the concomitant type of vitamin D and pretreatment of osteoporosis for BMD change.

Results: BMD change at the lumbar spine, proximal femoral and femoral neck were 5.9% (p<0.01), 4.0% (p=0.01), and 12% (p=0.36) during one year. There were no differences in improvement ratio between each parameters (fig 1). Disease activity: 75 patients in remission or low disease activity and 65 patients in moderate or high disease activity were 6.4 vs 5.3% (p=0.91), 3.0 vs 5.1% (p=0.73), 2.0 vs 0.3% (p=0.1). bDMARDs: 45 patients with bDMARDs (anti-tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNF): 23, tocilizumab (TCZ): 13, abatacept (ABT): 10, Tofacitinib: 2) and 93 patients without bDMARDs were 6.0 vs 5.8% (p=0.31), 4.3 vs 4.1% (p=0.57), -0.2 vs 18% (p=0.18). Type of vitamin D: 47 patients taking active form vitamin D and 60 patients taking native form vitamin D were 5.5 vs 6.8% (p=0.82), 3.1 vs 3.8% (p=0.93), 0.4 vs 1.9% (p=0.14). Pretreatment of osteoporosis: 74 patients with pre-treatment of osteoporosis (bisphosphonate, teriparatide) and 66 patients without pre-treatment of osteoporosis were 6.9 vs 5.4% (p=0.41), 0.9 vs 4.0% (p=0.22), 2.0 vs 12% (p=0.68). Moreover, BMD change were not different in bDMARDs type: 5.0, 6.4, 0.5% in TNF group, 4.8, 0.7, -19% in TCZ group, 9.7, 4.9, 0.2% in ABT group (TNF vs TCZ: p=0.83, 0.98, 0.81, TNF vs ABT: p=0.83, 0.41, 0.97, TCZ vs ABT: p=0.98, 0.43, 0.9). There were no difference between bisphosphonate and teriparatide (6.2 vs 6.9%; p=0.49, 4.8 vs 0.9% p=0.35, 0.9 vs 2.0% p=0.49).

Conclusion: Denosumab improved BMD in patients with RA independently regardless of disease activity, bDMARDs, the concomitant type of vitamin D and pretreatment of osteoporosis.
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DIFFERENCES AND DETERMINANTS OF PHYSICIAN’S AND PATIENT’S PERCEPTION IN GLOBAL ASSESSMENT OF RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS


Background: Patient’s Global Assessment of Disease Activity (PtGA) and Physician’s Global Assessment of Disease Activity (PhGA) are assessed as part of commonly used measures of disease activity in RA. Both are important measures in treat-to-target strategies in Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), but often provide discordant results. This can provide an erroneous assessment of disease activity in patients under Biologic treatment and mislead treatment decisions, namely switches.

Objectives: To assess differences and determinants of PtGA and PhGA in RA patients under biologic treatment.

Methods: Cross-sectional study, including 46 patients with RA diagnosed according to the ACR/EULAR criteria, under biologic treatment, consecutively evaluated in day-care unit. Participants completed patient-reported outcomes (PROs), including PtGA, and sociodemographic characteristics. Physicians collected comorbidities and parameters of inflammatory activity (erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR] and C-reactive protein [CRP]) and completed PhGA and disease activity score 28 with ESR (DAS28). SPSS was used for statistical analysis and significance level was defined as 2-sided p<0.05.

Results: Clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients are shown in table 1. PtGA and PhGA were significantly different (36.1±27.6 mm vs 8.7±14.2 mm, p<0.001) and a positive discordance (PtGA>PhGA, more than 25 mm in visual analogue scale [VAS]) was found in 54.3% of cases. PtGA had a correlation with PROs [Pain VAS, 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36), Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ), Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACT), EuroQoL (EQ5D) and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)], CRP, tender and swollen joint counts and an association with comorbidities like fibromyalgia or osteoarthritis (OA). No association was found between PtGA and age, sex, education level, profession, employment status, extra-articular manifestations, positivity of rheumatoid factor, ESR, years of disease activity or number of biologic treatments. In multivariable analyse including SF-36, CRP, tender joints count and OA (R² adjusted = 0.672), the main predictors of PtGA were lower SF36, concomitant OA and higher CRP level. PhGA had a correlation with PtGA, pain VAS, CRP, tender and swollen joints. No association was found between PhGA and patient or physician age, patient or physician sex, extra-articular manifestations, positivity of rheumatoid factor, ESR level, years of disease activity or number of biologic treatments. In multivariable analysis including ESR, tender and swollen joints count and CRP (R² adjusted = 0.800), the main predictors of PhGA were swollen joint count and higher CRP level.

Conclusion: This study showed the variability implied on global assessment of RA activity. Overall PtGA is based on function and also in subjective and emotional experience of pain, whereas the PhGA is based on more objective measures, more related to disease activity.
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ARE THERE DIFFERENCES IN CLINICAL PROFILE AND TREATMENT AMONG 2 DIFFERENT INTERCONTINENTAL COHORTS OF PATIENTS WITH RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS-ASSOCIATED INTERSTITIAL LUNG DISEASE?

A. B. Azuaga-Piñango, Y. Li, R. Castellanos-Moreira, V. Ruiz, R. Sanmartí, I. Mira-Avendano, A. Abril, J. A. Gómez-Puerta. 1Hospital Clinic, Rheumatology, Barcelona, Spain; 2Mayo clinic, Rheumatology, Jacksonville, United States of America; 3Mayo Clinic, Pulmonary Medicine, Jacksonville, United States of America

Background: Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) is characterized by persistent joint synovitis causing progressive destruction of the cartilage and bone. Intestinal lung disease (ILD) is a frequent extra-articular manifestation of RA. Clinical profiles of patients with RA-associated ILD may vary.

Objectives: To describe the clinical characteristics and radiological patterns and evaluate the different clinical profile between two different cohorts of patients (pts) with RA-associated ILD.