## References:

- Jennette JC, Xiao H, Hu P. Complement in ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. Seminars in Nephrology 2013; 33 (6): 557 564
- [2] Schreiber A, Xiao H, Jennette JC et al. C5a receptor mediates neutrophil activation and ANCA-induced glomerulonephritis. J Am Soc Nephrol 2009; 20(2): 289-298
- [3] Gou SJ, Yuan J, Chen M et al. Circulating complement activation in patients with anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis. Kidney Int 2013: 83(1): 129-137.

Disclosure of Interests: Anastasiia Zykova Grant/research support from: This work was supported by the 5-100 Project, Sechenov University, Moscow, Pavel Novikov Grant/research support from: This work was supported by the 5-100 Project, Sechenov University, Moscow, Nikolai Bulanov Grant/research support from: This work was supported by the 5-100 Project, Sechenov University, Moscow, Andreas Kronbichler Grant/research support from: This work was supported by unrestricted grant from the Austrian Society of Rheumatology., Evgeny Gitel: None declared, Oxana Novikova: None declared, Mayra Bulanova: None declared, Elizaveta Safonova: None declared, Sergey Moiseev Grant/research support from: This work was supported by the 5-100 Project, Sechenov University, Moscow

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-eular.4733

SAT0269

TAKAYASU ARTERITIS AND INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE. COEXISTENCE AMONG NORWEGIAN PATIENTS

<u>Ø. Palm</u><sup>1</sup>, B. Gudbrandsson<sup>1</sup>, T. Garen<sup>1</sup>on behalf of OUH Rheumatology Research Group. <sup>1</sup>Oslo University Hospital, Rheumatology, Oslo, Norway; <sup>1</sup>Oslo University Hospital, Rheumatology, Oslo, Norway

Background: Takayasu arteritis (TAK) and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), consisting of ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD), are uncommon diseases. The incidence rates of TAK is 22.0/million and IBD 193/million in Norway (1, 2). UC in patients with TAK has previously been reported with a prevalence between 0,21% and 6.4% and may be explained by shared genetic disposition (3). Epidemiological data are mainly based on one Asian study (3), one Canadian study (4) and case reports.

**Objectives:** We investigated the coexistence of TAK and IBD and compared clinical characteristics between TAK patients with- and without IBD.

**Methods:** We analysed the prevalence of TAK with IBD in a population-based cohort of patients based on the Norwegian Systemic connective tissue disease and Vasculitis Registry (NOSVAR). The diagnoses of TAK were based on either 1990 ACR classification criteria (5) or the modified Ishikawa diagnostic criteria (6). The distribution of vasculitis was recorded in accordance with the angiographic classification of the 1994 International TAK Conference in Tokyo (7). The diagnoses of IBD were based on gastroenterological investigations and conclusions recorded in hospital charts.

**Results:** Among 116 patients with TAK, living in Southern part of Norway (2.5 mill inhabitants) 5 patients (4.3%) had IBD, 3 with UC and 2 with CD. All patients had onset of IBD prior to TAK and intestinal involvement of colon (Table 1). Moreover, patients with TAK and IBD were younger at TAK onset than in those without IBD (Table 2).

Table 1. Patients with the combination of Takayasu arteritis (TAK) and Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD); ulcerative colitis (UC) or Crohn's disease (CD)

| Age at disease onset (years) |     |               |                     |     |     |                         |                                |
|------------------------------|-----|---------------|---------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Patients                     | IBD | Gender<br>F/M | IBD extension       | IBD | TAK | Vascular complication   | Distribution of vasculitis (4) |
| 1                            | UC  | F             | Total               | 12  | 27  | Stenosis and Occlusions | 1                              |
| 2                            | CD  | F             | Colon               | 32  | 34  | Stenosis                | V                              |
| 3                            | UC  | M             | Total               | 11  | 16  | Stenosis and Aneurisms  | IIB                            |
| 4                            | CD  | K             | Colon               | 30  | 40  | Stenosis                | I                              |
| 5                            | CD  | K             | lleocecal+<br>colon | 20  | 22  | Stenosis                | IIb                            |

Table 2. Comparison of Takayasu arteritis (TAK) with- and without IBD

|                         | TAB/IBD | TAK/non-IBD | р   |
|-------------------------|---------|-------------|-----|
| Number of patients (n%) | 5       |             | 111 |

Table 2. Comparison of Takayasu arteritis (TAK) with- and without IBD

|   | TAB/IBD               | TAK/non-IBD | р           |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Females (n%)  | 4                     | 90 (94)     |             |
| Age at TAK onset (years)                            | 27                    | 39 (17)     | 0.043       |
| Disease duration (years) Arterial distribution (n%) | 1.1                   | 1.4         | 0.46        |
| Arterial distribution (1176)                        | 1                     | 2           | 17 (15)     |
|   | lla                   | 0           | 30 (27)     |
|   | IIb                   | 2           | 16 (14)     |
|   | III                   | 0           | Ò           |
|   | IV                    | 0           | 2 (2)       |
|   | V                     | 1           | 29 (26)     |
| Arterial complications* (n%)                        |                       |             |             |
|   | Stenosis              | 5           | 85 (85)     |
|   | Occlusions            | 1           | 30/110 (27) |
|   | Aneurisms             | 1/5 (20)    | 19/132 (14) |
|   | Carotidynia           | 2           | 29 (26)     |
|   | Myocardial infarction | 1           | 5/106 (5)   |
|   | Deceased (n%)         | 0           | 23 (16)     |

<sup>\*</sup>Based on CTA, MRA or PET/CT.

**Conclusion:** The presence of IBD in Norwegian patients with TAK is higher than expected by chance. This is comparable to previously reported data from Asian patients (3). Strikingly, onset of IBD preceded TAK in all our cases. The patients with IBD were younger at onset of TAK, but vascular distribution and complication rate did not seem to differ between TAK with and without IBD.

## References

- Gudbrandsson B, Molberg Ø, Garen T, Palm Ø, Arthritis Care Resb (Hoboken), 2017 Sept;69:1384-1390
- [2] Moum B, Vatn MH, Ekbom A, Fausa O, Aadland E, Lygren I, Sauar J, Schulz T, Digestion, 1995;56: 377-81
- [3] Terao C, Matsumura T, Yoshifuji H, Kirino Y et al, Arthritis Rheumatism, 2015; May 67: 2226-32
- [4] Sy A, Khalidi N, Dehghan N, Barra L, Carette S, Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2016 Feb;45:475-82
- [5] Arend WP, Michel BA, Bloch DA, Hunder GG, Calabrese LH, Edworthy SM, et al. Arthritis Rheum 1990;33:1129–34
- [6] Sharma BK, Jain S, Suri S, Numano F. Diagnostic criteria for Takayasu arteritis. Int J Cardiol 1996;54 Suppl:S141–7.
- [7] Hata A, Noda M, Moriwaki R, Numano F. Angiographic findings of Takayasu arteritis: new classification. Int J Cardiol 1996;54 Suppl:S155–63.

**Disclosure of Interests:** None declared **DOI:** 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-eular.2034

SAT0270

TOCILIZUMAB IN REFRACTORY TAKAYASU
ARTERITIS. OPEN-LABEL NATIONAL MULTICENTER
STUDY OF 53 PATIENTS OF CLINICAL PRACTICE

D. Prieto-Peña<sup>1</sup>, M. Calderón-Goercke<sup>1</sup>, P. Bernabéu<sup>2</sup>, P. Vela-Casasempere<sup>2</sup>, J. Narváez<sup>3</sup>, C. Fernández-López<sup>4</sup>, M. Freire González<sup>4</sup>, B. González-Alvarez<sup>5</sup>, R. Solans-Laqué<sup>6</sup>, J. L. Callejas-Rubio<sup>7</sup>, N. Ortego<sup>7</sup>, C. Fernández-Díaz<sup>8</sup>, E. Rubio Romero<sup>9</sup>, S. García Morillo<sup>9</sup>, M. Minguez<sup>10</sup>, C. Fernández-Carballido<sup>10</sup>, E. De Miguel<sup>11</sup>, S. Melchor<sup>12</sup>, E. Salgado-Pérez<sup>13</sup>, B. Bravo<sup>14</sup>, S. Romero-Yuste<sup>15</sup>, J. Salvatierra<sup>7</sup>, C. Hidalgo<sup>16</sup>, S. Manrique Arija<sup>17</sup>, C. Romero-Gómez<sup>17</sup>, P. Moya<sup>18</sup>, N. Alvarez-Rivas<sup>19</sup>, J. Mendizabal<sup>20</sup>, F. M. Ortiz Sanjuan<sup>21</sup>, I. Pérez de Pedro<sup>17</sup>, J. Loricera<sup>1</sup>, S. Castañeda<sup>8</sup>, M. A. González-Gay<sup>1</sup>, R. Blanco<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup> Valdecilla, Santander, Spain; <sup>2</sup>H. Alicante, Alicante, Spain; <sup>3</sup> Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>4</sup> Coruña, Coruña, Spain; <sup>5</sup> Candelaria, Tenerife, Spain; <sup>6</sup> Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>7</sup> San Cecilio, Granada, Spain; <sup>8</sup> La Princesa, Madrid, Spain; <sup>9</sup> Virgen del Rocio, Sevilla, Spain; <sup>10</sup> San Juan, Alicante, Spain; <sup>11</sup> La Paz, Madrid, Spain; <sup>12</sup> 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; <sup>13</sup> Ourense, Ourense, Spain; <sup>14</sup> Virgen de las Nieves, Granada, Spain; <sup>15</sup> H. Pontevedra, Pontevedra, Spain; <sup>16</sup> HU Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain; <sup>17</sup> H. Regional Malaga, Malaga, Spain; <sup>18</sup> Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>19</sup> S. Agustín, Aviles, Spain; <sup>20</sup> CH Navarra, Navarra, Spain; <sup>21</sup> La Fé, Valencia, Spain

**Background:** Tocilizumab (TCZ) was recently approved for Takayasu Arteritis (TAK) in Japan based on the results of the TAKT trial (1). However, data in clinical practice in Europe and America are scarce (2).

 $\mbox{\bf Objectives:}$  To assess efficacy and safety of TCZ in TAK of clinical practice in Spain.

**Methods:** Observational, open-label multicentre study of 53 TAK patients treated with TCZ due to refractoriness or adverse events of previous therapy. Outcomes variables were improvement of clinical features, acute phase reactants and glucocorticoid-sparing effect.

**Results:** 53 patients (46w/7m); mean age, 40.6±14.6 years at TCZ onset. TCZ was started after a median of 12 [3.0-48.0] months from TAK diagnosis. In addition to systemic corticosteroids and before TCZ they received conventional immunosuppressant drugs (n=42) and biologic therapy (n=14). TCZ was prescribed as standard I.V. (n=42;