performance in detecting scleroderma also in clinically unaffected skin (mRss=0 at site of analysis), with an AUC of 0.7 (95%CI 0.6-0.81, p<0.0001), 0.72 (95%CI 0.61-0.83, p=0.0003) and 0.72 (95%CI 0.61-0.83, p=0.0003) for MinOD, MaxOD and OD300 respectively.

Conclusion: Virtual biopsy by OCT recognizes clinically unaffected skin of SSc patients from the HC skin. This is consistent with gene array data showing that scleroderma specific signatures are consistent in affected and clinically unaffected skin. These results inform future studies on at risk patients with clinically unaffected skin which may define a role for OCT in detecting sub-clinical SSc.
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A USABILITY SURVEY OF WRIST MOUNTED DISPOSABLE HEAT PAD ON RAYNAUD’S PHENOMENON IN PATIENTS WITH CONNECTIVE TISSUE DISEASES
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Background: For patients with connective tissue diseases (CTD), vasodilators are used to treat Raynaud’s phenomenon (RP), they are difficult to control only by medication. Although physicians recommend the use of a portable handwarmer or gloves to patients with CTD presenting with RP, sustained heat-retention effects cannot be obtained from them because the patients’ daily life-related activities prevent their continued use. Since the wrist mounted disposable heat pad maintains the degrees of freedom of the hands and fingers and can remain usable during the daily activities, we considered this heat pad as a useful and highly practical heating method for CTD patients presenting with RP.

Objectives: To investigate the usability and changes in symptoms resulting from the use of the wrist mounted disposable heat pad in CTD patients presenting with RP.

Methods: Subjects were 23 outpatients with CTD presenting with RP (23 females, mean age 62.6 years; mean duration following the onset of RP 10.3 years; 12 systemic sclerosis, 5 mixed connective tissue disease, 5 Sjögren’s syndrome, and 1 systemic lupus erythematosus) who had used the wrist mounted disposable heat pad (put the pad in a specifically designed holder and wrap it around wrist joint (max temperature 42 degrees Celsius, heat-retention time 6 hours)). We investigated through interviews with them the use situations, usability, and changes in RP. During their using the heat pad, medication against daily life-related precautions against RP continued to be implemented as before.

Results: Many patients had no knowledge of the heat pad (n=17, 73.9%). The most common wearing time of the heat pad was 5–6 hours (n=8, 34.8%). As for scenes of wearing the heat pad, patients who wore the pad when being out of the home accounted for the highest proportion (n=16, 69.6%), and as follows: at home (n=6, 26.1%), during kitchen work (n=3, 13.0%), and during housework (n=2, 8.7%). 17 patients (73.9%) replied that usability was “good” and 18 (78.3%) replied that usability was “better” compared with conventional measures. Moreover, many patients (n=16, 69.6%) replied that RP and associated symptoms had become reduced or alleviated. No patients replied that RP and associated symptoms had become exacerbated or severer. In terms of advantages of using the heat pad, patients who replied that the site on which the pad was mounted was felt to be warm accounted for the highest proportion (n=8, 34.8%), and those who replied that sites other than where the pad was mounted (such as fingertips, hands, and arms) were also warmed accounted for virtually the same proportion (n=7, 30.4%). Over 60% of the patients (n=14, 60.9%) replied that symptoms associated with RP (skin color, cold sensation, and pain) had become reduced or disappeared. In terms of disadvantages of using the heat pad, patients who replied that it was bothersome to use the pad accounted for the highest proportion while other patients made replies referring to cost and bad appearance. No significant accident occurred and as many as 17 patients (73.9%) replied that they would like to continue to use the heat pad in the future.