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AB0872 EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF THE COMBINATION OF APOCYNIN AND PAEONOL (APPA) IN PATIENTS WITH OSTEOARTHRITIS: AN UNCONTROLLED PATIENT CASE SERIES

N. Larkins1, AKL Research and Development Ltd, Stevenage, United Kingdom

Background: Apocynin and paenanol are secondary metabolites of plants used in traditional Asian medicine for centuries. The combination of synthetic versions of these two molecules (APPA) was developed initially for the treatment of osteoarthritis (OA) in animals where it has been found at least as effective as meloxicam. Human clinical trials are currently ongoing.

Objectives: To report the outcomes from a case series of patients treated with APPA.

Methods: Subjects with a diagnosis of OA, who had tried unsuccessfully a number of standard therapies, requested treatment with APPA from the author (NL), often following successful treatment of their animals with the combination or via networking. The usual daily dose was 1240 mg paenanol and 352 mg of apocynin taken as two 400mg capsules twice daily.

Results: Twenty-three subjects with a diagnosis of OA of whom 7 were scheduled for surgery have been treated with APPA. There were 10 female and 13 males with an age range from 40 to 81 years. Nine patients had OA of the knees, 5 of whom had bilateral involvement, 7 had hip OA, 5 bilaterally and 2 with end stage bone on bone disease. Four patients had hand OA, one of whom also had disease of the hip, lower back and feet. In 3 patients the joints involved was not recorded. In 19 patients treatment was reported as effective. In 4 patients the treatment was ineffective, all of whom were scheduled or had been recommended for surgery. In 2 of these cases cases this was bone on bone. The duration of treatment for OA at the last reported follow-up was reported for 16 patients in whom treatment was deemed effective and ranged from 9 to 120 months (median 24 months). In a further 3 patients the treatment duration was not reported. In the 4 patients where no benefit was reported APPA was discontinued within a few weeks. In no case was there a report of APPA being discontinued due to adverse events. In 13 patients it was specifically stated that there had been no adverse events whereas for the remaining 10 patients it was not documented whether adverse events had occurred or not.

Conclusion: Treatment with APPA was reported as effective by 82.6% of patients. In all patients in which the combination was ineffective the disease was severe with joint replacement recommended or scheduled; in two patients this was bone on bone. This would suggest that APPA is not a simple analgesic, a conclusion supported by effects seen in the rat meniscal tear model where possible disease modifying effects have been reported (1).
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Background: Current guidelines usually only include hyaluronic acid (HA) intra-articular injection as an alternative therapy option for knee osteoarthritis (OA). When compared to the blind approach, ultrasound guided intra-articular injections (USGIA) have shown a higher efficacy and reduced number of adverse events due to injection techniques [1]. This has allowed targeting deep joints like the hip. The objective of the study is to evaluate the short and long term efficacy and safety of HA-USGIA in moderate hip OA.

Methods: Patients with Kellgren-Lawrence II and III hip OA (refractory to NSAIDs, pain killers and chondroprotective agents) were prospectively recruited to receive 3 consecutive weekly doses of HA- USGIA using free hand technique [2]. Informed written consent was signed. VAS pain scale and WOMAC score was performed at baseline and at 3 and 6 months after the end of treatment. The first injection was performed after a 1-month wash out. X ray assessment was made at baseline and after 6 months. Ultrasound evaluation was made at baseline and at each visit.

Results: Fifteen patients (median age 67 years, IQR 63-69 years, 13/15 women) with hip OA were enrolled and 28 hip joints were injected (Fig 1a,b). None of the hips presented ultrasound detected capsular distension (suggesting inflammation) during the study. Table 1 presents the results on medication efficacy at 3 and 6 months. The pain evaluated by VAS score showed a significant and progressive decrease from baseline to 3 and 6 months respectively (Table 1). Indeed, a significant and sustained decrease of total WOMAC scores and its separate domains- pain, stiffness and function was recorded from baseline to 3 and 6 months (Fig 2a,b). No significant changes were observed regarding the WOMAC score stiffness and function domains when comparing results at 3 and 6ms. Moreover, WOMAC-pain score was significantly lower at 6ms as compared to 3ms (Table 1), highlighting the dramatic and sustained medication impact on the most relevant parameter for clinical practice, in patients with hip OA. Neither drug-related nor injection technique related adverse effects were recorded. No patient developed signs of hip osteonecrosis or inflammatory lesions during follow-up.

Table 1. The trends of the scores expressed as medians and comparisons between follow-ups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Median (Q1 to Q3)</th>
<th>P-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>3ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS pain</td>
<td>8 (7 to 8)</td>
<td>3 (2 to 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC total</td>
<td>57 (45.5 to 64.5)</td>
<td>8 (6 to 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC pain</td>
<td>10.5 (8.0 to 13.5)</td>
<td>2 (1 to 3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC stiffness</td>
<td>3.5 (3 to 5)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC function</td>
<td>42 (32 to 49)</td>
<td>7 (3.8 to 16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q1 = first quartile; Q3 = third quartile; Friedman test; * Wilcoxon test; b b-baseline

Conclusion: The results suggest that HA – USGIA may be an effective and safe treatment for moderate hip OA, due to its short and long term benefits. This treatment should not be delayed until advanced OA is diagnosed. Longitudinal controlled studies on larger cohorts are warranted to confirm these preliminary results.
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Background: Osteoarthritis (OA) is a degenerative disease with complex underlying mechanisms1,2. The interactions among several factors make the study of the disease very complex and often lead to different treatment, i.e. surgical or conservative, decisions for subjects clinically and radiographically similar. Recent explorations performed at the body level pointed out that macro-factors, like overweight or gait, can influence the development of the disease. The number of related factors is high, and they are very likely to interact with each other. However, the literature lacks randomized and balanced studies to verify such effects of multiple factors.

Objectives: The aim of this work was to develop a multifactorial analysis to explore whether and how gait functionality and dynamics can be related to treatment decision.

Methods: A multifactorial analysis of gait dynamics in OA subjects was developed. 81 OA subjects, graded 2-3 in KL, were selected based on 4 clinical factors: Gender (male – female), Age (60-67 – 68-75), BMI (25–29.9 – 30+) and treatment (total knee replacement (TKR) – conservative treatment). Gait analyzers: Gender (male – female), Age (60-67 – 68-75), BMI (25–29.9 – 30+) and age – p<0.02 – Figure 1).

Results: The reaction forces and torques at the ankles, knees and hips were computed. Functionality: Velocity of gait and the time needed to perform a gait cycle were measured. Dynamics: The reaction forces and torques at the ankles, knees and hips were computed through inverse dynamic analyses. Analysis of variance was performed for the four factors described among the functionality and dynamics parameters.

Results: The multifactorial analysis showed that functionality values are more subjective to the studied factors than the dynamics ones. Functionality seems to be directly related to the clinical treatment. Patients who selected TKR needed more time to make a step, spent more time in double stand and age – p<0.02 – Figure 1).

Dynamics: Forces at the joints seemed to be affected by the gender and an interaction between age and BMI (p<0.005, p<0.02) but not by the kind of therapy. Differently, torques were statistically related to the clinical treatment (p<0.007). Age was also significant as was the interaction between age and BMI (both p<0.007).

Conclusion: Reduced functionality seems to be related to the selection of therapy. In contrast to current paradigm, forces at the joints may have no role in the definition of the best therapy for OA subjects. Subjects requiring TKR do not present higher loads at the joints. However, torques seems to be related to the therapy selected. Instead of forces, kinematics and posture assessments might support rational definitions of the therapy and future multifactorial analysis should take them into consideration.
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