AB0733

PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS IN NIGERIAN PSORIASIS PATIENTS - MYTH OR A MISSING LINK?

A. I. M. Ajibade¹, O. O. Ayanlowo², U. Ima-Edomwonyi³, A. J. Ekwuenu⁴, O. Adelowo⁵. ¹Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex, Rheumatology Unit, Internal Medicine, Ile-Ife, Nigeria; ²Faculty of Clinical Sciences, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Internal Medicine, Lagos, Nigeria; ³Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Rheumatology Unit, Internal Medicine, Lagos, Nigeria; ⁴Nigeria Centre for Disease Control, Jabi, Abuja, Nigeria; ⁵Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Rheumatology Unit, Internal Medicine, Lagos, Nigeria

Background: Beyond true arthritis, Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is known with dactylitis and enthesitis. Enthesitis is postulated as the central pathogenic process in seronegative spondyloarthritis¹, and the primary finding in psoriatic arthritis². Psoriasis (and more so PsA) were initially thought to be rare in West Africa. Psoriasis (Ps) is now reported increasingly in Nigeria. But the notion of the rarity of PsA still remains in the absence of systematic documentation of PsA among psoriasis patients, with few cases reported from Rheumatology clinics³.

Objectives: This study set out to determine the prevalence of PsA among Nigerian Ps patients using the Classification for Psoriatic Arthritis (CASPAR) criteria, and to evaluate enthesitis amongst them.

Methods: This hospital-based, cross-sectional study was carried out at the dermatology clinic over an 18-month period. All patients seen within the study period with biopsy-established Ps were recruited. Fifty-three (53) Ps patients, 16 years or older, were enrolled. The CASPAR criteria was used to diagnose PsA. A modified Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada (SPARCC) enthesitis chart was used to document enthesial inflammation sites. Diagnosis of enthesitis was made by clinical examination.

Results: Fourteen participants fulfilled the CASPAR criteria (8 females, 6 males, F:M = 1.3:1) giving a PsA prevalence of **26.4%**. Using the Moll & Wright clinical classification, Oligo/Mono-articular pattern was the most documented (Fig 1). No patient had arthritis mutilans. Enthesitis was found in **ALL(100%)** PsA patients (Table 1). Highest frequencies were found in the right iliac, right patella and both plantar fascia (Fig 2). Multiple sites were involved in 87.5% of patients.

Conclusion: Psoriatic arthritis can not be considered rare among Nigerian Ps patients. Enthesitis has been suggested as the primary finding, and the initial site of inflammation in PsA^{2,4}. Our findings reinforce these theories in an African population. Whilst other studies reported occurrence of enthesitis in 30-50% of PsA patients⁵, our study found 100%. Admittedly though a small study population, it suggests that enthesitis may well be the missing link to finding more PsA patients in Nigeria and Psoriasis patients of West African descent.

References:

- [1] Watad A, Cuthbert RJ, Amital H, McGonagle D. Enthesitis : Much More Than Focal Insertion Point Inflammation. *Curr Rheumatol Rep.* 2018;20:41–8.
- [2] Barnas JL, Ritchlin CT. Etiology and Pathogenesis of Psoriatic Arthritis. *Rheum Dis Clin N Am.* 2015;41(4):643–63.
- [3] Akpabio AA, Olaosebikan BH, Adelowo OO. Psoriatic Arthritis in Nigeria : Case Series and Literature Review. *J Clin Rheumatol.* 2018;24(4):183–7.
- [4] Sakkas LI, Alexiou I, Simopoulou T, Vlychou M. Enthesitis in psoriatic arthritis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum.* 2013;43(3):325–34.

Fig 1. Moll & Wright articular patterns.

Table 1.

VARIABLE	PsA, n=14	No PsA, n=39	Sig
	n (%)	n (%)	
Inflammatory Eye Disease			
Yes	4 (28.6)	1 (2.6)	0.014f
No	10 (71.4)	38 (97.4)	

Table 1.

VARIABLE	PsA, n=14	No PsA, n=39	Sig
	n (%)	n (%)	
Nail Involvement			
Yes	8 (57.1)	13 (33.3)	0.066*
No	6 (42.9)	26 (66.7)	
Dactylitis			
Yes	3 (21.4)	0 (0)	0.016f
No	11 (78.6)	39 (100.0)	
Enthesitis			
Yes	14 (100)	1 (2.6)	0.000f
No	0 (0)	38 (97.4)	

PsA=psoriatic arthritis, f=Fischer's exact, *=Pearson's chi-square, sig=significance level.

INVOLVED ENTHESEAL SITES

Fig 2. Enthesitis Distribution

Disclosure of Interests: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-eular.1454

AB0734

EFFICACY AND SURVIVAL OF APREMILAST IN PATIENTS WITH PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS AND PSORIASIS IN REAL CLINICAL PRACTICE

I. Añón Oñate¹, M. J. Pérez Galán², A. Romero³, P. Aceituno³. ¹Hospital Universitario de Jaén, Rheumatology, Jaén, Spain; ²Hospital Universitario de Jaén, Rheumatology, Jaén, Spain; ³Hospital Universitario de Jaén, Dermatology, Jaén, Spain

Background: Apremilast (APR) is a phosphodiesterase 4 Inhibitor. APR has been demonstrated to be an effective and safe therapy in the treatment of active psoriatic arthritis (PsA) and psoriasis in patients who were intolerant of or unresponsive to synthetic disease-modifying Antirheumatic Drugs (DMARDs).

Objectives: To assess the effectiveness and survival rates of APR in a cohort of patients diagnosed with PsA and psoriasis with arthritis in real clinical practice.

Methods: An open, longitudinal, prospective, descriptive study. A total of 80 patients diagnosed with PsA or psoriasis with arthritis were included. All patients received the starting dose of oral APR as per the Summary of Product Characteristics and a maintenance dose of 30mg every 12 hours. The following variables were collected: age, gender, years of evolution, prior treatment with DMARDs, swollen and tender joint counts (SJC, TJC), C-Reactive Protein (CRP), and presence of dactylitis, enthesitis and cutaneous psoriasis. Treatment response was evaluated in all patients at 6, 12 and 18 months follow-ups. Efficacy in patients with PsA was evaluated using the Disease Activity in Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA)-based criteria: low activity (DAPSA 5-14) and clinical remission (DAPSA 0-4). To assess the level of enthesitis, Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score (MASES) index was used. Efficacy in patients with psoriasis was evaluated using the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI)-based criteria: PASI-75 (improvement ≥ 75% of the baseline PASI). Kaplan-Meier method was used for survival analysis.

Results: Of the 80 patients included in our cohort: 42 patients were diagnosed with PsA and 38 with psoriasis. 57.1% of patients with PsA and 63.2% of patients with psoriasis were men with a mean age of 48.2 ± 11.1 and 48.2 ± 14.8 and mean duration of disease 3.5 ± 1.4 and 3.2 ± 2.6 years respectively. Most of

the patients with PsA (93%) had cutaneous disease and enthesitis and dactylitis were present in 45% and 31% respectively. 95% of patients with PsA had received prior treatment with Metotrexate. At 6, 12 and 18 months, there was a statistically significant decrease from baseline in TJC, SJC and DAPSA scores. The decrease in the MASES index and the levels of PCR were not statistically significant (Table 2). According to DAPSA, at 18 months follow-ups, clinical remission rate was 77.8%, and low activity rate was 22.2%. 55% of patients with psoriasis reached PASI-75 at 18 months. APR survival rates at 6, 12 and 18 months was 67.85 %, 56.45% and 50.2 % in patients with ApS and 74.8%, 70.4% and 65.1 % in patients with psoriasis.

Conclusion: APR is an effective drug for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis, reaching statistical significance according to DAPSA, and with a high survival rate after 18 months of treatment.

References:

- [1] Goldenberg G, Lanoue J, Dong J. New oral therapies for psoriasis: a comprehensive review. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol*. 2016;9(8):25–8.
- [2] Reed M, Crosbie D. Apremilast in the treatment of psoriatic arthritis: a perspective review. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis*. 2017;9:45–53.
- [3] Mease Philip J, DD Gladman, A Ogdi et al. Treatment to Target in Psoriatic Arthritis with Apremilast: Probability of Achieving Targets and Comprehensive Control of Disease Manifestations. *Arthritis Care & Research*, 2020.

Table 1. Disease characteristics in patients with PsA receiving APR

PATIENTS WITH PsA	Basal, mean±SD	6 Months, mean±SD	12 Months, mean±SD	18 Months, mean±SD	"p" value
TJC	3.3 ± 2.0	1.2 ± 2.3	1.1 ± 1.6	0.7 ± 1.1	*p=0.002
SJC	2.4 ± 1.6	0.4 ± 0.9	1.0 ± 2.0	0.3 ± 1.0	*p=0.001
CRP	6.8 ± 6.3	3.5 ± 3.8	3.4 ± 3.9	2.7 ± 4.1	p=0.062
DAPSA	21.1 ± 5.6	5.6 ± 7.2	6.5 ± 8.5	2.9 ± 4.1	*p=0.000
MASES	1 ± 1.4	0.1 ± 0.5	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	p=0.16

Table 2. Disease characteristics in patients with Psoriasis receiving APR

PATIENTS WITH PSORIASIS	Basal, mean±SD	6 Months, mean±SD	12 Months, mean±SD	18 Months, mean±SD	"p" value
PASI	9.5 ± 6.6	4.1 ± 4.7	2.2 ± 2.6	3.4 ± 3.8	p=0.072

Disclosure of Interests: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-eular.1237

AB0735

SEVERITY OF NAIL PSORIASIS SCORE (SNAPS) DEMONSTRATES LONGITUDINAL CONSTRUCT VALIDITY AGAINST THE MODIFIED NAIL PSORIASIS SEVERITY INDEX (mNAPSI) IN AN OBSERVATIONAL COHORT OF PATIENTS WITH PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS

A. Antony¹, S. Saeed², D. Hart², P. Nair², C. Cavill^{2,3}, E. Korendowych², N. Mchugh^{2,3}, C. Lovell⁴, W. Tillet^{2,3}. ¹Monash University, Clayton, Australia; ²Royal National Hospital For Rheumatic Diseases, Bath, United Kingdom; ³University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom; ⁴Royal United Hospital, Bath, United Kingdom

Background: Longitudinal observational data on psoriatic nail dystrophy is scarce, in part due to the lack of a validated outcome measure that is feasible in routine care. The Severity of Nail Psoriasis Score (SNAPS; range 0-40: scored one point each for the presence of pitting, onycholysis, hyperkeratosis and/or severe nail disease[#] in each fingernail) has face validity and has recently demonstrated feasibility, reliability and cross-sectional construct validity against the modified Nail Psoriasis Severity Index (mNAPSI; range 0-130)¹.

Objectives: We aimed to assess the longitudinal construct validity of SNAPS against the mNAPSI and physician nail VAS (PhNVAS), and to determine the effect size and measurement error of these tools.

Methods: Consenting consecutive patients enrolled in the Bath Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) longitudinal cohort underwent photography of their fingernails at baseline¹ and 6 months alongside routine clinical assessments. Dorsal images of individual fingernails were acquired using a tripod mounted DSLR camera. An angled mirror positioned distally aided identification of hyperkeratosis. Photographs were scored using SNAPS, mNAPSI and PhNVAS¹. Paired statistical analyses were conducted to assess for change in scores from baseline to follow-up. Pairwise correlations between change in SNAPS and change in mNAPSI and PhNVAS were assessed using Spearman's rho. Effect sizes and measurement error were calculated.

Results: Fifteen patients with a mean (±SD) age of 54.5 (±10.59) were assessed at 6 months. There was a significant reduction in both the mNAPSI and SNAPS scores (p<0.005), with improvements in the most frequently-observed

manifestations¹ i.e. pitting, onycholysis, hyperkeratosis and crumbling (Table 1). No other feature specific to mNAPSI improved over time. There was no significant change using the PhNVAS. There was a strong correlation between changes in SNAPS and the mNAPSI (Figure 1; rho = 0.838, p<0.001). The correlation between change in SNAPS and PhNVAS was not statistically significant (rho = 0.45, p=0.095) (Figure 1). The change in mNAPSI correlated moderately with the PhNVAS (rho = 0.540, p=0.038). mNAPSI was superior to SNAPS in most parameters of measurement error (Table 2). The mNAPSI and SNAPS had similar effect sizes as measured by the SRM (Table 2).

Conclusion: SNAPS demonstrates longitudinal construct validity against the mNAPSI in a small observational cohort of PsA patients as evidenced by a strong correlation between the measures, comparable effect sizes and sensitivity to change over time. Whilst measurement error parameters favored the mNAPSI, SNAPS may be a more feasible measure for studying nail disease in cohort studies.

References:

- [1] Antony A, Hart D, Cavill C, Korendowych E, McHugh N, Lovell C, Tillet W. The 'Severity of Nail Psoriasis Score' (SNAPS) Is Feasible, Reliable and Demonstrates Construct Validity Against the mNAPSI in an Observational Cohort of Patients with Psoriatic Arthritis [abstract]. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019; 71 (suppl 10).

Table 1. Outcomes at Baseline and at Follow-Up:

Outcome	Mean (SD) or Median (IQR) N=15		t-test or Wilcoxon Sign Rank test (p-value)
	Baseline	Follow-up	
SNAPS	13.0 [8.00-21.00]	5.0 [2.00-11.00]	0.002*
mNAPSI	22.0 [12.00-35.00]	6.0 [4.00-15.00]	0.001*
Physician Nail VAS	23.3 (22.90)	15.8 (15.22)	0.147
Physician Global VAS	18.0 [10.75-32.75]	15.0 [10.00-30.00]	0.455

Table 2. Measurement error of SNAPS, mNAPSI, PtNVAS and PhNVAS

	SRM	SEM	SDC	SDC (% of total score)	SDD	SDD (% of total score)
SNAPS	1.15	1.71	6.72	16.79	3.36	8.40
mNAPSI	1.15	3.51	13.74	10.57	6.87	5.29
Physician Nail VAS	0.40	4.71	18.47	14.21	9.23	7.10

Figure 1. Correlation between changes in SNAPS and changes in mNAPSI

Disclosure of Interests: Anna Antony: None declared, Sadaf Saeed: None declared, Darren Hart: None declared, Preeti Nair: None declared, Charlotte Cavill: None declared, Eleanor Korendowych: None declared, Neil McHugh: None declared, Christopher Lovell: None declared, William Tillet Grant/research support from: AbbVie, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, UCB, Consultant of: AbbVie, Amgen, Celgene, Lilly, Janssen, Novartis, MSD, Pfizer Inc, UCB, Speakers bureau: AbbVie, Amgen, Celgene, Lilly, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, UCB

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-eular.2426

AB0736

SEVERITY OF NAIL PSORIASIS SCORE (SNAPS) IS SENSITIVE TO CHANGE IN A COHORT OF PATIENTS WITH PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS TREATED WITH ETANERCEPT

A. Antony¹, S. Saeed², D. Hart², P. Nair², C. Cavill^{2,3}, E. Korendowych², N. Mchugh^{2,3}, C. Lovell⁴, W. Tillet^{2,3}. ¹Monash University, Clayton, Australia; ²Royal National Hospital For Rheumatic Diseases, Bath, United Kingdom;