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Background: Medication non-adherence is a significant problem among patients with rheumatic diseases. Research on adherence interventions in rheumatology is limited and disappointing, with studies using heterogeneous outcomes. Understanding these limitations is needed to inform the design of better interventions and research studies.

Objectives: To describe researchers’ perspectives and experiences on adherence intervention research and outcomes in rheumatology.

Methods: Semi-structured interviews using video conference were conducted with researchers who had been an investigator on an adherence study of any design in the past 10 years. Interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim. Participants were asked about their experiences with conducting adherence research and perspectives on introduction of a core domain set of outcomes for adherence intervention trials in rheumatology. Data collection and thematic analysis were conducted iteratively, until saturation.

Results: We interviewed 13 researchers from seven countries (Australia, Belgium, Canada, Netherlands, Thailand, UK, and USA). A majority worked in academia (75%), specialized in epidemiology and/or health services research (62%) and had led between 2-5 adherence studies in the past five years (62%). Three themes were identified: 1) challenges in designing, conducting and evaluating adherence studies; 2) current outcomes in adherence intervention studies and their relevance; and 3) implementing a core domain set of outcomes for adherence intervention studies. Major challenges in conducting adherence research included inconsistent adherence terminology and measurement. Participants noted a lack of guidance on outcome selection and measurement when evaluating the effectiveness of an adherence intervention and indicated their preference for research to report adherence intervention-specific, and health-related outcomes. Finally, implementing a core domain set of outcomes was thought to be challenging but valuable in strengthening the evidence (by facilitating meta-analysis), and improving clinical outcomes (by informing clinicians about the effectiveness of interventions).

Conclusion: Adherence research in rheumatology has been hindered by lack of standardization and guidance on terminology, measurement and outcome selection. Our findings form the basis for recommendations for improving the design, conduct and evaluation of adherence intervention studies in rheumatology, particularly for developing a core domain set of outcomes to improve consistency and facilitate comparisons.

Table 1. Themes and representative quotations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Representative quotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Challenges in designing, conducting and evaluating studies of adherence interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>“...the people you often most want in your sample are the people who are non-adherent and often the people who are non-adherent are the people who are hardest to recruit.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>“Long term the issue has been about measurements because people confuse and confound various aspects of medication adherence.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Current outcomes in adherence intervention studies and their relevance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>“you have a whole range of outcomes...psychological outcomes...there’s measures of health care utilization and things like attendance at hospital, nurse appointments and duration, things like times off work, and also all the relevant clinical outcomes.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>“...will make trials more comparable and increase the likelihood that you’d be able to combine efforts internationally.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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THE EFFECT OF KINESIO-TAPING ON CHRONIC NONSPECIFIC LOW BACK PAIN: PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF A DOUBLE BLEDDED RANDOMIZED CLINICAL TRIAL.

I. Aschani1, K. Samia2, T. Latifa2, T. Fatima Zahrae1, S. Allia1, F. Safasa1, T. El Joumani1, S. Bahoui1, H. Rkain1,1, I. Hamouchi1, R. Abuqoufi1, F. Allia1,1,1, El Ayachi Hospital, Rheumatology, Salé, Morocco; 1El Ayachi Hospital, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Salé, Morocco; 2Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohamed V University, Laboratory of Physiology, Rabat, Morocco; 4Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohamed V University, Laboratory of Epidemiology and Clinical Research, Rabat, Morocco

Background: The technique of Kinesio-Taping is a method of adhesive bandage exerting traction on the skin which would favorably influence the muscular and articular systems by reducing the pressure exerted on the subcutaneous mechano-receptors thus reducing pain and muscle tension.

Objectives: The aim of this study is to assess the effectiveness of Kinesio-Taping in the short and medium term on pain and function in patients with chronic nonspecific low back pain compared to a placebo.

Methods: We conducted a double-blind, two-arm randomized clinical trial. The study should include a total of 70 patients randomized into 2 groups: Kinesio-Taping (n = 35) and control group (n = 35). To this date we have included 46 patients. All patients received four 1-shaped adhesive strips arranged in a star-like shape and applied to the most painful region of the lower back with a tension between 25% to 30% in the taping group. The placebo group received a taping procedure with no tension. Taping was applied three times (at baseline, fourth and eighth day). Patients were assessed at baseline, on day 14 and at 4 weeks by the Arabic version of the Oswestry Physical and Functional Disability Index (ODI) which is the primary outcome. The secondary outcomes are the assessment of pain and functional disability according to the visual analog scale (VAS) evaluated on a scale of 0 to 10, as well as Rolland-Morris score.

Results: Both groups were comparable at baseline concerning the demographic and clinical characteristics (P > 0.05) (table 1). The result of repeated measures ANOVA showed a significant change in ODI score and in VAS for pain and functional disability as well as Rolland-Morris score in both groups. Using the ANCOVA, controlling for pre-test scores, a significant difference was found between the two groups (table 2).

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of study population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Taping group (n=22)</th>
<th>Placebo group (n=24)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42±8.32</td>
<td>46±9.56</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged standing position</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy load carrying</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking aid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>27±5.68</td>
<td>28±7.82</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI of baseline</td>
<td>36±13.82</td>
<td>31±12.65</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**HIP ABDUCTORS STRENGTH AND TRUNK, PELVIS, HIP AND KNEE FRONTAL PLANE KINEMATICS ANALYSIS DURING SINGLE-LEG SQUAT IN INDIVIDUALS WITH AND WITHOUT PATELLOFEMORAL OSTEOARTHRITIS**
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**Background:** Previous studies have observed that individuals with patellofemoral oral pain (PFP) have decreased hip abduction torque, as well as increased hip adduction and knee abduction during activities with unilateral weight bearing.

**Methods:** This is a cross-sectional study. The volunteers were divided into two groups: control group (CG - healthy individuals) and PFOA group (PFOA - individuals with PFOA grade II or III). Eccentric peak torque of the hip abductors was recorded using an isokinetic dynamometer Biodex Multi-Joint System 3, at angular speed of 30°/s. Trunk, pelvis, hip and knee kinematics were recorded during the single-leg squat using a 6-camera, 3-dimensional motion-analysis system (Vicon Motion Systems, Nexus System 2.1.1 and 3D Motion Monitor). The t-test Student was used to compare the variables between the groups. The significance level was set at 5% for all analyses (p ≤ 0.05).

**Results:** The CG was composed by 12 participants (41.7% women). PFOA had 10 participants (44.4% women). Age (p = 0.1), height (p = 0.9) and body mass (p = 0.2) showed homogeneity between groups. Regardind body mass index, PFOA showed greater increased body mass index measured with a body composition device. The clinical variables were compared between baseline and 6 months after continuous treatment with a FO.

**Conclusion:** Our clinical trial offers preliminary evidence on the superiority of Kinesio-Taping in the treatment chronic back pain compared to placebo concerning the reduction of pain and disability. Thus, it can be used as a complementary method in chronic non-specific low back pain.
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**Background:** Sarcopenia is a progressive systemic skeletal muscle disorder associated with an increased likelihood of adverse outcomes including physical disability, falls, and mortality. The muscle mass of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is lower than that of age-matched healthy individuals, and a high prevalence rate of sarcopenia has been reported. In particular, foot deformities may increase the prevalence rate of sarcopenia because of inactivity due to foot pain on walking. Treatment with a foot orthosis (FO) can reportedly reduce pain; however, whether a FO can resolve inactivity and sarcopenia is unclear.

**Objectives:** To elucidate the effectiveness of a FO on physical activity and sarcopenia in patients with RA.

**Methods:** Thirty patients with RA with foot deformities were enrolled from April 2017 to December 2019. Sarcopenia was diagnosed using the algorithm of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People, and the cut-off values of the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia were applied. We also collected the clinical variables of patients with concurrent RA and sarcopenia who continued to use a FO for 6 months. The primary outcome was physical activity determined by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire. The secondary outcomes were foot pain measured with a visual analog scale; activities of daily living (ADL) measured with the Health Assessment Questionnaire; and body mass index, body fat percentage, and the skeletal muscle mass index measured with a body composition device. The clinical variables were compared between baseline and 6 months after continuous treatment with a FO.

**Results:** The prevalence rate of sarcopenia was 76.6% (23/30), and nine patients with RA continued to use the FO for 6 months. Table 1 shows outcomes at baseline and after 6 months of treatment with a FO. The only clinical variable that showed a significant difference was foot pain. Physical activities, ADL, and body compositions were maintained after 6 months.
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