CLINICAL SCIENCE

Rituximab as therapy to induce remission after relapse in ANCA-associated vasculitis


ABSTRACT

Objectives Evaluation of rituximab and glucocorticoids as therapy to induce remission after relapse in ANCA-associated vasculitis (AAV) in a prospective observational cohort of patients enrolled into the induction phase of the RITAZAREM trial.

Methods Patients relapsing with granulomatosis with polyangiitis or microscopic polyangiitis were prospectively enrolled and received remission-induction therapy with rituximab (4×375 mg/m²) and a higher or lower dose glucocorticoid regimen, depending on physician choice: reducing from either 1 mg/kg/day or 0.5 mg/kg/day to 10 mg/day by 4 months. Patients in this cohort achieving remission were subsequently randomised to receive one of two regimens to prevent relapse.

Results 188 patients were studied: 95/188 (51%) men, median age 59 years (range 19–89), prior disease duration 5.0 years (range 0.4–34.5). 149/188 (79%) had previously received cyclophosphamide and 67/188 (36%) rituximab. 119/188 (63%) of relapses had at least one major disease activity item, and 54/188 (29%) received the higher dose glucocorticoid regimen. 171/188 (90%) patients achieved remission by 4 months. Only six patients (3.2% of the study population) did not achieve disease control at month 4. Four patients died in the induction phase due to pneumonia (2), cerebrovascular accident (1), and active vasculitis (1). 41 severe adverse events occurred in 27 patients, including 13 severe infections.

Conclusions This large prospective cohort of patients with relapsing AAV treated with rituximab in conjunction with glucocorticoids demonstrated a high level of efficacy for the reinduction of remission in patients with AAV who have relapsed, with a similar safety profile to previous studies.

INTRODUCTION

Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) and microscopic polyangiitis (MPA) are the major subgroups of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV). These conditions are characterised by leucocyte infiltration of blood vessel walls, fibrinoid necrosis and vascular damage and are usually associated with the presence of circulating ANCA.

Prior to the availability of effective treatment, AAV had a mortality of 93% within 2 years, primarily due to renal and respiratory failure. The introduction of glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide, which became established treatment for this disease in the 1980s, markedly improved survival, inducing remission at 1 year in approximately 80% of patients. However, relapsing disease is common with over 50% of patients experiencing a relapse within 5 years and the majority suffering treatment-related toxicity.

B-lymphocytes have been implicated in the pathogenesis of AAV. Rituximab is a murine/human chimeric monoclonal antibody directed against...
the CD20 antigen found on the surface of B-lymphocytes and results in B cell depletion. Rituximab was shown to be non-inferior to cyclophosphamide for induction of remission in AAV and superior to cyclophosphamide for the treatment of relapsing disease.\textsuperscript{6,7} Rituximab became a licenced therapy for remission induction of AAV in 2011.

Fixed-interval, repeat-dosing of rituximab was shown to be superior to azathioprine as a maintenance strategy following induction of remission cyclophosphamide in a trial of 117 patients with predominantly newly diagnosed AAV.\textsuperscript{8} The optimal strategy to maintain remission following induction of remission with rituximab, especially for treatment of relapse, is not clear. RITAZAREM was an international, randomised, controlled trial designed to assess whether rituximab is superior to azathioprine for the maintenance of remission following induction of remission with rituximab and glucocorticoids in patients with relapsing AAV. In this trial, fixed-interval, repeat doses of rituximab were compared with daily azathioprine for maintenance of remission.

Since all patients received rituximab for induction of remission in the RITAZAREM trial, this is the largest reported prospective cohort of patients with relapsing AAV to receive treatment with rituximab for induction of remission. This first report outlines the efficacy and safety of rituximab with either higher or lower dose glucocorticoids for induction of remission in a large prospective cohort of patients with relapsing AAV.

**METHODS**

The details of the RITAZAREM protocol have been previously published.\textsuperscript{9} In summary, RITAZAREM trial has three phases:

1. **An induction phase** (months 0–4): eligible patients enrolled at time of disease relapse received rituximab (4 weekly doses of 375 mg/m\textsuperscript{2}) and glucocorticoids.
2. **A maintenance phase** (months 4–24): 4 months after enrolment, participants who achieved remission (defined as a Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score for Wegener’s granulomatosis (BVAS/WG) ≤1 and prednisone/prednisolone dose ≤10 mg/day) were randomised in 1:1 ratio to receive 1000 mg rituximab at 4 monthly fixed intervals or daily azathioprine (2 mg/kg/day).
3. **A follow-up phase**: clinical follow-up after completion of therapy with either rituximab or azathioprine (minimum of 12, maximum of 24 months).

This paper reports on the first, induction phase of the trial, prior to randomisation.

**Participants**

Participants were aged over 15 years and had a diagnosis of GPA or MPA according to Chapel Hill Consensus Conference definitions\textsuperscript{10} and a current or historical positive test for PR3-ANCA or MPO-ANCA. All patients had disease relapse defined by one major or three minor disease activity items on the BVAS/WG and had previously achieved remission following at least 3 months of induction therapy, with a combination of glucocorticoids and an immunosuppressive agent (cyclophosphamide, rituximab, methotrexate or mycophenolate mofetil).

Key exclusion criteria included the receipt of any biological B cell depleting agents within the previous 6 months, alemtuzumab or antithymocyte globulin within the previous 12 months, or intravenously administered immunoglobulin, plasma exchange...
Statistics and methods
Continuous variables are expressed as medians and IQRs. Categorical variables are presented as percentages and frequencies. A set of univariate logistic regression analyses to predict remission at month 4 for candidate factors was performed. Estimates of marginal ORs, with 95% CIs and p values are presented. The statistical comparisons were not formally powered or prespecified in the protocol so these results must be interpreted with caution. Data were analysed using R V3.6.1.

RESULTS
Baseline demographics
188 patients were enrolled into the trial. Patient disposition throughout the 4-month induction period is shown in the consort diagram (figure 1) and baseline demographics in table 1. Ninety-five out of 188 (51%) patients were male, with a median age of 59 years (range 19–89) and prior disease duration of 5.0 years (range 0.4–34.5). One hundred and forty-nine (79%) patients had previously received cyclophosphamide (median dose 9 g (range 0.15–301) and 67/188 (36%) had received rituximab (median dose 3910 mg (range 1000–16000)). At enrolment, 60/188 (32%) patients were on an oral immunosuppressive agent: (35/188 (19%) azathioprine; 12/188 (6%) mycophenolate mofetil; and 13/188 (7%) methotrexate), each of which were stopped as per protocol. One hundred and thirty-seven (73%) patients had a history of a positive test for PR3-ANCA and 51/188 (37%) for MPO-ANCA. One hundred and nineteen out of 188 (63%) of relapses had at least one major disease activity item, and 54/188 (29%) patients received the higher dose glucocorticoid regimen. The median BVAS/WG at enrolment was 5 (range 3–14). Distribution of baseline disease manifestations included: ear, nose and throat: 120/187 (64.2%) patients, renal: 127/188 (67.6%) patients, lung in 115/188 (61.2%) patients and ear nose and throat in 138/188 (73.4%) patients. The median number of body systems previously affected by vasculitis was 5 (range 0–10). Prior organ involvement included renal in 127/188 (67.6%) patients, lung in 115/188 (61.2%) patients and ear nose and throat in 138/188 (73.4%) patients. Hypertension was common, affecting 93/199 (49.5%) patients. Twenty-three out of 188 (12.2%) patients had diabetes mellitus at enrolment; 29/188 (15.4%) had chronic lung disease and 20/188 (10.6%) had previously suffered from malignancy.

Table 1 Baseline demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total (n=188)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years: median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, number (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, number (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, years: median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior treatment with cyclophosphamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative dose, grams (g): median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior rituximab therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative dose, grams (g): median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoid induction regimen, number (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mg/kg/day starting dose (1A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 mg/kg/day starting dose (1B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANCA type, number (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-glutamic acid decarboxylase 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimyeloperoxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse type on entry into trial, number (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVAS/WG: median (range)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANCA, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; BVAS/WG, Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score for Wegener’s granulomatosis.
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Figure 2  Disease response according to baseline BVAS/WG score. Figures represent the number of individuals according to disease status. In addition to those displayed on the graph: at month 1.5, two individuals had severe disease, and four were withdrawn/missing. At month 3, one individual had severe disease and one limited disease. At month 4, one individual had severe disease, three had limited disease and three had persistent disease. Withdrawn/missing includes all participants who did not attend a study visit either due to death, withdrawal from trial or a missed visit. BVAS/WG, Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score for Wegener’s granulomatosis.

Treatment exposure
The median total dose of rituximab in the induction phase was 2937 mg (range 1552–4320 mg) and cumulative oral glucocorticoid exposure in the 4-month induction phase was 3010 mg (2485–7875 mg) in the 1A higher dose induction regimen and 1960 mg (1715–3535 mg) in the 1B lower dose induction regimen. There was no difference in cumulative glucocorticoid exposure between patients that achieved and did not achieve remission (median dose 1960 mg in both groups (1A range: 1715–3010; 1B range: 1715–7875). Twenty-five per cent of patients deviated from the specified glucocorticoid tapering regimen at some point in the induction phase.

Disease response
One hundred and seventy-one out of 188 (90%) patients achieved remission at month 4 (figure 2). Of the 17 patients who did not achieve remission by month 4, 13 (76%) had PR3-ANCA positive disease and 10 (59%) had ear, nose and throat involvement at baseline. Fourteen out of 17 (82%) patients who did not achieve remission had severe (at least one major BVAS/WG item) disease and 5/17 patients (29%) received the higher glucocorticoid dosing regimen. Seventy out of 188 (38%) patients tested positive for ANCA at baseline and 81/188 patients (43.1%) at month 4. There was a greater proportion of PR3-ANCA positive patients who became ANCA negative (53.2% to 33.1%) compared with MPO-ANCA patients (14.9% to 12.4%) (figure 3).

Biochemical parameters
Median B cell count fell from 0.12×10^9/L (12%) (range 0–3.49×10^9/L (0%–46%)) at baseline to 0×10^9/L (0%) (range 0–1 (0%–3%)) at month 4. There were no differences in median B cell counts between responders and non-responders. There were modest reductions in C reactive protein levels (median 2.65 mg/L (0–165) at baseline; 1.2 mg/L (0–183) at month 4) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (21.5 mm/hour (1–149) to 12.5 mm/hour (2–100)) following treatment with glucocorticoids and rituximab. Serum creatinine remained stable (92.5 µmol/L (37.1–472) at baseline and 97.3 µmol/L (42–542) at month 4). One hundred and thirty out of 188 (69.1%) patients tested positive for ANCA at baseline and 81/188 patients (43.1%) at month 4. There was a greater proportion of PR3-ANCA positive patients who became ANCA negative (53.2% to 33.1%) compared with MPO-ANCA patients (14.9% to 12.4%) (figure 3). The two individuals who switched from being ANCA negative at baseline to PR3-ANCA positive at month 4 entered remission.

Safety
Forty-one SAEs occurred in 27 patients, including 13 severe infections (nine chest, three urinary and one gastrointestinal infection) in seven patients. Five out of 13 infections occurred within 4 weeks of the first induction dose of rituximab. In addition, there were 86 non-severe infections in 59 patients (online...
supplementary table 2). Fifty-one patients had an IgG level less than 5 g/L at some point during the induction phase (table 2). Four patients (2.1%) died in the induction phase; causes of death included: pneumonia (2), cerebrovascular accident (1) and active vasculitis (1).

**DISCUSSION**

These data from the induction phase of the RITAZAREM trial, the largest reported prospective cohort of patients with relapsing AAV, demonstrate that rituximab, in conjunction with glucocorticoids, is effective at reinducing remission in patients with AAV who have relapsed, regardless of previous therapy. A high proportion of patients (171/188, 90%) achieved remission by 4 months, and it is notable that 71% of patients received the lower dose glucocorticoid regimen. Although there are retrospective series, the only previous prospective data on induction of remission for this subgroup of patients with ANCA-associated vasculitis was from the RAVE trial that observed a higher rate of remission in 50 relapsing patients treated with rituximab when compared with 50 relapsing patients treated with cyclophosphamide. Thus, these data confirm and extend the data on the efficacy of rituximab for relapsing GPA/MPA and supports a recommendation of rituximab for this indication.

The higher remission rate found in RITAZAREM versus RAVE may be due in part to the different definitions of remission. In RITAZAREM, remission was defined as a BVAS/WG ≤ 1 with a prednisolone dose ≤ 10 mg/day. The RAVE trial observed a lower remission rate of 64% at 6 months but required a BVAS/WG of zero and complete glucocorticoid withdrawal. The stricter definition of remission in RAVE, together with differences in trial design, and the enrolment in RAVE of a more severely affected patient population (median BVAS/WG 8.5 (5–13) for patients treated with rituximab), makes direct comparison between RITAZAREM and RAVE difficult. In the current study, only 6 of the 17 patients who did not achieve remission (3.2% of the whole study population) clearly represented failure of the therapy. The remainder were withdrawn from the study protocol either due to investigator or participant decision (seven patients, 3.7%) or died (four patients, 2.1%) within the induction phase. In this cohort, no baseline variables studied were predictive of failure of treatment response, although the small numbers of non-responders make it difficult for such an analysis to be definitive.

Induction regimens in AAV have been associated with high rates of SAEs, and these are more frequent and problematic than failures to control disease activity, thus improvements in the safety of induction regimens are required. In RITAZAREM, SAEs occurred in 14.3% of patients, which is a lower rate than seen in the RITUXVAS trial in which 42% of patients treated with rituximab experienced at least one SAE and the RAVE trial in which 22% of patients experienced at least one grade 3 adverse event.

Table 2  Adverse events according to glucocorticoid induction regimen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>1A</th>
<th>1B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number (%) of participants with an SAE</td>
<td>27 (14.3)</td>
<td>10 (18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number (%) of participants with a serious infection</td>
<td>7 (3.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number (%) of participants with a non-serious infection</td>
<td>59 (31.4)</td>
<td>12 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%) of participants with IgG &lt; 5 g/L</td>
<td>51 (27.1)</td>
<td>27 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1A: higher dose glucocorticoid induction regimen, starting at 1 mg/kg/day (maximum starting dose 60 mg/day); 1B: lower dose glucocorticoid induction regimen, starting at 0.5 mg/kg/day (maximum starting dose 30 mg/day).

SAE, serious adverse event.

In the treatment of AAV, concomitant use of glucocorticoids is a major contributor to SAEs, especially infective risks, and two glucocorticoid regimens were permitted in this study to suit physician preference. The choice of glucocorticoid regimen was not randomised, and thus may have been subject to bias, so the
relative efficacy of these two regimens cannot be completely analysed. Nonetheless, these two regimens appeared similarly effective with the lower dose approach providing approximately two-thirds of the total oral glucocorticoid exposure, and thus reduced dose glucocorticoids can be recommended as a treatment option for this indication.

The key strength of the study lies in the number of patients recruited, making this the largest cohort of patients with relapsing AAV to be studied in a clinical trial, facilitating the collection of high-quality efficacy and safety data on a complex patient population. This is a typical population of patients relapsing with AAV, enriched for patients with PR3-ANCA positivity, with median prior disease duration of 5 years, prior exposure to cyclophosphamide and/or rituximab in the majority and a degree of established chronic damage, meaning that results are broadly applicable. A potential weakness of this study was the option for investigators to choose, rather than randomly assigning the glucocorticoid dosing regimen in a blinded manner. Prescribing practices for use of glucocorticoids in AAV vary, necessitating a pragmatic approach to trial design. However, investigators were required to select the dosing regimen at enrolment, and tapering schedules were standardised.

Achieving a negative serum ANCA test following induction therapy is associated with a lower subsequent risk of relapse in AAV.\(^\text{16,17}\) In the current study, despite 90% of patients achieving remission at month 4, 46% remained positive for serum ANCA at month 4, supporting data from the RAVE trial, in which 53% of patients treated with rituximab remained positive for ANCA at 6 months.\(^\text{18}\) Follow-up in the randomised phase of the RITAZAREM trial will provide further insight into the significance of changes in ANCA levels.

These data from the first phase of RITAZAREM demonstrate that rituximab, in conjunction with even relatively low doses of glucocorticoids, is highly effective at inducing remission in patients with AAV who have relapsed, with a safety profile similar to or better than previous studies.
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People can regain remission after relapse with rituximab plus steroids

Rituximab plus steroids are useful for putting people back into remission after a relapse of their AAV.

INTRODUCTION

ANCA-associated vasculitis (shortened to AAV), is a rare group of diseases of the immune system. These diseases are linked to a type of autoantibody called ANCA. An antibody is a protein that the normal healthy immune system makes to attack foreign substances in the body, such as viruses or bacteria. In people with AAV the body makes antibodies that attack its own tissues – these are called autoantibodies. In AAV, the ANCA autoantibodies cause damage to small blood vessels. Any part of the body can be affected, but AAV most often affects a person’s kidneys, lungs, joints, nerves, and may cause bleeding in their nose and ears. AAV is very severe, and can be life-threatening if left untreated.

Azathioprine has been used for many years to keep AAV in remission. Remission means that the disease is under control, and there are no signs of symptoms of active disease. Rituximab is another type of drug that is used in other autoimmune diseases, but it could also be useful in people with AAV. Rituximab is one of a group of medicines called biologics (sometimes also called bDMARDs). It works by targeting B cells, a type of white blood cell, which produce ANCA antibodies.

WHAT DID THE AUTHORS HOPE TO FIND?
The authors wanted to see if rituximab can help people get into remission after a relapse (worsening) of their disease.

WHO WAS STUDIED?
The study included 188 people with AAV at 25 treatment centres around the world. Everyone entering the study was experiencing a relapse of their disease.

HOW WAS THE STUDY CONDUCTED?
This was an initial induction study as part of a larger trial called RITAZAREM. Overall, the trial has three parts. The first (the induction phase) aims to get people to remission with rituximab. The second part is a maintenance phase to test rituximab against azathioprine to see which keeps people in remission better. The third part is a follow-up phase to see how well people do when all treatment is discontinued.

In the induction phase, everybody was given rituximab plus steroid medicines to treat their disease relapse, and to try to get them to remission. This part of the study lasted for 4 months. The main trial (part 2) is a randomised, controlled trial to see whether rituximab is better than azathioprine for maintaining remission that has been achieved with an initial treatment of rituximab plus steroids. Randomised trials are a strong way to test treatments because they allocate people to groups by chance and allow comparisons to be made.

This report looks only at the observations made during the first induction phase.

WHAT WERE THE MAIN FINDINGS OF THE STUDY?
The main finding was that rituximab used together with a steroid worked very well. After 4 months 90% of people had achieved remission. The safety results were similar to those seen before in other studies.

ARE THESE FINDINGS NEW?
No. However, although these findings are not new, they are still important. This is the largest group of people with relapsing disease to receive rituximab in a clinical trial. This means the findings are useful and add weight to previous findings.
WHAT ARE THE LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY?
A limitation of the study is that there was no control group in this initial part to compare against because everyone in this part of the study received rituximab and steroids. However, these people will now move into the next part of the study, where they will be either continue taking rituximab, or switch to azathioprine.

WHAT DO THE AUTHORS PLAN ON DOING WITH THIS INFORMATION?
The next part of the trial will give us more information about whether people do better with rituximab or azathioprine to keep them in remission. The next phase of results will be published and shared.

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ME?
If you have AAV, you may be offered rituximab and steroids to treat relapses. In the future, there may be new treatment options to help keep you in remission.

Speak to your doctor if you have any questions or concerns about your disease or its treatment.
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