Background: Supraspinatus tears and tendinosis are the most common pathology that cause shoulder pain to approximately half of the patients presenting clinically.

Objectives: To investigate the diagnostic accuracy of five clinical tests in the diagnosis of supraspinatus tears and tendinosis compared with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Methods: A total of 116 painful shoulders of 106 consecutive patients were examined. Patients were assessed using the most commonly used special clinical tests including the Jobe test (empty can), Neer test, drop arm test, Hawkins test and full can tests to identify supraspinatus tears and tendinosis. A visual analogue scale (VAS) was used for pain detection, and the Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI) questionnaire was administered. MRI examinations were performed on 1.5 Tesla MR system and images were assessed by a blinded radiologist. The primary outcomes were to determine the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the five clinical tests, and to establish their correlation with MRI for supraspinatus tears and tendinosis.

Results: The mean age was 55.10 ± 10.20 years, and 32.08% of the patients were female. The Hawkins test had a higher sensitivity and accuracy in tears (sensitivity 89.66%, accuracy 58.03%, respectively) and higher sensitivity in tendinosis (79.07%). The drop arm test had a lower sensitivity but higher specificity in both tendinosis and tears (sensitivity 0%, 12.07%, respectively, and specificity 87.67%, 96.5%, respectively)

Conclusion: The Hawkins test was the most sensitive in both supraspinatus tears and tendinosis compared with MRI findings.
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Background: Low back pain (LBP) is a frequent, disabling symptom, for which the risk of chronicity is increased by heterogeneous care. Developing and implementing recommendations is likely to improve LBP management.

Objectives: To develop French guidelines and care pathway on the management of LBP, coordinated by the French National Authority for Health (FNAH) and based on previous international guidelines in addition to update literature.

Methods: A compilation report was constituted on the basis of a systematic review of guidelines between January 2013 and December 2018, and systematic reviews and meta-analysis in the field of LBP between January 2015 and December 2018. This report summarized the state-of-the-art for each predefined area of the guideline. A panel of experts including patients’ representatives and 19 health professionals involved in LBP management was constituted to elaborate the guideline based on the compilation report. A care pathway was constituted to identify the trajectory and the different steps followed by a patient with LBP. Then, the compilation report and the preliminary guidelines were submitted to 24 academic institutes and stakeholders for feedback. Based on the preliminary guideline and the responses of academic institutions and stakeholders, the final recommendations were drawn up by the expert panel. The guideline was finally submitted to an independent committee of the FNAH for final validation. For each area of the guidelines, agreement between experts of the working group was evaluated through the RAND/UCLA method.

Results: The initial literature search identified 572 references of recent international guidelines or systematic reviews about LBP. After selection, the compilation report included 101 references. The compilation report was submitted to the expert group during 3 different meetings to reach a consensus on different topics. Thirty-one preliminary recommendations and a care pathway (divided in two parts to facilitate its use and readability) were drafted and submitted to academic institutions and stakeholders. Having considered their comments, final recommendations and care pathway were written. The final guideline was validated by the FNAH. Then, the consensus of the expert panel was assessed about all the final guidelines separately: 32 recommendations (including the care pathway) were evaluated as appropriate; consensus of the expert panel was assessed about all the final guidelines separately: the final guideline was validated by the FNAH. Then, the stakeholder. Having considered their comments, final recommendations and care pathway were written. Then, the compilation report was submitted to the academic institutions and stakeholders. The final recommendations were drawn up by the expert panel. The guideline was finally submitted to an independent committee of the FNAH for final validation. For each area of the guidelines, agreement between experts of the working group was evaluated through the RAND/UCLA method.

Conclusion: This new LBP guideline was based on recent scientific evidence. It introduced several concepts, including the need to identify low back pain at risk of chronicity, in order to provide quicker intensive management if necessary. This guideline should be updated in 5 years’ time, in order to keep it in line with risk of chronicity, in order to provide quicker intensive management if necessary.
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Background: Supraspinatus tears and tendinosis are the most common pathology that cause shoulder pain to approximately half of the patients presenting clinically.

Objectives: To investigate the diagnostic accuracy of five clinical tests in the diagnosis of supraspinatus tears and tendinosis compared with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Methods: A total of 150 patients (M/F=41/109) (60.46±10.59 years) who have had shoulder pain for at least 3 months and 120 healthy controls (MF=35/85) (58.35±8.52 years). Pain was evaluated with Visual Analog Scale (VAS), disability with Shoulder Disability Questionnaire (SDQ), functionality with The University of California-Los Angeles (UCLA) Shoulder Scale and range of shoulder motion, temperament profiles with TEMPS-A, stress perception with Perceived stress scale (PSS), psychiatric symptoms with Symptom Checklist-90-R (SCL-90-R), Rosenberg self esteem with Self-Esteem Scale (RSES) and sleep disturbance with Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI).

Results: The mean VAS pain score, SDQ score, and UCLA score of the patients with shoulder pain were 4.34±1.79, 61.98±26.88, and 58.90±17.87, respectively. SCL-90-R total and all subscale scores except interpersonal sensitivity, psychoticism, paranoid, and phobia were significantly higher in the patient group than the control group (p<0.05). Also PSQI total and sleep latency and subscale scores were significantly higher in the patient group (p<0.05). There was no significant difference between the patient and control groups in terms of RSES and PSS. The study identified 28 depressive temperament in the patient group which was statistically different from the control group (p<0.05). There were no significant differences between two groups in terms of cyclothymic, irritable, anxious, and hyperthymic temperaments (p>0.05). When the patient group is evaluated according to functionality, the patients having fair/poor shoulder function had more psychiatric symptoms except hostility, poor sleep quality, decreased self-esteem and increased stress perception. Also anxious and depressed negative temperaments were found more common in the patients with fair/poor shoulder function. Psychiatric symptoms (somatization, obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal-sensitivity, depression and anxiety) and total PSQI were positively correlated with MRI. Perceived stress scale (PSS), psychiatric symptoms with Symptom Checklist-90-R (SCL-90-R), Rosenberg self esteem with Self-Esteem Scale (RSES) and sleep disturbance with Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI).
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