Results: 78 studies (n = 5,639 participants) met the inclusion criteria. There was a high risk of bias on blinding and most trials had small sample size (n>50). While multidisciplinary treatment (MDT) was the best for improving pain [-1.28 (-1.84, -0.72)], sleep [-1.14 (-2.38, 0.07)] and depression [-1.20 (-1.99, -0.46)], balneotherapy and exercise were the most effective treatments for FIQ [-1.06 (1.51, -0.61)] and fatigue [-0.75 (-1.35, -0.25)], respectively (Figure 2).

Data from 47 exercise trials (n = 3,271 participants) were analysed to examine comparative efficacy of different exercise types. Strengthening showed the greatest benefits for FIQ [-0.76 (-1.39, -0.15)], pain [-0.94 (-1.58, -0.29)] and depression [-0.83 (-1.53, -0.14)], whereas aerobic exercise was the best for fatigue [-0.98 (-2.33, 0.18)] and sleep [-0.96 (-2.08, 0.13)] (Table 1).

Table 1. Relative effect size between types of exercises

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>FIQ</th>
<th>Pain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-1.13, -0.03)</td>
<td>(-1.16, -0.30)</td>
<td>(-1.02, 0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.95, 0.36)</td>
<td>(-0.31, 0.59)</td>
<td>(-1.15, -0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.44, 0.80)</td>
<td>(-0.73, 0.59)</td>
<td>(-1.31, -0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.36, 0.57)</td>
<td>(-0.28, -0.59)</td>
<td>(-1.58, -0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.05, 0.35)</td>
<td>(-1.16, -0.30)</td>
<td>(-1.02, 0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.53, 0.85)</td>
<td>(-0.19, 1.79)</td>
<td>(-1.15, -0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.37, 0.91)</td>
<td>(-0.41, 1.02)</td>
<td>(-1.31, -0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.24, 0.65)</td>
<td>(-0.73, 0.59)</td>
<td>(-1.58, -0.29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Standardised mean difference (SMD) versus usual care in descending order for different outcomes

Data from 47 exercise trials (n = 3,271 participants) were analysed to examine comparative efficacy of different exercise types. Strengthening showed the greatest benefits for FIQ [-0.76 (-1.39, -0.15)], pain [-0.94 (-1.58, -0.29)] and depression [-0.83 (-1.53, -0.14)], whereas aerobic exercise was the best for fatigue [-0.98 (-2.33, 0.18)] and sleep [-0.96 (-2.08, 0.13)] (Table 1).

Table 1. Relative effect size between types of exercises

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>FIQ</th>
<th>Pain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-1.13, -0.03)</td>
<td>(-1.16, -0.30)</td>
<td>(-1.02, 0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.95, 0.36)</td>
<td>(-0.31, 0.59)</td>
<td>(-1.15, -0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.44, 0.80)</td>
<td>(-0.73, 0.59)</td>
<td>(-1.31, -0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.36, 0.57)</td>
<td>(-0.28, -0.59)</td>
<td>(-1.58, -0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.05, 0.35)</td>
<td>(-1.16, -0.30)</td>
<td>(-1.02, 0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.53, 0.85)</td>
<td>(-0.19, 1.79)</td>
<td>(-1.15, -0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.37, 0.91)</td>
<td>(-0.41, 1.02)</td>
<td>(-1.31, -0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.24, 0.65)</td>
<td>(-0.73, 0.59)</td>
<td>(-1.58, -0.29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Several non-pharmacological interventions are beneficial for FM. However, the effect size varies between interventions and outcomes. All types of exercises are effective for FIQ and pain apart from flexibility exercise. The results of this study may be used to guide the selection of the most effective non-pharmacological interventions according to the predominant symptom in individual patients.
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CHARACTERIZATION OF PATIENTS WITH FIBROMYALGIA AFFECTS WITH OR WITHOUT JOINT HYPERLAXITY SYNDROME
L. López-Núñez, J. Blanc, M. C. Recasens, M. J. Herrero Gascón, A. Juan-Mas, J. Carbonell Abelló, Hospital del Mar, Reumatología, Barcelona, Spain; Hospital Quiron Salud, Reumatología, Mallorca, Spain; Hospital Son Llàtzer, Palma de Mallorca, Spain

Background: The prevalence of joint hypermobility (JH) and Joint Hypermobility Syndrome (JHS) in patients with fibromyalgia (FM) is considerable and is more than can be explained at random[1]. Some authors propose that FM and JHS share a common pathophysiological mechanism is some patients. Currently it is accepted that Ehlers-Danlos Syndrome Hypermobility subtype (EDSh) and JHS are the same entity. We regard the subgroup of FM patients with JHS a different subtype of FM, even typically similar to EdSh.

Objectives: Define the possible differences between both groups according to their body composition, bone metabolism and clinical findings.

Methods: Our study is observational, descriptive, transverse cohort study in which we included 86 women with fibromyalgia recruited at the Fibromyalgia and Chronic Fatigue Unit at Parc Salut-Mur in Barcelona, Spain. The patients were grouped according to the presence or absence of JHS, following the Brighton Criteria. Diverse clinical data was collected: Pain Visual Analogue Scale (PVAS), time from pain onset, time from diagnosis, somatic symptoms, state of mind, presence of a FM trigger, concurrent medication, anxiety, quality of life, disease impact, anthropometric data, Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA), bone density test (BMD) and bone metabolism data in blood samples.

Results: 51 patients were included in the FM group and 35 patients in the FM-JHS group. We did not find differences between groups PVAS; time from pain onset; somatic symptoms using the Psychiatric Disorder and Somatic Pathology Scale (TOPYPS); nor Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ). Both groups scored similarly on SF-36 Health Questionnaire. The use of opioids was more common in the FM group (p<0.001). Anxiety disorder (AnD) was present in a greater proportion of FM-JHS (p<0.001). We found the Body Mass Index and Muscle Mass (MM) to be less in the FM-JHS group (p<0.001 and p<0.008, respectively). Obesity and fat mass (FatM) were more frequent in the FM group. The FatM and less MM correlated with less quality of life on the SF-36 scale. There was less bone mass (BM) in the FM-JHS group (p<0.005). We found an inverse correlation between the Beighton score and the MM and BM in the FM-JHS group. The FM-JHS group also had less bone mineral density (BMD) at total hip DXA, with significant differences p<0.038. The BM by Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA) had a positive correlation on the BMD by DXA. The optimum point, capable of distinguishing between normal DXA and osteopenia/osteoporosis was 2.325kg with a specificity of 86% and sensitivity of 52%. Vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency was found in 62/84 (73.8%) without significant differences between groups (p>0.05).

Conclusion: Our work revealed that FM patients with JHS are different from FM without JHS, by manifesting differences in certain clinical, anthropometric, and bone metabolism features.
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EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF NERIDRONATE IN BONE EDEMA SYNDROME
A. M. Lurati, A. Laria, P. Fagiolli, L. Castelnovo, A. Tamburello, A. Mazzone, Rheumatology Unit Fornaroli Hospital, Magenta, Italy; Internal Medicine Unit OSPedale Civile, Legnano, Italy

Background: Bone Marrow Edema Syndrome (BMES) is a severely disabling pain syndrome without a definite treatment and refers to transient clinical conditions with unknown pathogenic mechanism, such as transient osteoporosis of the hip (TOPYPS), regional migratory osteoporosis (RMO), and reflex sympathetic dystrophy (RSD). Magnetic resonance imaging is used for the early diagnosis and monitoring the progression of the disease. Early differentiation from other aggressive conditions with long-term sequelae is essential in order to avoid unnecessary treatment.

Objectives: Aim of this monocentric trial was to test the efficacy and the safety of the amino-biphosphonate neridronate in patients with BMES administered in two different regimens.
Methods: 192 patients with BMES secondary to osteoarthritis localized to knee, hip, wrist or foot were randomly assigned to I.V. infusion of 100 mg neridronate given four times over 10 days (Group A, 72 subjects) or alternatively to I.V. infusions of 100 mg every 21 days over 3 months (Group B, 120 subjects). At baseline and after 180 days we performed an MRI. We assessed a 0-100 mm pain VAS in each patient, too. Outcomes were to evaluate the MRI changes and the VAS changes. A control group (35 patients) was enrolled too, treated conservatively with NSAIDs and articular rest.

Results: We observed a significant improvement in MRI with the resolution of bone marrow lesions present at the baseline (p<0.01), without a significant difference between Group A and Group B. Visual analogue scale (VAS) score decreased significantly during the study in both groups (p<0.05) without a significant difference between the two treatment groups (p=0.1). Both groups showed a significant clinical and radiologic improvement compared with control group (p<0.001).

Conclusion: In patients with BMES, the infusions of neridronate 100 mg every 21 days over 3 months or alternately every 3 days over 10 days are associated with clinically relevant and persistent benefits without significant differences between the two treatment-schedules. These results provide conclusive evidence that the use of bisphosphonates, at appropriate doses, is the treatment of choice BMES.
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USE OF BENZODIAZEPINES AND ANTIDEPRESSANTS IN PATIENTS WHO ATTEND A RHEUMATOLOGY CLINIC

1Hospital Universitario San Juan de Alicante, Alicante, Spain; 2Hospital Vega Baja, Orihuela, Spain; 3Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, Spain; 4Hospital General Universitario de Elche, Elche, Spain

Background: During the last decades, anxiolytics and antidepressants (ADP) have been among the most prescribed therapies in all developed countries (1). In Spain a prevalence of use of 11.4% was communicated (2), slightly over the have been among the most prescribed therapies in all developed countries (1).

Results: The study was approved by the Hospital Universitario de Elche Ethics Committee. Analyses (ANOVA) were performed in order to study the prevalence of these treatments, and their associations with demographical or clinical characteristics. Descriptive, univariate and multivariate analyses were recorded. Regarding the treatment with ADP or/and benzodiazepines (BDZ), their duration and the indication for the prescription were recorded. Sample size was estimated for a 0.05% alpha risk. Descriptive, univariate and multivariate analyses (ANOVA) were performed in order to study the prevalence of these treatments, and their associations with demographical or clinical characteristics. The study was approved by the Hospital Universitario de Elche Ethics Committee.

Methods: Patients who were referred for the first time to the Rheumatology consult were included. Demographical data, reason for referral and final diagnosis were recorded. Regarding the treatment with ADP or/and benzodiazepines (BDZ), their duration and the indication for the prescription were recorded. Sample size was estimated for a 0.05% alpha risk. Descriptive, univariate and multivariate analyses (ANOVA) were performed in order to study the prevalence of these treatments, and their associations with demographical or clinical characteristics. The study was approved by the Hospital Universitario de Elche Ethics Committee.

Results: 350 patients were included (women 77.1%, men 22.9%), mean age 58.1 yo. 40% were occupied and 31.4% were unemployed. The majority were mar- ried or lived with a couple (71.4%). Most of them had been referred for musculoskeletal pain (73.4%). More than a third (39.4%) were on BZD and/or ADP: 107 patients were on BZD (30.6%), 68 were on ADP (19.4%), and 47 (13.4%) were on both. The most frequent reasons for their prescription were anxiety, depression and insomnia. The final diagnosis in the clinic was a non-inflammatory condition in 53.1%, and inflammatory in 18%. In the univariate analyses, the use of BDZ/ADP was not associated with civil status, but it was associated with female sex (p<0.001), unemployment (p<0.001) and non-inflammatory final diagnosis (p<0.001). In the multivariate analyses, the use of BDZ and/or ADP was associated with female sex (p=0.002 [RR 3.4, CI 95% 1.6-7.4]) and non-inflammatory final diagnosis, specifically fibromyalgia (p= 0.007 [RR 16.1, CI 95% 2.2-120.7]).

Conclusion: The use of anxiolytics and antidepressants is frequent in the patients referred to the Rheumatology clinic, and it’s associated to female sex and non-inflammatory conditions, over all fibromyalgia.
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SERUM LEVEL OF ADRENOCORTICOTROPHIC HORMONE IS A CONTRIBUTING HORMONE OF METABOLIC SYNDROME IN NEWLY DIAGNOSED FIBROMYALGIA


Background: Evidence of components of metabolic syndrome including. Obesity dyslipidemia, abnormal glucose tolerance rate and hypertension are associated with fibromyalgia. Adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) is reported to be significantly higher in fibromyalgia patients, and it causes obesity, high blood pressure.

Objectives: This study aimed to assess the serum level of ACTH as a contribut- ing as well as a discriminator hormone in newly diagnosed fibromyalgia women presented with variable components of metabolic syndrome.

Methods: This cross-sectional study comprised 100 women with newly diag- nosis fibromyalgia and 30 apparent healthy women served as control from Kurdistan region-Iraq. Clinical data including the score of fibromyalgia impact questionnaire-revised (FIQR), tender point, body mass index, waist circumference, blood pressure and fasting serum levels of glucose and lipid profile, and ACTH level. The score of metabolic syndrome was calculated using the formula:

$$2 \times \frac{\text{waist - height}}{5.6} + \frac{\text{serum glucose}}{130} + \frac{\text{serum triglyceride}}{1.02} + \frac{\text{serum high density lipoprotein}}{1.28}$$

Results: Compared to the controls, the Fibromyalgia women displayed significantly higher values of waist circumference (88.9 ± 5.7cm versus 81.7 ± 2.7cm, p=0.019), systolic blood pressure (136.1 ± 10.4mmHg versus 131.4 ± 7.1, p=0.014), metabolic syndrome score (3.10 ± 0.25 versus 3.03 ± 0.19, p=0.039), and serum ACTH levels (16.66 ± 3.23pg/ml versus 14.42 ± 2.18pg/ml, p<0.001). Serum ACTH levels significantly and inversely correlated with the total score of the FIQR (r= -0.320, p=0.001) and number of tender points (r= -0.374, p<0.001). Metabolic syndrome score is significantly and inversely correlated with the total FIQR score (r= -0.296, p=0.003). Multivariable regression analysis using showed that serum level of ACTH is a significant predictor of 19.7% of fibro- myalgia patients (Figure 1), and it is a significant (p=0.007) discriminator of tender points as the area under the curve is 0.325(95% CI: 0.212-0.438) (Figure 2).
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