Efficacy and Safety of Rituximab-Originating and Biosimilar in Primary Sjogren's Syndrome in a Real-Life Setting


Background: Over the last 2 decades rituximab (RTX) has been widely used, albeit off-label, in primary Sjogren’s syndrome (pSS). Several studies reported that B-lymphocyte depletion with RTX is effective in this disease not only by reducing disease activity but also by affecting the inflammation and the lymphoid organization that occur in target tissues. With the recent release of several RTX biosimilars (bRTX) on the market, the demonstration of their interchangeability with RTX originator (oRTX) is required.

Objectives: To compare efficacy and safety of oRTX and bRTX in pSS patients in a real-life setting.

Methods: Clinical records of pSS patients referring to a tertiary rheumatology clinic were retrospectively evaluated. Patients having received at least 2 courses of either oRTX or bRTX (1000 mg IV infusion, repeated after 2 weeks -1 course- until month 12) were compared with patients who were not administered the full 2.0 x 106 cells/kg dose due to technical error or “allergies to HCQ” are often raised on social media. This could contribute to the non-adherence, which varies from 3 to 76% in SLE patients depending on assessment method and drug.

Results: Seven patients that received oRTX and 7 patients that received bRTX were enrolled. Baseline clinical features, including ESSDAI and ESSPRI were similar in the 2 treatment groups. Both compounds significantly reduced ESSDAI and ESSPRI as early as 3 months and no difference between the groups was observed at any time point (Figure 1). Of interest, ESSDAI slowly decreased until month 6 when the most pronounced reduction was observed. Conversely, ESSPRI dropped to its lowest values already at month 3. With regard to safety, at 12 months of follow-up no adverse event was observed in any of the treatment groups.

Conclusion: At 12 months of follow-up, oRTX and bRTX display similar efficacy and safety profiles. The improvement of patient-reported outcomes is faster than the improvement of disease activity with both compounds. Our data support interchangeability of oRTX and bRTX in pSS.
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Background: Mesenchymal stem cells are known to have immunomodulatory properties and may potentially have therapeutic effect in lupus nephritis. Mesenchymal stem cells form a haploidentical donor are an attractive cell source

Objectives: CS20AT04, a haploidentolic allogeneic bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell, was evaluated in patients with lupus nephritis for safety and tolerability.

Methods: This was a single-arm phase 1 dose-escalation trial of CS20AT04 in adult patients with lupus nephritis (NCT03174587). A 3 + 3 design was used for dose escalation. The starting dose was 2.0 x 106 cells/kg and was escalated to 3.0 x 106 cells/kg if there no dose-limiting toxicity. The primary objective was to determine the maximum tolerated dose and evaluate the safety and tolerability of CS20AT04 at 28 days after the infusion.

Results: Seven patients were enrolled in the study. Patients received CS20AT04 through intravenous infusion. The initial dose of 2.0 x 106 cells/kg was administered for the first 3 patients without any dose limiting toxicity. There was 1 patient who were not administered the full 2.0 x 106 cells/kg dose due to technical error during infusion. The patient did not show dose limiting toxicity, but 1 additional patient was enrolled to have 3 patients who received the full 2.0 x 106 cells/kg dose before escalating to the next level dose. The dose of 3.0 x 106 cells/kg was administered for the next 3 patients without any dose limiting toxicity. Three adverse events were reported (1 diarrhea, 1 toothache, and 1 arthralgia) and they were all NCI-CTC grade 1 events.

Conclusion: CS20AT04 was well tolerated in single dose up to 3.0 x 106 cells/kg in patients with lupus nephritis.
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Background: Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) is recommended for all patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and is typically considered as having a good safety profile. Yet, patient organisations observe that concerns about eye impact or “allergies to HCQ” are often raised on social media. This could contribute to the non-adherence, which varies from 3 to 76% in SLE patients depending on assessment method and drug.

Objectives: To understand if/how some patients’ beliefs impact adherence to HCQ treatment.

Methods: In May 2019, LUPUS EUROPE launched a 29 questions on-line survey in 13 languages including questions on HCQ adherence. 2938 responses were analysed. 67.8% (1990 patients) were current HCQ users, 17.8% had stopped using it, 8.1% never had HCQ (6.4% did not respond to this question). 1820 users reported their adherence level. 314 (17.3%) were classified as “low” adherence as they reported missing/forgetting HCQ “always” (1.8%), “more than twice a week” (5.2%) or “once a week” (11.1%).

Results: The prescribed HCQ dose, kidney involvement or duration of treatment (beyond the 1st year) were found to have no impact on low adherence. Similarly, the user belief that HCQ has significant side effects, without experiencing these, was not found to impact adherence (p=.74).

The following factors were associated with better adherence: (p<.0001)