Does cartilage loss cause pain in osteoarthritis and if so, how much?
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Although treatment development in osteoarthritis (OA) focuses on chondroprotection, it is unclear how much preventing cartilage loss reduces joint pain. It is also unclear how nociceptive tissues may be involved.

Methods Using data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative, we quantified the relation between cartilage loss and worsening knee pain after adjusting for bone marrow lesions (BMLs) and synovitis, and examined how much these factors mediated this association. 600 knee MRIs were scored at baseline, 12 months and 24 months for quantitative and semiquantitative measures of OA structural features. We focused on change in medial cartilage thickness using an amount similar to that seen in recent trials. Linear models calculated mean change in Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) pain score with cartilage loss, adjusted for baseline BMLs, synovitis and covariates. Mediation analysis tested whether change in synovitis or BMLs mediated the cartilage loss–pain association. We carried out a subanalysis for knees with non-zero baseline WOMAC pain scores and another for non-valgus knees.

Results Cartilage thickness loss was significantly associated with a small degree of worsening in pain over 24 months. For example, a loss of 0.1 mm of cartilage thickness over 2 years was associated with a 0.32 increase in WOMAC pain (scale 0–20). The association of cartilage thickness loss with pain was mediated by synovitis change but not by BML change. Subanalysis results were similar.

Conclusions Cartilage thickness loss is associated with only a small amount of worsening knee pain, an association mediated in part by worsening synovitis. Demonstrating that chondroprotection reduces knee pain will be extremely challenging and is perhaps unachievable.

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common form of arthritis and one of the leading causes of disability worldwide.1 There are no approved treatments demonstrated to slow disease progression and for many years treatment development has focused on protection against cartilage loss. The main symptom associated with OA is pain and the relation between chondroprotection and pain relief has not been clear.

Intact cartilage is not innervated with pain fibres, although with disease, small pores at the osteochondral junction bring neurovascular inputs into deep layers of cartilage and could provide a source of pain.2 Furthermore, chondrocytes synthesise nerve growth factor, a potential source of pain.1 Thus, although other articular tissues such as the synovium and bone are richly innervated with nerve fibres and are thought to be sources of pain, cartilage loss could trigger pain directly or indirectly when proteolytic fragments of different cartilage matrix molecules activate Toll-like receptors that act as damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPs).2 4 5

Studies examining the relation of cartilage loss with pain initially focused on joint space loss on the X-ray, a proxy for cartilage loss. In general, these studies found little relation of joint space loss with worsening pain.6–10 Cross-sectional studies with MRI data showed that persons with less cartilage tended to have more pain,11 12 but few longitudinal studies have examined cartilage loss over time and its correlation with change in knee pain. A small Australian study by Wluka and colleagues6 showed a weak but statistically significant association of cartilage volume loss in the tibia with worsening pain over a 2–3-year period; Eckstein and associates,13 using data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative (OAI) cohort, also found that, compared with those who experienced no worsening pain, participants with pain worsening had a slightly higher odds of cartilage loss over 24 months. In a recently published randomised placebo-controlled trial,14 Hochberg and colleagues reported that sprifermin, an agonist of fibroblast
growth factor (FGF)-18, prevented cartilage loss in the knee, but had no effect on knee pain. These trial results have once again raised concerns that the relation of cartilage loss with worsening pain is so weak that treatments that abrogate loss might not have a detectable effect on pain. If so, no treatment targeting cartilage protection would be likely to succeed as a Disease Modifying Osteoarthritis Drug.

There is an indirect path by which cartilage loss could affect pain. The relationship could be through structures within the knee now widely thought to cause pain because of their rich nociceptive innervation, the synovium and bone marrow. Since cartilage loss co-occurs with synovitis and bone marrow lesions (BMLs) in OA, in cross-sectional analyses the latter two lesions could confound an association of cartilage loss with pain. In longitudinal studies, an effect of cartilage loss on pain could be due to the intermediate effect of cartilage loss promoting synovitis or BMLs which then cause pain. Such a relationship would be described as mediation.

Using observational data from the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (FNIIH) cohort within the OAI, we quantified the relation of cartilage loss and worsening knee pain after adjusting for BMLs and synovitis at baseline and then examined whether the association of cartilage loss with worsening pain was mediated by worsening synovitis or change in BMLs.

### PATIENTS AND METHODS

#### Study population

Data for these analyses are from the public use data data set(s) of the OAI, including data from the FNIIH OA Biomarkers Consortium Project (available at https://nda.nih.gov/oai). The OAI included men and women ages 45–79 with or at risk for symptomatic knee OA. Our study sample included 600 subjects who were selected for a case–control study nested within the OAI. There were four subgroups within the 600 subjects. One subgroup of knees (n = 194) had both medial tibiofemoral radiographic joint space loss (≥0.7 mm) and a persistent increase in the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) pain score (≥9 on a 0–100 scale) 24–48 months from baseline. Other subgroups included 200 subjects with neither radiographic nor pain progression, 103 with radiographic progression only and 103 with pain progression only. All subject knees underwent 3T knee MRI both at baseline and follow-up. We used the score from one knee MRI per subject at the baseline, 12-month, and 24-month OAI visits using quantitative assessments of cartilage thickness and volume, and semiquantitative assessments of structural features of OA using the MRI Osteoarthritis Knee Score (MOAKS). Figure 1 shows a time line on assessment of key variables.

#### Cartilage measures

For our primary analysis, we focused on a quantitative measure of cartilage in the medial joint: mean cartilage thickness over the total area of subchondral bone (mm). Change in cartilage thickness loss was calculated as:

\[(\text{later visit score}) - (\text{baseline visit score})\]

We calculated change from baseline to 24 months. The cartilage change score was then multiplied by $-1$ so that in the case of a reduction of cartilage, the worsening of cartilage loss would have a positive value. We scaled this value as 0.1 and 0.05 mm because this amount of change has been seen in prior studies. For example, in the recent Sprifermin study, in the highest dose, cartilage thickness loss of 0.05 mm per year was prevented which translates into 0.1 mm over 2 years. An earlier trial reported 0.1 mm medial cartilage thickness loss in controls over 2 years and reported that steroids would induce loss of cartilage thickness by an additional 0.10 mm over 2 years. We tested the possibility of chondroprotective treatment that would be very effective, preventing all cartilage loss over that period and also tested one that would prevent half the loss (0.05 mm).

In a secondary analysis, we used semiquantitative measures of cartilage loss from the MOAKS scores on the MRIs. At each visit, we created summary scores for cartilage thickness loss and cartilage area loss by summing 14 region scores (each region score range 0–3) for each measure. We then calculated change from baseline to 24 months for both summary MOAKS cartilage loss scores.

#### Knee pain

Knee pain was assessed at each visit using the WOMAC pain subscale. We used the WOMAC knee pain score (range 0–20) from the ‘MRI knee’ from each visit and calculated change from baseline to 24 and 36 months. This allowed us to evaluate the association of the cartilage loss exposure with pain over shorter and longer periods, while the change in pain to 36 months value also established an outcome occurring after the evaluation of the other key variables.

#### Bone marrow lesions

For each visit, we created a summary score for BMLs (range 0–45, sum of 15 region BML size scores) and computed change scores from baseline to 24 months.

#### Synovitis

For each visit, we created a synovitis summary score (range 0–6) using the sum of Hoffa-synovitis and effusion scores. We computed change scores from baseline to 24 months.

#### Statistical methods

Linear models were used to calculate the response mean change in WOMAC pain associated with cartilage loss, adjusted for baseline WOMAC pain, BMLs, age, sex, body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²), race (caucasian, African-American, other non-caucasian) and baseline depressive symptoms using a score of ≥16 from the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale.

We used mediation analysis to examine a model of describing how the total effect of cartilage loss on pain change to 24 or 36 months might be broken down into the ‘controlled direct effect’ of cartilage loss on pain, and the ‘indirect effect’ on a pathway through synovitis or BML change (figure 2). We calculated the mediation proportion and its 95%CI to estimate the proportion of the cartilage loss to pain effect attributable to 

### Figure 1 Timeline for key measures. BMLs, bonemarrow lesions; WOMAC, WesternOntario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.
Cartilage thickness loss was significantly associated with a small degree of worsening pain over 24 or 36 months (table 2). On the 0–20 WOMAC pain scale, cartilage loss of 0.1 mm over 24 months translated to a 0.32 higher WOMAC pain score (95% CI 0.21, 0.44) (scale 0–20). The effect of cartilage loss over 24 months on pain change over 36 months was weaker but still statistically significant (0.19 higher WOMAC pain score for cartilage loss of 0.10 mm over 24 months (95% CI 0.07, 0.32)). Cartilage thickness loss was significantly associated with increasing synovitis score (p<0.001) and its association with increasing BML score was statistically borderline (p=0.08). The association of cartilage thickness loss with increased WOMAC pain over 24 months was substantially mediated by the synovitis score change, but much less so by BML score change (table 3) (mediation proportion for synovitis change was 14% for cartilage thickness loss). We found similar results for cartilage thickness loss over 24 months and pain from baseline to 36 months (table 4).

Subanalyses limited to those with non-zero WOMAC pain scores at baseline (n=367) gave a significant association of cartilage thickness loss with pain that was very similar to that seen in the primary analysis sample (cartilage thickness loss of 0.1 mm over 24 months corresponded to an increase in WOMAC pain over 24 months of 0.33, 95% CI 0.17, 0.5). The subanalysis limited to those with no baseline pain (n=233) also gave similar results to the primary analysis, although a 0.1 mm loss in
cartilage thickness gave a slightly stronger association with pain (0.35, 95% CI 0.20, 0.51).

A subanalysis excluding valgus knees also did not appreciably change the results from the primary analysis in the whole sample. Secondary analyses using semiquantitative MOAKS measures of cartilage loss gave similar results to those using quantitative cartilage measures, including a weak association with change in WOMAC pain, mediated by change in synovitis score but not in BML score.

**Discussion**

In this longitudinal study of individuals from the OAI, we found that cartilage thickness loss was associated with worsening knee pain after adjusting for coexistent BMLs and synovitis scores. While statistically significant, the relation between cartilage loss and worsening pain was, at best, modest with 0.1 mm of cartilage loss associated with <1 point worsening on a 0–20 WOMAC pain scale. This suggests that it will be extremely hard to demonstrate that preventing cartilage loss reduces pain in a knee with OA. We also reported that the association of cartilage loss with pain was mediated, in part, by worsening synovitis, but not change in BMLs.

These results are concordant with other longitudinal studies of cartilage thickness loss and pain,⁶ ¹³ although those studies did not quantify the relation of cartilage loss with pain nor examine synovitis and BMLs as additional sources of pain.

Our analysis used WOMAC pain as our pain outcome, but the impact of OA on patient outcomes is complex. WOMAC is a validated instrument that targets pain severity during specific activities likely to cause OA pain, but it is possible that the effects of cartilage loss would be captured better with an instrument that focused more on the quality or persistence of pain.

**Table 2** Association of cartilage thickness loss over 24 months with change in WOMAC knee pain over 24 or 36 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure: 0.1 mm cartilage thickness loss over 24 months</th>
<th>Outcome over 24 months</th>
<th>Outcome over 36 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean change in WOMAC knee pain over 24 months* (95% CI)</td>
<td>Mean change in WOMAC knee pain over 36 months* (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BML at baseline (size summary score across 15 regions)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.00 to 0.19)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.08 to 0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovitis at baseline (summary score)</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.18 to 0.24)</td>
<td>−0.05 (−0.28 to 0.18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Mediation effects for outcome WOMAC pain over 24 months: association of change in cartilage thickness loss over 24 months, with change in WOMAC knee pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure: cartilage thickness loss over 24 months</th>
<th>Mediator: synovitis score change over 24 months*</th>
<th>Mediator: BML score change over 24 months*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled direct effect</td>
<td>0.28 (−1.68 to 2.24)†</td>
<td>0.33 (−1.62 to 2.30)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct effect</td>
<td>0.05 (−1.91 to 2.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (−1.95 to 1.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total effect</td>
<td>0.33 (−1.63 to 2.29)</td>
<td>0.34 (−1.62 to 2.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion mediated</td>
<td>14.11% (2.07% to 26.15%)</td>
<td>2.77% (−1.71% to 7.25%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Mediation effects for outcome WOMAC pain over 24 months: association of change in cartilage thickness loss over 24 months, with change in WOMAC knee pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure: cartilage thickness loss over 24 months</th>
<th>Mediator: synovitis score change over 24 months*</th>
<th>Mediator: BML score change over 24 months*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled direct effect</td>
<td>0.14 (−1.82 to 2.10)</td>
<td>0.17 (−1.79 to 2.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect effect</td>
<td>0.02 (−1.92 to 1.98)</td>
<td>0.004 (−1.96 to 1.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total effect</td>
<td>0.16 (−1.80 to 2.12)</td>
<td>0.17 (−1.79 to 2.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion mediated</td>
<td>14.11% (2.07% to 26.15%)</td>
<td>2.77% (−1.71% to 7.25%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive (+) coefficient indicates an increase in the outcome WOMAC pain.

*All models adjusted for age, sex, BMI, race, depressive symptoms (CESD) and baseline WOMAC pain.

†Values are unstandardised regression coefficients representing mean change in WOMAC knee pain over 24 months.

BMI, body mass index; BML, bone marrow lesion; CESD, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.
pain. Cartilage loss might make pain more continuous and this persistence might be lessened by a chondroprotective agent. Or cartilage loss might affect physical function more than pain severity. Furthermore, cartilage loss was slow in this subgroup and it is conceivable that the association of cartilage loss with pain worsening would be stronger among knees with rapid progression. Lastly, while we did not find that most of the effect of cartilage loss on pain was mediated by synovitis or BMLs, there is considerable evidence that synovitis and BMLs are sources of pain in OA; it may be that this pain is not mostly triggered by cartilage loss.

The recent FDA guidance on OA states “To accept structural endpoints as valid outcome measures for accelerated approval, there should be substantial confidence, … That an effect on the candidate structural endpoint will reliably predict an effect on the clinical outcomes of interest.”. The clinical outcomes of interest are, in this case, patient pain or function. Our data suggest that these effects, using the WOMAC pain scale, are detectable but minimal.

A key strength of this analysis is the use of quantitative measures of cartilage in the medial joint, measured from MRI images. These measures should be sensitive to change in cartilage, although they may also include some measurement error. To get a sense of how much effect on cartilage may occur with drugs or biologics, we examined the trial of sprifermin which tested a sense of how much effect on cartilage may occur with drugs expected to generate a WOMAC pain difference of 0.32 on the WOMAC pain scale, detectable but minimal.

All models adjusted for age, sex, BMI, race and depressive symptoms (CESD). Positive(+)/coefficient indicates an increase in the outcome WOMAC pain. Models for mediation by change in synovitis score adjusted for standard covariates above +baseline BML score. Models for mediation by change in BML score adjusted for standard covariates above +baseline synovitis score. BMI, body mass index; BML, bone marrow lesion; CESD, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

### Table 4 Mediation effects for outcome WOMAC pain over 36 months: association of change in cartilage thickness loss over 24 months, with change in WOMAC knee pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mediator: synovitis score change over 24 months</th>
<th>Mediator: BML score change over 24 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposure: 0.1 mm cartilage thickness loss over 24 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled direct effect</td>
<td>0.16 (–1.80 to 2.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect effect</td>
<td>0.04 (–1.92 to 2.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total effect</td>
<td>0.16 (–1.77 to 2.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion mediated</td>
<td>19.78% (–1.65% to 41.21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposure: 0.05 mm cartilage thickness loss over 24 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled direct effect</td>
<td>0.08 (–1.88 to 2.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect effect</td>
<td>0.02 (–1.94 to 1.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total effect</td>
<td>0.10 (–1.86 to 2.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion mediated</td>
<td>19.78% (–1.65% to 41.21%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We also expected that regression to the mean for pain occurred in this cohort, particularly for measures of pain but that should have affected both those experiencing and those not experiencing cartilage loss. Individuals participate in studies when they are symptomatic (ie, in more pain), and over time as they improve, pain severity may lessen, regressing to their mean. This would also be true in clinical trials, where patients often seek treatment when they are in considerable pain. Also, there may also be selection bias due to the sampling strategy of the FNIH sample described earlier. Furthermore, the subjects in the FNIH sample are not likely to be generalisable to subjects entering trials in OA. On average, for example, they had less severe knee pain. Even so, we noted that a recent OA trial found no association of chondroprotection with effects on pain severity.

In conclusion, cartilage thickness loss is weakly associated with knee pain, and the relationship is so weak as to call into question attempts to develop treatments that target only chondroprotection. The association with pain appears to be partially mediated by change in synovitis and may be greater in those with no knee pain than in those with pre-existing pain.
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