INTRODUCTION

Axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) is a chronic inflammatory rheumatic disease that affects the spine and sacroiliac joints, causing pain, stiffness and fatigue. It usually manifests in early adulthood and encompasses patients with radiographic sacroiliitis (radiographic axSpA) and those without (non-radiographic axSpA). Symptoms cause considerable impairment to patients' physical function, work productivity and quality of life.

Achievement of a state of low disease activity or remission is key to optimising health-related outcomes, reducing costs and limiting patients' long-term exposure to immunosuppressive therapy. Axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) is a chronic inflammatory rheumatic disease that affects the spine and sacroiliac joints, causing pain, stiffness and fatigue. It usually manifests in early adulthood, and encompasses patients with radiographic sacroiliitis (radiographic axSpA) and those without (non-radiographic axSpA). Symptoms cause considerable impairment to patients' physical function, work productivity and quality of life. Achievement of a state of low disease activity or remission is key to optimising health-related outcomes, reducing costs and limiting patients' long-term exposure to immunosuppressive therapy.
quality of life in patients with axSpA, and in many patients this can be reached through treatment with tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi). The high costs of TNFi and the possible consequences of long-term immunosuppression have raised the question of how remission, once achieved, should best be maintained. Trials in different systemic autoimmune diseases have explored remission induction-and-maintenance strategies.8–10 Such strategies have not been formally tested in patients with axSpA, although previous studies have suggested that complete treatment withdrawal often leads to relapse.11 12 Therefore, a key question remaining for clinicians is whether to maintain or reduce TNFi treatment in patients in whom sustained remission has been induced.

The PEGylated, Fc-free TNFi certolizumab pegol (CZP) is an effective and well tolerated treatment across the axSpA spectrum.13 14 C-OPTIMISE is the first phase 3b randomised treatment strategy trial that evaluated TNFi dose reduction in patients with early axSpA in whom sustained remission had been induced. The study included a 48-week open-label induction period, followed by a 48-week randomised, double-blind maintenance period evaluating maintenance of remission following CZP dose continuation, CZP dose reduction or complete withdrawal.

**METHODS**

**Study design**

C-OPTIMISE was a two-part, phase 3b multicentre study evaluating maintenance of remission in adult patients with early active axSpA. Patients were enrolled into the study from 108 study sites between 31 July 2015 and 24 March 2017.

During the induction period (baseline to Week 48) patients received open-label CZP 200 mg every 2 weeks (Q2W; after a loading dose of CZP 400 mg at Weeks 0, 2 and 4) for 48 weeks. Patients who achieved sustained remission in this period were eligible to enter the second part of the trial (maintenance period; Weeks 48 to 96). Sustained remission was defined as Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score15 16 (ASDAS) inactive disease (ASDAS-ID; ASDAS <1.3) at Week 32 or 36, and at Week 48 (with ASDAS <2.1 for Weeks 32 and 36).

The maintenance period (Weeks 48 to 96) was a randomised, parallel-group, double-blind, placebo-controlled 48-week study period, which evaluated the efficacy and safety of CZP in patients with sustained remission who received CZP 200 mg Q2W (full maintenance dose), CZP 200 mg every 4 weeks (Q4W; reduced maintenance dose) or placebo. Randomisation (1:1:1) was stratified by geographical region and presence or absence of radiographic sacroiliitis. The primary outcome was remaining flare-free during the maintenance period. Flare was defined as: ASDAS ≥2.1 (high disease activity) at two consecutive visits, or ASDAS >3.5 (very high disease activity) at any visit.

The maintenance period included an early escape arm for those patients who experienced a flare. Patients who escaped received open-label CZP 200 mg Q2W for a minimum of 12 weeks to assess possible return to clinical remission. Those escaping from the placebo arm received a loading dose of CZP 400 mg at 0, 2 and 4 weeks into the escape arm.

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients were not involved in the study design or conduct. A lay summary reporting study outcomes will be made available on the study sponsor website approximately 1 year after the last patient assessment.

**Patients**

Patients eligible for inclusion were 18 to 45 years of age, had a documented diagnosis of axSpA (starting at age 18 or older) meeting the Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society (ASAS) classification criteria,17 symptom duration ≥3 months and <5 years and active disease (defined as ASDAS ≥2.1, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) ≥4 and spinal pain ≥4 on a 0 to 10 numerical rating scale (BASDAI item 2)).

Patients were subclassified as having either radiographic axSpA (fulfilling the imaging criterion of the modified New York classification criteria18 (radiographic sacroiliitis was confirmed by two central readers, plus an adjudicator if necessary)) or non-radiographic axSpA (fulfilling the ASAS but not the modified New York criteria imaging criterion). In addition, patients with non-radiographic axSpA had to have either a C-reactive protein level above the upper limit of normal or evidence of active sacroilitis on MRI (using ASAS/Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) definition of a positive MRI, confirmed by two central readers and an adjudicator if necessary). All patients must have had inadequate response, contraindication or intolerance to ≥2 non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Permitted concomitant medications included stable doses of certain analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (online supplementary table S1).

Full patient selection criteria are provided in the online supplementary appendix. All patients provided informed consent to participate.

**Outcome measures**

The primary outcome of the C-OPTIMISE study was the percentage of patients remaining flare-free during the maintenance period; the main secondary outcome was time to flare. Additional key secondary outcomes included the percentage of patients achieving sustained remission at Week 48, and assessment of disease activity at Week 96. Disease activity measures included assessment of ASDAS status (ASDAS-ID, low disease activity, high disease activity and very high disease activity),16 ASDAS major improvement (MI; ASDAS reduction from baseline of ≥2.0) and clinically important improvement (CII; ASDAS reduction from baseline of ≥1.0),16 ASAS response (ASAS20, ASAS40, ASAS5/6), ASAS partial remission,19 20 BASDAI50 response and change from maintenance period baseline (Week 48) in ASDAS, BASDAI,21 Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI),22 Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index (BASMI; linear definition)23 24 and MRI outcomes, including sacroilitic joint Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada (SJ SPARC) score25 and the Berlin modification of the Ankylosing Spondylitis spine MRI score for activity (ASSpMRI-a).26

Additional outcomes included assessment at Week 96 of Maas- tricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score27 and tender and swollen joint counts (44 joints evaluation). For patients who experienced a flare during the maintenance period, outcomes at 12 weeks after escape to open-label CZP 200 mg Q2W are reported.

All treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) were reported for the Safety Set (patients who received ≥1 dose CZP) up to 70 days after the last dose of study medication. TEAEs were coded according to Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) V.19.0.
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Study procedures and evaluations
Outcomes were assessed during study visits, scheduled for Weeks 2, 4, 12, 24, 32, 36, 48, 52, 60, 72, 84 and 96. During the maintenance period, ASDAS (used to define disease flare) was evaluated at Weeks 48, 50, 52, then every 4 weeks up to Week 96. For patients escaping to open-label treatment after experiencing a flare in the maintenance period, ASDAS components were assessed at 0, 2 and 4 weeks into the escape arm, then every 4 weeks up to Week 96.

Statistical analysis
Assuming that 80%, 75% and 45% of CZP 200 mg Q2W, CZP 200 mg Q4W and placebo patients, respectively, would remain...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Demographics and disease characteristics for patients enrolled in C-OPTIMISE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Induction period</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All axSpA (n=736)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline demographics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, years</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI, kg/m², mean (SD)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geographical region, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Western Europe</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eastern Europe</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom duration, years</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time since diagnosis, years</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HLA-B27 positive, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRP &gt; ULN, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior TNFi therapy, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>History of enthesitis (heel), n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>History of EAMs, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uveitis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflammatory bowel disease</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psoriasis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concomitant medication, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NSAIDs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DMARDs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease characteristics at Week 0, mean (SD)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASDAS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BASDAI</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BASFI</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BASMI</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tender joint count</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Swollen joint count</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MASES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imaging (MRI)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SJ SPARCC</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1 Continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Induction period</strong></th>
<th>Patients randomised into maintenance period (n=313)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All axSpA (n=736)</td>
<td>CZP 200 mg Q2W (n=104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSpMRI-a</strong></td>
<td>3.1 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disease characteristics at Week 48, mean (SD)**

| | Induction period | Patients randomised into maintenance period (n=313) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| All axSpA (n=736) | CZP 200 mg Q2W (n=104) | CZP 200 mg Q4W (n=105) | Placebo (n=104) |
| **ASSpMRI-a** | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) |
| **BASDAI** | 0.4 (0.5) | 0.4 (0.5) | 0.5 (0.6) |
| **BASFI** | 0.4 (0.5) | 0.3 (0.5) | 0.5 (0.7) |
| **BASMI** | 2.1 (1.2) | 1.9 (1.2) | 2.2 (1.5) |
| **Tender joint count** | 0.2 (0.6) | 0.1 (0.5) | 0.2 (0.6) |
| **Swollen joint count** | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.1) |
| **MASES** | 0.1 (0.5) | 0.1 (0.4) | 0.3 (1.3) |
| **Imaging (MRI)** | | | |
| **SJ SPARCC** | 1.0 (2.4) | 1.1 (2.9) | 0.7 (1.6) |
| **ASSpMRI-a** | 0.7 (1.5) | 0.7 (1.6) | 0.5 (1.3) |

*Any intake during induction period (Weeks 0 to 48) or maintenance period (Weeks 48 to 96). 144 joints.

ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; ASSpMRI-a, Ankylosing Spondylitis spine MRI score for activity; axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BASMI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; CZP, certolizumab pegol; DMARD, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug; EAMs, extra-articular manifestations; HLA-B27, human leucocyte antigen-B27; MASES, Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score; mNY, modified New York; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q4W, every 4 weeks; SJ SPARCC, sacroiliac joint Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada; TNF, tumour necrosis factor inhibitor; ULN, upper limit of normal.

flare-free during the 48-week maintenance period, a sample size of 210 patients was deemed sufficient to provide 98% power to detect a difference between CZP 200 mg Q2W versus placebo, and 94% power for CZP 200 mg Q4W versus placebo, using a two-sided significance level of 0.05. Based on the assumption that ~28% of patients would achieve sustained remission at the end of the induction period, 750 patients were planned for study enrolment.

The primary analysis was based on a logistic regression model which included treatment group, region and presence or absence of radiographic sacroilitis as factors. ORs for each CZP dose versus placebo (with 95% two-sided CIs) were derived from the model, based on the percentage of patients who did not experience a flare. A fixed sequence testing procedure was used to account for testing of multiple doses: hypothesis testing at the 0.05 level was first conducted for CZP 200 mg Q2W versus placebo, followed by CZP 200 mg Q4W versus placebo. The second test was only interpreted as statistically significant if the first test was significant at the 0.05 level. No statistical testing was planned for CZP 200 mg Q2W versus CZP 200 mg Q4W.

Non-responder imputation (NRI) was used to account for missing data for analysis of the primary outcome; if patients withdrew or had two consecutive missing ASDAS values these were designated as flares. The time to flare was analysed using the log-rank test and Kaplan-Meier methods. The percentage of patients achieving sustained remission is summarised using descriptive statistics (counts and percentages). Continuous data are summarised using mean and SD. In the maintenance period,
binary variables were analysed using logistic regression (using NRI to impute missing values), and continuous data were analysed using a mixed model for repeated measures. For patients entering the escape arm, ASDAS status and ASDAS clinical responses (calculated from the start of escape medication) are reported using descriptive statistics.

Post-hoc analysis of predictors of flare was performed using a stepwise logistic regression model (details in online supplementary material).

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS Version 9.3.

RESULTS

Patient disposition and baseline characteristics

Of 1253 patients screened, 736 were enrolled into the induction part of C-OPTIMISE, including 407 patients with radiographic axSpA and 329 with non-radiographic axSpA (figure 1). The mean age at study entry was 32.9 years, with an average symptom duration of 3.3 years (table 1). Baseline characteristics were comparable between radiographic and non-radiographic axSpA, but the former included a higher percentage of patients who were male, human leucocyte antigen-B27 (HLA-B27).
positive and with elevated C-reactive protein (CRP) levels (online supplementary table S2).

By Week 48, 323 patients had achieved sustained remission. Of these, 313 underwent 1:1:1 randomisation: 104 were randomised to CZP full maintenance dose, 105 to CZP reduced maintenance dose and 104 to placebo. A further 10 patients with sustained remission did not enter the maintenance period due to subject withdrawal or ineligibility. Compared with patients who entered the induction period, those entering the maintenance period were more likely to be male and HLA-B27 positive. Week 48 disease characteristics were similar among patients entering the maintenance period (table 1).

**Table 2** Efficacy outcomes at the end of the maintenance period (Week 96) of C-OPTIMISE (n=313)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Efficacy outcomes at the end of the maintenance period (Week 96) of C-OPTIMISE (n=313)</th>
<th>CZP 200 mg Q2W (n=104)</th>
<th>P vs placebo</th>
<th>CZP 200 mg Q4W (n=105)</th>
<th>P vs placebo</th>
<th>Placebo (n=104)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASDAS disease activity state, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID (&lt;1.3)</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>75/87 (86.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>58/83 (69.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD (≥1.3 and &lt;2.1)</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>12/87 (13.8)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19/83 (22.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD (≥2.1 and ≥3.5)</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0/87 (0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6/83 (7.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vHD (≥3.5)</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0/87 (0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0/83 (0)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease activity responses from Week 0, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASDAS clinical improvement*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>NRI</td>
<td>86 (82.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>79 (75.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>NRI</td>
<td>70 (67.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>61 (58.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAS responder rates*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>NRI</td>
<td>89 (85.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>82 (78.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>NRI</td>
<td>88 (84.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>77 (73.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/6</td>
<td>NRI</td>
<td>73 (70.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>66 (62.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial remission</td>
<td>NRI</td>
<td>81 (77.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>74 (70.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASDAI50a</td>
<td>NRI</td>
<td>87 (83.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>81 (77.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from Week 48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficacy outcomes, LS mean±SE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASDAS</td>
<td>MMRM</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASDAI</td>
<td>MMRM</td>
<td>0.6±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASFI</td>
<td>MMRM</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.5±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASMI</td>
<td>MMRM</td>
<td>0.0±0.1</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>−0.0±0.1</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI outcomes, mean (SD; n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJ SPARCC score</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0.2 (2.4; 79)</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.6 (3.8; 77)</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASpMI MRI-a</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0.0 (0.8; 79)</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.0 (0.8; 78)</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional outcomes, mean (SD; n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASES</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0.1 (0.6; 90)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.1 (0.6; 84)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender joint count</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>−0.1 (0.6; 90)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.1 (0.9; 84)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen joint count</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0.0 (0.2; 90)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.0 (0.2; 84)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maintenance period**

During the maintenance period, 83.7% (87/104) and 79.0% of patients (83/105) who were randomised to the CZP full maintenance dose or CZP reduced maintenance dose, respectively, remained flare-free. Only 20.2% of patients (21/104) randomised to placebo remained flare-free (p<0.001 vs placebo for both CZP maintenance doses; figure 2A). The time to flare was significantly different for each CZP dose versus placebo (p<0.001 vs placebo for both CZP treatment groups, log-rank test). In the placebo arm, the median time to flare following randomisation was 113 days (95% CI: 101 to 141), with the majority of flares occurring between 8 and 20 weeks post-randomisation (figure 2B). For CZP patients, no median time to flare could be determined within the 48-week timeframe. Among patients with radiographic or non-radiographic axSpA, similar percentages of patients did not experience flares (figure 3).

Post-hoc logistic regression analysis of predictors of flares in CZP (full and reduced maintenance dose groups combined) and placebo patients identified HLA-B27 negativity as a potential predictor of flares in patients randomised to CZP but not in those randomised to placebo (online supplementary table...
S3). The model did not identify any other variables as possible predictors of flare.

Escape arm
During the maintenance period, 95 patients who experienced flares (7 randomised to CZP full maintenance dose, 15 to CZP reduced maintenance dose and 73 to placebo) entered an open-label escape arm. The mean (SD) ASDAs at the time of flare for patients in the CZP full and reduced maintenance dose groups was 2.5 (1.1) and 2.3 (0.6), respectively, while in the placebo group ASDA was 3.4 (1.0). Twelve weeks after treatment re-initiation with open-label CZP 200 mg Q2W following flare, clinical remission (ASDAS-ID) was regained in 63.4% (45/71), 60.0% (9/15) and 16.7% (1/6) of patients escaping from the placebo, CZP reduced maintenance dose and CZP full maintenance dose arms, respectively. An ASDAS <2.1 (ASDAS low disease activity) was reached in 90.1% (64/71), 80.0% (12/15) and 66.7% (4/6) of patients, respectively. For other efficacy outcomes calculated from Week 0.

Other efficacy outcomes
At Week 96, a significantly higher percentage of patients randomised to the CZP full or reduced maintenance dose achieved an ASDAS clinical improvement (CI or MI), ASAS20/40 or BASDAI50 response compared with placebo (table 2, with responses calculated from Week 0.

In all three treatment groups, there were minimal changes in MRI outcomes (SII SPARCC and ASspiMRI-a) in patients who remained on randomised treatment (online supplementary table S4).

Safety
During the maintenance period, TEAEs were reported in 57.7%, 61.0% and 54.4% of patients randomised to CZP 200 mg Q2W, CZP 200 mg Q4W or placebo, respectively (table 3). Serious TEAEs were reported in five patients randomised to CZP 200 mg Q2W: these included one case each of acute pancreatitis, Crohn’s disease and anal abscess, which the study investigators did not consider to be treatment-related, and one case each of intestinal obstruction and latent tuberculosis, which were considered by the study investigators to be treatment-related and serious vascular event.

DISCUSSION
C-OPTIMISE demonstrates that patients with early axSpA who achieve sustained remission after 48 weeks’ full dose CZP (200 mg Q2W) treatment can reduce their dose without further increasing their risk of flares in disease activity, but that they should not completely stop treatment. This is an important finding for clinicians who face decisions on how best to manage axSpA patients in sustained remission. These results also have implications for patients, who are typically in their late 20s or early 30s at symptom onset and who fear long-term continuation of immunosuppressive therapy. Furthermore, the option to reduce the maintenance dose can ease the economic burden of TNFi treatment.

While previous studies have explored TNFi tapering or withdrawal in patients with axSpA following remission

**Table 3** Treatment-emergent adverse events during the C-OPTIMISE maintenance period (Weeks 48 to 96)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event type</th>
<th>Placebo (n=104)</th>
<th>CZP 200 mg Q2W (n=104)</th>
<th>CZP 200 mg Q4W (n=105)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic events††</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver function analyses¶</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
<td>5 (4.8)</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity and anaphylactic reactions**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demyelinating disorders</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious bleeding events‡</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral candidiasis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant or unspecified tumours*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious cardiovascular events†</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious haematopoietic cytopenia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe TEAEs</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation due to TEAEs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-related TEAEs</td>
<td>14 (13.5)</td>
<td>20 (19.0)</td>
<td>14 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAEs of Interest</td>
<td>2 (1.9)</td>
<td>2 (1.9)</td>
<td>2 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral candidiasis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant or unspecified tumours*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious cardiovascular events†</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious haematopoietic cytopenia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe bleeding events‡</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic events††</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
<td>5 (4.8)</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver function analyses¶</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
<td>4 (3.8)</td>
<td>2 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity and anaphylactic reactions**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demyelinating disorders</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Identified using study sponsor-defined search criteria based on a two-step process using identification via a predefined list of preferred terms in addition to manual review by the study physician.

‡Includes increased levels of alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, hepatic enzyme, blood bilirubin or transaminases.

** Includes incidence of ‘any hypersensitivity and anaphylactic reactions’, ‘any hypersensitivity reactions’ and ‘any anaphylactic reactions’. Safety events are reported for the Safety Set (n=736) according to MedDRA Version 19.0.

CZP, certolizumab pegol; MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; PY, patient-years; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q4W, every 4 weeks; SD, standard deviation; SMQ, standard MedDRA query; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.
C-OPTIMISE is the first randomised controlled trial to compare both dose continuation and reduction with the effects of TNFi withdrawal. In keeping with the results from C-OPTIMISE, ABILITY-3 showed that adalimumab withdrawal led to significantly more flares than continuation; however, there was no comparison with a reduced dose arm in ABILITY-3, which also included only patients with non-radiographic axSpA.11 C-OPTIMISE recruited patients with both subforms of axSpA (radiographic and non-radiographic), and patients were on average younger (32.9 vs 37.3 years) and had shorter symptom duration (3.3 vs 7.7 years) compared with ABILITY-3. The induction period of C-OPTIMISE was also longer (48 vs 28 weeks), although in both studies patients had to be in remission (ASDAS-ID) for at least 12 weeks to be eligible for randomisation. In C-OPTIMISE, more patients randomised to CZP (full or reduced dose) maintained remission (~80%) compared with ABILITY-3 (70%); however, in the withdrawal arm more patients in ABILITY-3 maintained remission (47%) than in C-OPTIMISE (20%). This difference may be attributable to differences in patient populations. The inclusion of both radiographic and non-radiographic axSpA patients in C-OPTIMISE demonstrated the benefits of dose reduction across the entire axSpA spectrum.

The use of ASDAS-ID (<1.3) as the definition of remission in C-OPTIMISE aligns with recommendations in current treat-to-target guidelines for axSpA.2 Additionally, the recently published ASAS-flare definition is based on ASDAS, but was not yet available at the time of the design of the current study.29 The advantages of ASDAS are the combination of patient-reported outcomes and an objective measure of inflammation (ie, CRP) as well as validated cut-offs for various levels of disease activity and improvement.

Approximately two-thirds of patients who experienced flares following complete withdrawal of CZP were able to regain their status of remission following 12 weeks of rescue treatment with open-label CZP 200mg Q2W; similar results were seen for patients escaping from the reduced maintenance dose arm, although patient numbers in this group were smaller. A high proportion of escapers from the placebo arm achieved ASDAS <2.1 (~90%) after 12 weeks of rescue treatment, so it is possible that with continued treatment beyond 12 weeks more patients would regain their initial response (ASDAS-ID).

Identification of predictors of flare could help to optimise chances of successfully maintaining remission following either dose reduction or withdrawal. Post-hoc analyses in C-OPTIMISE identified HLA-B27 negativity as a possible predictor of flare in patients who continued on CZP treatment, but did not identify any predictors in placebo-randomised patients. Given the small number of flares in the CZP treatment groups, further investigation into this result is required.

No new safety concerns were identified throughout the entire study period. Five serious TEAEs were reported, all of which occurred in patients continuing on the full CZP maintenance dose. A full recovery was made for all five events, including the two serious TEAEs considered by the study investigator to be treatment-related. Nevertheless, this may add to the relevance of TNFi dose reduction in patients when clinically possible.

A potential limitation of the C-OPTIMISE study is the fact that enrolment was limited to patients with <5 years’ symptom duration, so it is unclear whether the results may be generalised to patients with more established disease.

In summary, in early axSpA patients in sustained remission after 1 year of open-label treatment with CZP, reducing the maintenance dose of CZP enabled patients to maintain their state of remission, while completely stopping treatment resulted in flares in the majority of patients. CZP maintenance dose reduction is therefore a feasible option for the long-term management of this chronic rheumatic disease, which has the advantage of preserving the clinical benefits of remaining on TNFi treatment, reducing costs and limiting patients’ long-term exposure to immunosuppressive therapy.
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