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Table 1. MDA and DAPSA responder rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment arm</th>
<th>MDA (%) [a]</th>
<th>DAPSA remission (%) [b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wk 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKZ 160 mg (n=40)</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKZ 160 mg LD (n=37) [c]</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk 24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKZ 160 mg</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKZ 320 mg (n=41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) DBS, pts with missing data were counted as non-responders; [b] DBS, missing data are imputed using last observation carried forward; [c] 160 mg with 320 mg LD at baseline.

Figure 1. Proportion of patients achieving PsAIID-9 score response

Figure 2. Association between PsAIID-9 and VMDA, MDA, and DAPSA disease states at Wk 48
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AB0779 DOES DACTYLITIS/ENTESITIS PREDICT THE RESPONSE TO A SPECIFIC BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT IN PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS?
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Background: Spondylarthritis are diseases with a pathophysiological focus in enthesis with a different extent of synovial component. In the event of therapeutic failure with DMARDs, the clinician may consider biological therapy with anti-TNF drugs or other targets such as IL-23. Despite this, most patients receive first-line anti-TNFs. Given that IL19 and IL23 activity is recognized at the level of the entheses.

Objectives: To evaluate whether the presence of dactylitis/enthesitis could be useful in the choice of a particular biological therapy.

Methods: A secondary analysis of a previous study was performed based on an electronic survey completed by patients with PsoA and distributed among members of the patient association ‘Acion Psoriasis’. Records from 191 respondents who had received at least one biological therapy were included. Patients were grouped according to the presence or absence of dactylitis or enthesitis. The rate of need to progress to the next therapeutic biologic line was compared.

Results: 61 patients reported dactylitis and 155 enthesitis. Distribution of treatments in patients with dactylitis: 33 patients received an anti-TNF-alpha, 11 Secukinumab and 12 Ustekinumab. 15 patients in the group receiving an anti-TNF-alpha had to substitute another treatment within 2 years (45.4%). 3 patients in each of the remaining groups had to substitute treatment within 2 years (27.2% and 25%, respectively). Compared to those receiving anti-TNF-alpha therapy, patients treated with Secukinumab or Ustekinumab had greater therapeutic persistence at 2 years (P<0.001, in both cases). Distribution of treatments in patients with enthesitis (not including dactylitis): 115 received an anti-TNF-alpha, 25 received Secukinumab and 18 received Ustekinumab. 38 patients who received an anti-TNF-alpha had to substitute it within 2 years (24.5%). 4 patients who received Secukinumab and 3 who received Ustekinumab had to substitute their treatments in less than 2 years (16% and 16.6%, respectively). Compared to patients receiving anti-TNF-alpha therapy, patients treated with Secukinumab and Ustekinumab had a higher proportion of therapeutic persistence at 2 years (P<0.05 for both cases).

Conclusion: The presence of dactylitis more than enthesitis, is associated with a higher proportion of therapeutic persistence in those patients treated with anti-IL17 or anti-IL23 therapies. Although there are multiple factors that condition the choice of biological therapies in patients with PsoA, the presence of enthesis and dactilitis (understood as polynethesitis) should be considered among the most important ones.
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Background: Persistence in biologic therapy in psoriatic arthritis is critical to optimize symptom remission, functional capacity and health care costs.

Objectives: To estimate the persistence to biologic treatment prescribed to PsA patients in a real-life setting as well as factors associated with improved biologic drug survival in these patients.

Methods: Patients with PsA from a large health care provider database with at least two consecutive dispensed prescriptions of a biologic agent indicated for PsA from January 1st, 2002 until December 31st, 2016 were identified and followed until medication stop date or the end of observation period. Patients were considered non-persistent whenever a new prescription was dispensed and a permissible gap of 6 months was exceeded prior to starting on this biologic agent from the prescription date. Treatment changes were based on physician decisions and patient preferences. Demographic data including age, sex, BMI, ethnicity, smoking history and socioeconomic status as well as Charlson comorbidity index were retrieved. Data regarding use of steroids and non-biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs were also extracted. Descriptive statistics, including means (standard deviations) for continuous variables and frequencies (%) for categorical variables, were used. Persistence estimates were derived using non-parametric survival analysis using Kaplan-Meier functions, with treatment discontinuations as failure events. Cox regression hazard ratio models were conducted to investigate factors associated with drug persistence.

Results: 2301 PsA patients with 2958 treatment periods were identified and included in the analyses. The mean age was 50.3±14 years of whom 54% were females, 70.4% of the study population had a BMI>25, and 36% were obese (BMI>30). 40% were current smokers, and 76% had a Charlson comorbidity index higher than 1. The most commonly prescribed drug was etanercept, followed by adalimumab, golimumab, secukinumab, ustekinumab and infliximab at 33%, 29%, 12%, 10%, 8% and 8%, respectively. Only about 20% of patients remained on a particular biologic agent after 5 years, whereas about 40% persisted on therapy following 20 months of treatment. A Kaplan-Mayer survival analysis with pairwise comparisons of all treatment choices with respect to lines of therapy was conducted. When analyzing the data for all treatment periods and taking into account all lines of therapy, secukinumab had a higher persistence than adalimumab, infliximab and ustekinumab, with a Log Rank of 0.022, 0.047 and 0.001, respectively, as is shown in figure 1. Female sex and smoking were associated with lower drug persistence (HR=1.25, 95%CI 1.13-1.38 and HR=1.109, 95%CI 1.01-1.21, respectively). When analyzing the data regarding second-line biologic agents, secukinumab was found to be superior to adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab and ustekinumab but not to golimumab with a Log-Rank P value of 0.001, 0.004, 0.025 and 0.002, respectively (figure 2). On analyzing the data using only the first indicated biologic line, no superiority of any single anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (anti-TNFα) agent was observed.

Conclusion: In this large observational cohort, in the era of biologic therapy, a relatively low persistence was observed, with female sex and smoking having a negative impact on persistency. None of the anti-TNFα agents as first line therapy was found to be more persistent than others, while secukinumab was found to be superior to other biologics when indicated as second line of therapy.

Disclosure of Interests: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-eular.6235