

CLINICAL SCIENCE

Tumour necrosis factor inhibitors slow radiographic progression in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: 18-year real-world evidence

Bon San Koo ,¹ Ji Seon Oh,² Seo Young Park,³ Ji Hui Shin,⁴ Ga Young Ahn,⁵ Seunghun Lee,⁶ Kyung Bin Joo,⁶ Tae-Hwan Kim⁴

Handling editor Josef S Smolen

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216741>).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Tae-Hwan Kim, Department of Rheumatology, Hanyang University Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Seoul 04763, Republic of Korea; thkim@hanyang.ac.kr

The results of the study were presented at the American College of Rheumatology Annual Meeting 2019, Atlanta, Georgia (8–13 November).

Received 29 November 2019
Revised 14 May 2020
Accepted 8 June 2020
Published Online First 13 July 2020

ABSTRACT

Objectives Tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFis) have been suggested to slow radiographic progression in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. However, limitations such as variations in disease activity, complex drug administration and short follow-up duration make it difficult to determine the effect of TNFis on radiographic progression. The aim of the study was to investigate whether long-term treatment with TNFis can reduce radiographic progression in patients with ankylosing spondylitis using 18-year longitudinal real-world data.

Methods This retrospective study was conducted between January 2001 and December 2018 at a single centre. Among the 1280 patients whose electronic medical records were reviewed, data of 595 patients exposed to TNFis at least once were included. Among them, time intervals of TNFi exposure or non-exposure were determined in 338 patients ('on the TNFis' or 'off the TNFis' intervals, respectively). The difference in the modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Score (mSASSS) change rate between 'on the TNFis' and 'off the TNFis' intervals was investigated.

Results We obtained 2364 intervals of 338 patients (1281 'on the TNFis' and 1083 'off the TNFis' intervals). In the marginal structural model for inverse probability of treatment weighting, the change rate of mSASSS significantly decreased with the use of TNFis ($\beta = -0.112$, $p = 0.004$), and the adjusted mSASSS changes were 0.848 and 0.960 per year during 'on the TNFis' and 'off the TNFis' intervals, respectively.

Conclusion Compared with treatment without TNFis, treatment with TNFis slowed radiologic progression significantly.

INTRODUCTION

Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a chronic inflammatory disease that causes new bone formation with inflammatory spinal pain. Over the years, ankylosis of the spine progresses, and some patients have disabilities throughout their lives. Several factors are known to affect radiographic progression, but there is a limit to finding factors that can slow down progression. Moreover, determining the effect of a drug for ankylosis is difficult, because it takes a long time to evaluate whether the drug affects the radiographic progression.

Radiographic damage in AS is commonly manifested by erosion and sclerosis beginning early in the corner of vertebra, eventually leading to syndesmophytes and ankylosis. The modified Stoke

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

► In some observational cohort study, early and long-term treatment with tumour necrosis factor inhibitors might slow radiographic progression in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. However, it was difficult to evaluate the effect of tumour necrosis factor inhibitors by confounding by indication.

What does this study add?

► Using 18 years of electronic medical records and advanced statistical methods, the modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Score changes of the intervals exposed and not exposed to tumour necrosis factor inhibitors were significantly different.

How might this impact on clinical practice or future developments?

► By controlling the time-varying confounder, such as the inflammatory markers in real-world data, the amount of reduction in radiographic progression when using tumour necrosis factor inhibitors was presented. Treatment of tumour necrosis factor inhibitor is one of the modifiable factors for radiographic progression.

Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Score (mSASSS) is most commonly used to assess radiographic damage.¹ According to studies measuring mSASSS, a two-unit change per 2 years in mSASSS was considered radiographic progression in AS,^{2,3} and various cut-off values are also used to define radiographic progression.⁴ Factors affecting radiographic progression are younger age, male sex, human leucocyte antigen (HLA)-B27 positivity, shorter symptom duration, long disease duration, high disease activity and smoking.^{2,3,5–7}

Recently, tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFis) have been widely used in patients with AS who do not respond to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).^{8,9} TNFis dramatically improved symptoms by blocking TNF cytokine that plays an important role in inflammation. Although some previous studies showed that they may not have an effect in reducing radiographic progression,^{10–13} several accumulated data on the efficacy of TNFis suggest that TNFis may



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Koo BS, Oh JS, Park SY, et al. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;**79**:1327–1332.

affect radiographic progression in patients with AS.^{3 5 14 15} A high inflammation level at TNFi initiation and long-term TNFi use appear to reduce radiographic progression in a longitudinal cohort study.¹⁴⁻¹⁷

Real-world evidence refers to clinical evidence obtained from the analysis of real-world data to determine drug effects.¹⁸ We expected that with respect to chronic diseases, a study investigating the relationship between drug effects and ankylosis using various healthcare data accumulated over a long period of time, mainly from electronic medical records (EMRs), will provide real-world evidence from patients with AS.¹⁹ This study aimed to investigate whether long-term treatment with TNFis can reduce radiographic progression in patients with AS using 18-year real-world data.

METHODS

We retrospectively reviewed the records of 1280 patients between January 2001 and December 2018 at a single centre. All patients were previously diagnosed with AS according to the modified New York criteria.²⁰ The Hanyang University Seoul Hospital institutional review board approved this study (HYUH 2014-04-010). Informed consent was waived because this study retrospectively reviewed the EMRs.

Clinical characteristics including age, sex, disease duration from the first to the last follow-up, HLA-B27 positivity, eye involvement with uveitis and peripheral joint involvement with arthritis other than axial joints were investigated. The serum erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were obtained from EMRs. The Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI)²¹ measured approximately twice a year was collected.

Assessment of radiographic progression

Radiographic images of the cervical and lumbar spine were obtained from patients with AS at an average interval of 2.4 (0.7) years (online supplementary table S1). Two radiologists (SL and KBJ) independently assessed the images and scored them according to the mSASSS (0–72, (online supplementary methods).¹ With respect to the accuracy of mSASSS measurement, intraobserver reliability with consistency for a reader was

excellent (intraclass coefficient (ICC)=0.978, 95% CI 0.976 to 0.979). Interobserver reliability with agreement between two readers was also excellent (ICC=0.946, 95% CI 0.941 to 0.950).

Interval calculation

Given that we were interested in the period of TNFi administration during this observation period, the time interval was decided based on the TNFi prescription records. The ‘on the TNFi’ interval is the period from the date the TNFi was prescribed until the 90 days plus 14, 3.5, 7, 60 and 30 days after the prescription date for adalimumab, etanercept 25 mg, etanercept 50 mg, infliximab and golimumab, respectively. This rule was made based on the typical dosing interval for each drug and the assumption of the 90-day washout period. If another TNFi prescription was made during the ‘on the TNFi’ interval; that is, if two ‘on the TNFi’ intervals overlapped, the two intervals were merged into one. Any time period that the patient was not ‘on the TNFi’ was considered as the ‘off the TNFi’ interval. The mSASSS values at the start and end points of the intervals were imputed by the linear interpolation method using the measured mSASSS values before and after each point (figure 1). Data on ESR level, CRP level and BASDAI were also imputed at each time point by linear interpolation. Drug use was simplified as a categorical variable for statistical modelling. If drugs such as conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (cDMARDs) including sulfasalazine and methotrexate, NSAIDs, and glucocorticoids were prescribed for >50% of the entire interval, the drug use interval was considered to be sustained.

Statistical analysis

All data were summarised as mean (SD) or as percentage. Univariate and multivariable analyses were performed using linear regression with compound symmetry correlation structure for each patient, commonly referred to as generalised estimating equations. The dependent variable was the rate of change in mSASSS (per year), and independent variables included TNFi status (on vs off), levels of ESR and CRP, BASDAI, mSASSS at the start of the interval, concurrent medication status (cDMARDs, NSAIDs and Glucocorticoids) and baseline characteristics, including age, sex and eye and peripheral involvement.




Figure 1 Definition of interval by TNFi use. Imputed mSASSS was obtained by interpolation of the measured mSASSS values before and after the start or discontinuation of the TNFi. AS, ankylosing spondylitis; mSASSS, modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Score; TNFi, tumour necrosis factor inhibitor.

We constructed a multivariable model by removing the variables showing correlation with TNFi use (NSAIDs and CRP and ESR levels), variables with very skewed data (CRP) and variables with too many or too few numbers of positive intervals (HLA-B27 and Glucocorticoids) in the univariate models. Although this kind of multivariable model approach adjusts for the covariates, it has been shown to provide biased estimate of the treatment effect when there is a time-dependent risk factor that affects the subsequent treatment status and is affected by past treatment history. In this study, time-varying covariates, especially ESR and CRP level, have a potential to work as such risk factors that affect and are affected by treatment status, because physicians tend to prescribe TNFi when the ESR and/or CRP level is high, and TNFi tends to lower the level of these markers. To obtain an unbiased estimate of the TNFi effect, we used a marginal structural model (MSM) with inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW),^{22–24} which uses a counterfactual framework to evaluate the causal effect of treatment in the presence of time-dependent confounders that are also intermediate factors. In detail, we calculated the stabilised weight, which has the denominator as the estimated probability of treatment with TNFi based on baseline covariates, ESR (log), CRP (log), BASDAI (square root), mSASSS at the start of the interval, and drugs, including cDMARDs and NSAIDs. The numerator of weight was given by the estimated probability of TNFi treatment based on the baseline covariates only. The actual weight at each time point for each patient was given as the cumulative product of the past weights for that patient. After applying this weight to each time interval, the standardised mean difference between the ‘on the TNFi’ and ‘off the TNFi’ intervals became <0.2. Based on these weighted data, the change rate of the mSASSS was evaluated by MSM. To estimate CIs and evaluate the significance of TNFis’ effect using MSM, we used a sandwich estimator and also performed bootstrapping. P values <0.05 were assumed to indicate statistical significance. Finally, we estimated the adjusted mSASSS change rate in each of the ‘on the TNFi’ and ‘off the TNFi’ intervals using the change rate of the mSASSS obtained from the multivariable regression model and MSM with IPTW, which was the estimated mSASSS change per year when the other variables were fixed at their mean level. All statistical analyses were performed with R statistical language V3.5.1.

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics of patients and variables related to interval

Of 1280 patients who were followed for 18 years, 595 were prescribed TNFis at least once (online supplementary figure S1). Among them, 338 patients with at least one ‘on the TNFi’ and one ‘off the TNFi’ interval were included. The mean age at diagnosis was 33.1 (9.8) years (table 1). Most patients were male (90%), and the disease duration was 12.6 (3.6) years (first quantile 10.0, median 12.5 and third quantile 16.1 years).

Clinical characteristics based on intervals are presented in table 2. We obtained 2364 intervals from 338 patients. Among them, the number of intervals with no actual mSASSS measurements within the interval (completely imputed) was 592 and 758 for ‘on the TNFi’ and ‘off the TNFi’ intervals, respectively. The mean duration of the total intervals was 439.1 (646.7) days, whereas that of the ‘on the TNFi’ and ‘off the TNFi’ intervals was 633.0 (721.0) and 209.8 (448.6) days, respectively.

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of patients with AS

Variables	No of patients with data	Value
Age at diagnosis, mean (SD), year	338	33.1 (9.8)
Female (%)	338	35 (10)
Disease duration, mean (SD), year	338	12.6 (3.6)
Duration treated with TNFis per patient, mean (SD), year	338	6.6 (3.4)
Duration not treated with TNFis per patient, mean (SD), year	338	1.8 (2.1)
HLA-B27 (%)	336	329 (97.9)
Eye involvement (%)	309	142 (46.0)
Peripheral joint involvement (%)	305	170 (55.7)
ESR at baseline, mean (SD), mm ³ /hour	338	36.9 (32.1)
CRP at baseline, mean (SD), mg/dL	338	2.6 (2.6)
mSASSS at baseline, mean (SD)	338	17.0 (18.6)

AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; cDMARDs, conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HLA, human leucocyte antigen; mSASSS, modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Score; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; TNFis, tumour necrosis factor inhibitors.

Association between mSASSS change rate and covariates in the regression models

In the univariate model, the mSASSS change rate was significantly associated with age at diagnosis ($\beta=0.017$, 95% CI 0.005 to 0.028, $p=0.004$), HLA-B27 positivity ($\beta=0.587$, 95% CI 0.050 to 0.123, $p=0.032$) and eye involvement ($\beta=0.432$, 95% CI 0.142 to 0.721, $p=0.003$, table 3). Female sex was significantly associated with a decrease in mSASSS change rate ($\beta=-0.415$, 95% CI -0.714 to -0.117 , $p=0.006$). Among covariates that measure disease activity, log-transformed ESR at the start of the interval was associated with an increase in mSASSS change rate ($\beta=0.128$, 95% CI 0.050 to 0.206, $p=0.001$). Among drugs, cDMARDs and TNFis were significantly associated with a decrease in mSASSS change rate ($\beta=-0.335$, 95% CI -0.538 to -0.133 , $p=0.001$ and $\beta=-0.054$, 95% CI -0.099 to -0.009 , $p=0.017$, respectively).

In the multivariable model, covariates associated with an increase in mSASSS change rate were eye involvement ($\beta=0.420$, 95% CI 0.124 to 0.717, $p=0.005$) and ESR at the start of the interval ($\beta=0.199$, 95% CI 0.093 to 0.305, $p<0.001$). Covariates associated with a decrease in mSASSS change rate were female sex ($\beta=-0.561$, 95% CI -0.883 to -0.239 , $p=0.001$).

Table 2 Summary of variables related to drug use and disease assessment in intervals

Continuous variables	Total intervals	On TNFi n=1281	Off TNFi n=1083
Duration of intervals, mean (SD), day	439.1 (646.7)	633.0 (721.0)	209.8 (448.6)
ESR at start, mean (SD), mm/hour	20.84 (23.75)	23.67 (26.37)	17.46 (19.66)
CRP at start, mean (SD), mg/dL	1.47 (1.67)	1.67 (1.96)	1.23 (1.21)
BASDAI at start, mean (SD)	3.52 (2.44)	4.00 (2.69)	2.95 (1.96)
mSASSS change per year (SD)	0.85 (1.59)	0.82 (1.53)	0.89 (1.66)
cDMARDs* (%)	165 (7.0)	103 (8.0)	62 (5.7)
NSAIDs* (%)	516 (21.8)	379 (29.6)	137 (12.7)
Glucocorticoids* (%)	48 (2.0)	26 (2.0)	22 (2.0)

*Number of intervals prescribed with drugs for >50% of the interval period.

AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; cDMARDs, conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; mSASSS, modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Score; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Table 3 Association between mSASSS change rate and covariates

Independent variable	Univariate model				Multivariable model			
	Beta estimate*	95% CI		P value	Beta estimate*	95% CI		P value
		Lower bound	Upper bound			Lower bound	Upper bound	
Age at diagnosis	0.017	0.005	0.028	0.004	0.012	-0.002	0.025	0.085
Sex (female)	-0.415	-0.714	-0.117	0.006	-0.561	-0.883	-0.239	0.001
HLA-B27 positivity	0.587	0.050	1.123	0.032	-	-	-	-
Eye involvement	0.432	0.142	0.721	0.003	0.420	0.124	0.717	0.005
Peripheral joint involvement	-0.215	-0.511	0.081	0.155	-0.181	-0.481	0.119	0.237
ESR at the start of the interval (log)	0.128	0.050	0.206	0.001	0.199	0.093	0.305	<0.001
CRP at the start of the interval (log)	0.006	-0.045	0.058	0.811	-	-	-	-
BASDAI at the start of the interval (square root)	-0.100	-0.245	0.044	0.173	-0.225	-0.406	-0.043	0.015
mSASSS at the start of the interval	-0.001	-0.014	0.012	0.920	-	-	-	-
cDMARDs†	-0.335	-0.538	-0.133	0.001	-0.241	-0.494	0.013	0.063
NSAIDs†	-0.079	-0.209	0.051	0.235	-	-	-	-
Glucocorticoids†	0.134	-0.283	0.552	0.529	-	-	-	-
TNFis	-0.054	-0.099	-0.009	0.017	-0.054	-0.101	-0.008	0.022

*Unstandardised regression coefficient.

†Number of intervals prescribed with drugs for >50% of the interval period.

BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; cDMARDs, conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HLA, human leucocyte antigen; mSASSS, modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Score; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; TNFis, tumour necrosis factor inhibitors.

and BASDAI at the start of the interval ($\beta = -0.225$, 95% CI -0.406 to -0.043 , $p = 0.015$). Among drugs, TNFis were significantly associated with a decrease in mSASSS change rate ($\beta = -0.054$, 95% CI -0.101 to -0.008 , $p = 0.022$).

Association between TNFi use and inflammatory markers at the start of the interval

Inflammatory conditions in patients with AS can affect the choice of TNFi therapy and may even affect the radiographic progression. Considering bias due to inflammatory status, the association between TNFi use and inflammatory markers at the start of the interval was evaluated (online supplementary table S2). The increase in ESR, CRP and BASDAI at the start of the interval had a significant correlation with TNFi use ($\beta = 5.946$, 95% CI 5.012 to 6.881, $p < 0.001$; $\beta = 0.412$, 95% CI 0.339 to 0.484, $p < 0.001$; and $\beta = 0.923$, 95% CI 0.808 to 1.039,

$p < 0.001$, respectively). TNFis were significantly prescribed more at the time point with high inflammatory status. Therefore, we confirmed that this tendency in clinical practice may underestimate the effect of TNFis on radiographic progression in conventional regression model.

Causal effect of TNFi on mSASSS change rate

Considering high inflammatory markers at the start of TNFi therapy, IPTW was used to create a new pseudo-population between the 'on the TNFi' and 'off the TNFi' interval groups (table 4). From the data with IPTW, the mSASSS change rate was compared between 'on the TNFi' and 'off the TNFi' interval groups after adjusting for the effects of time-varying confounders with MSM. The mSASSS change rate significantly decreased when TNFi was used ($\beta = -0.112$, 95% CI -0.188 to

Table 4 Original data and data with IPTW

Covariates	Original data			Data with IPTW		
	Off the TNFis	On the TNFis	SMD	Off the TNFis	On the TNFis	SMD
Age, mean (SD), year	32.66 (8.80)	32.74 (8.80)	0.009	33.25 (9.23)	33.03 (8.97)	0.024
Female (%)	101 (10.6)	120 (10.7)	0.004	88.2 (10.5)	107.0 (10.8)	0.011
Eye involvement (%)	468 (49.0)	549 (48.8)	0.002	447.6 (53.2)	506.7 (51.3)	0.038
Peripheral joint involvement (%)	540 (56.5)	630 (56.0)	0.009	511.4 (60.8)	583.5 (59.1)	0.035
ESR at the start of the interval, mean (SD), log	2.30 (1.07)	2.52 (1.17)	0.190	2.42 (1.14)	2.51 (1.19)	0.071
CRP at the start of the interval, mean (SD), log	0.02 (0.47)	0.17 (0.64)	0.276	0.14 (0.62)	0.19 (0.66)	0.083
BASDAI at the start of the interval, mean (SD), square root	1.60 (0.57)	1.84 (0.72)	0.365	1.72 (0.63)	1.82 (0.74)	0.144
mSASSS at the start of the interval, mean (SD)	20.00 (20.07)	19.43 (19.96)	0.028	20.98 (20.14)	20.17 (20.32)	0.040
cDMARDs* (%)	27 (2.8)	56 (5.0)	0.112	42.2 (5.0)	53.6 (5.4)	0.019
NSAIDs* (%)	101 (10.6)	307 (27.3)	0.438	160.4 (19.1)	206.3 (20.9)	0.046
*Glucocorticoids (%)	16 (1.7)	17 (1.5)	0.013	35.6 (4.2)	16.3 (1.7)	0.153

*Number of patients prescribed with drugs for >50% of the interval period.

BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; cDMARDs, conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; IPTW, inverse probability of treatment weighting; mSASSS, modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Score; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs mSASSS change per year with and without TNFi therapy; SMD, standardised mean difference; TNFis, tumour necrosis factor inhibitors.

Table 5 The adjusted mSASSS changes per year when treated with or without TNFi from each statistical model

Model	TNFi	
	On	Off
Multivariable model	0.914	0.970
Marginal structural model	0.848	0.960

TNFi, tumour necrosis factor inhibitor.

-0.036, $p=0.004$ with sandwich estimator and 95% CI -0.168 to -0.056, $p<0.001$ with bootstrap).

We estimated the mSASSS change per year from the mSASSS change rate in the multivariable regression and the MSM with IPTW model (table 5). Adjusting other covariates, the mSASSS change per year was 0.914 and 0.848 in the multivariable regression and MSM models, respectively, in 'on the TNFi' interval, whereas in 'off the TNFi' intervals, these were 0.970 and 0.960, respectively. The mSASSS change per year showed a greater decrease in the MSM with IPTW model than in the multivariable regression model.

DISCUSSION

We used 2364 intervals of 338 patients from the longitudinal data to determine the effects of TNFis on radiographic progression, focusing on the TNFi treatment period. Compared with other treatments, TNFi therapy slowed the radiographic progression, suggesting that TNFi therapy may play an important role in radiographic progression.

In previous randomised controlled trials with a short period of 2 years, TNFi therapy did not inhibit radiographic progression.¹¹⁻¹³ Recently, long-term or early treatment with TNFi may influence radiographic progression.^{3, 5} Nevertheless, obtaining enough data with sufficient duration to identify radiographic progression and avoiding selection bias in examining drug effects are difficult. To overcome these problems, we used real-world data derived from EMRs of patients with advanced statistical methods, such as MSM with IPTW with comparison between drug intervals, not between patient groups.

AS treatment usually begins with NSAIDs or cDMARDs. Despite such treatment, when the disease activity becomes severe, biologics such as TNFis are started. Radiological progression would be accelerated when the disease activity and inflammatory status worsen.^{14, 25} Therefore, patients with an indication for TNFi therapy are more likely to experience severe radiographic damage in the future than those who maintain therapy with NSAIDs or DMARDs. Given that we usually use TNFis in high inflammatory status, we should consider that TNFi treatment directly affects radiographic progression (direct effect) and slows radiographic progression by lowering the high inflammatory status (indirect effect) in study design for drug effect on radiographic change. However, regression methods that evaluate the effects of TNFis by fixing other covariates, such as ESR and CRP, cannot account for indirect effects.

Ramiro *et al* investigated radiographic progression in AS using 12-year data from the Outcome in Ankylosing Spondylitis International Study.⁶ They suggested that the radiographic damage rate was 0.98 mSASSS units per year. However, patients exposed to TNFis showed a higher progression rate than patients who were not exposed because of 'confounding by indication'. In a recent study, Park *et al* showed that early TNFi treatment can reduce radiographic progression by inflammation control.¹⁵ They enrolled a TNFi treatment group from one single-centre cohort and a conventional NSAID treatment group from another

single cohort to analyse the effect of TNFi on radiographic progression. However, as mentioned in their limitation, they also have a problem with 'confounding by indication', as patients had significantly different baseline characteristics between periods exposed and not exposed to TNFi.

To avoid this bias, we defined intervals based on the period of treatment with or without TNFi therapy among patients who have been prescribed TNFi. It is expected that this method can reasonably explain the drug effects in contrast to recruiting of patients according to the inclusion criteria and dividing them into experimental and control groups. From these data, the rate of radiographic progression was identified using a multivariable regression model. Additionally, we used MSM with IPTW considering both direct and indirect effects of TNFi therapy. In both models, TNFi treatment significantly delayed radiographic progression compared with treatment without TNFi. Interestingly, radiographic progression of 'on the TNFi' interval was lower using MSM with IPTW than using multivariable regression. We suggested that this difference was from the indirect effect of TNFi through inflammation on radiographic progression and the confounding effect of time-varying covariates. Considering both effects of TNFis, we demonstrated not only the association but also the causal effect of TNFis on radiographic progression using MSM with IPTW. In the future, these statistical methods will be useful in evaluating the long-term effects of drug use on chronic rheumatic diseases using multi-EMR data.

We revealed some possible factors related to radiographic progression. The radiographic progression was not severe in women than in men, as reported in previous studies.^{26, 27} However, unlike previous studies,^{28, 29} eye involvement was associated with severe radiographic progression. We suggested that the inflammatory burden accelerating radiographic progression or association with HLA-B27 may also have affected uveitis development.³⁰ There was a significant negative relationship between cDMARDs and mSASSS change rate. Although concomitant drugs were controlled for in the MSM with IPTW, the causal effect of concomitant drugs cannot be estimated. A separate study is needed to investigate the causal effect of cDMARDs on radiographic progression.

Among the parameters, BASDAI at the start of the interval was negatively associated with mSASSS change rate in the multivariable regression model, although positive correlations between ESR and mSASSS change rate were found. Several intervals with high BASDAI were included as the 'on the TNFi' intervals. Therefore, the results indicated that high BASDAI was associated with a decrease in mSASSS change rate in the multivariable regression model, which may be related to multicollinearity. Therefore, these issues made it clear that MSM with IPTW was the accurate solution to address the problem in our study.

This study has several limitations. First, although smoking has been known to have a significant effect of radiographic progression, it was not included in the covariates, because there were too many missing values for smoking status. Second, patients who had never been exposed to TNFi were not included. Thus, the mSASSS change rate in these patients may differ from that obtained in the 'off the TNFi' interval. Third, we imputed the mSASSS data with interpolation, because mSASSS tends to increase very slowly with radiological progression in the real world. However, the imputed values, such as those for inflammatory markers or disease activity, may differ from the actual values owing to the large variability during the observation period.

The rate of radiographic progression was different between periods in which patients were exposed and not exposed to TNFi. Our study provided strong evidence that TNFi therapy

slows the radiographic progression by overcoming the limitations of previous studies. Treatment using TNFis is among the modifiable factors for radiographic progression in patients with AS.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University Seoul Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

²Department of Biomedical Informatics, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea

³Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁴Department of Rheumatology, Hanyang University Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁵Department of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Guro Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁶Department of Radiology, Hanyang University Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank all members of Hanyang University Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases. Furthermore, the authors would also like to thank the nurses who have helped in data collection.

Contributors Dr THK had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data, study supervision and accuracy of the data analysis. All authors participated in the interpretation of data, drafting, revision and approval of the manuscript. BSK, JSO, SYP and THK contributed to the study conception and/or design. BSK, JSO and SYP help in the statistical analysis. JHS, GYA, SL, KBJ and THK provided administrative, technical or material support.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Requirement for informed consent was waived because this study was a retrospective review of electronic medical records.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study design was approved by the appropriate ethics review board.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Study protocol and statistical code: Available on request from Dr Park (biostat81@amc.seoul.kr). Data set: Available on request and signature of a confidentiality agreement from Prof Kim (thkim@hanyang.ac.kr)

ORCID iD

Bon San Koo <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4212-2634>

REFERENCES

- Creemers MCW, Franssen MJAM, van't Hof MA, *et al.* Assessment of outcome in ankylosing spondylitis: an extended radiographic scoring system. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:127–9.
- Poddubnyy D, Haibel H, Listing J, *et al.* Baseline radiographic damage, elevated acute-phase reactant levels, and cigarette smoking status predict spinal radiographic progression in early axial spondylarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012;64:1388–98.
- Haroon N, Inman RD, Learch TJ, *et al.* The impact of tumor necrosis factor α inhibitors on radiographic progression in ankylosing spondylitis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013;65:2645–54.
- van der Heijde D, Braun J, Deodhar A, *et al.* Modified stoke ankylosing spondylitis spinal score as an outcome measure to assess the impact of treatment on structural progression in ankylosing spondylitis. *Rheumatology* 2019;58:388–400.
- Baraliakos X, Haibel H, Listing J, *et al.* Continuous long-term anti-TNF therapy does not lead to an increase in the rate of new bone formation over 8 years in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:710–5.
- Ramiro S, Stolwijk C, van Tubergen A, *et al.* Evolution of radiographic damage in ankylosing spondylitis: a 12 year prospective follow-up of the OASIS study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:52–9.
- Ramiro S, van der Heijde D, van Tubergen A, *et al.* Higher disease activity leads to more structural damage in the spine in ankylosing spondylitis: 12-year longitudinal data from the OASIS cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:1455–61.
- van der Heijde D, Ramiro S, Landewé R, *et al.* 2016 update of the ASAS-EULAR management recommendations for axial spondyloarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:978–91.
- Ward MM, Deodhar A, Gensler LS, *et al.* 2019 update of the American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis association of America/Spondyloarthritis research and treatment network recommendations for the treatment of ankylosing spondylitis and Nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2019.
- Baraliakos X, Listing J, Rudwaleit M, *et al.* Radiographic progression in patients with ankylosing spondylitis after 2 years of treatment with the tumour necrosis factor alpha antibody infliximab. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:1462–6.
- van der Heijde D, Landewé R, Baraliakos X, *et al.* Radiographic findings following two years of infliximab therapy in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:3063–70.
- van der Heijde D, Landewé R, Einstein S, *et al.* Radiographic progression of ankylosing spondylitis after up to two years of treatment with etanercept. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:1324–31.
- van der Heijde D, Salonen D, Weissman BN, *et al.* Assessment of radiographic progression in the spines of patients with ankylosing spondylitis treated with adalimumab for up to 2 years. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2009;11:R127.
- Molnar C, Scherer A, Baraliakos X, *et al.* Tnf blockers inhibit spinal radiographic progression in ankylosing spondylitis by reducing disease activity: results from the Swiss clinical quality management cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77:63–9.
- Park JW, Kim MJ, Lee JS, *et al.* Impact of tumor necrosis factor inhibitor versus nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug treatment on radiographic progression in early ankylosing spondylitis: its relationship to inflammation control during treatment. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2019;71:82–90.
- Haroon N, Maksymowych WP, Rahman P, *et al.* Radiographic severity of ankylosing spondylitis is associated with polymorphism of the large multifunctional peptidase 2 gene in the spondyloarthritis research Consortium of Canada cohort. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012;64:1119–26.
- Maas F, Arends S, Brouwer E, *et al.* Reduction in spinal radiographic progression in ankylosing spondylitis patients receiving prolonged treatment with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors. *Arthritis Care Res* 2017;69:1011–9.
- Corrigan-Curay J, Sacks L, Woodcock J. Real-World evidence and real-world data for evaluating drug safety and effectiveness. *JAMA* 2018;320:867–8.
- Misra DP, Agarwal V. Real-World evidence in rheumatic diseases: relevance and lessons learnt. *Rheumatol Int* 2019;39:403–16.
- van der Linden S, Valkenburg HA, Cats A. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria for ankylosing spondylitis. A proposal for modification of the new York criteria. *Arthritis Rheum* 1984;27:361–8.
- Garrett S, Jenkinson T, Kennedy LG, *et al.* A new approach to defining disease status in ankylosing spondylitis: the Bath ankylosing spondylitis disease activity index. *J Rheumatol* 1994;21:2286–91.
- Hernán MA, Brumback B, Robins JM. Marginal structural models to estimate the causal effect of zidovudine on the survival of HIV-positive men. *Epidemiology* 2000;11:561–70.
- Robins JM, Hernán MA, Brumback B. Marginal structural models and causal inference in epidemiology. *Epidemiology* 2000;11:550–60.
- Hernan MA, Robins JM. *Causal inference: what if*. Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2020.
- Poddubnyy D, Protopopov M, Haibel H, *et al.* High disease activity according to the ankylosing spondylitis disease activity score is associated with accelerated radiographic spinal progression in patients with early axial spondyloarthritis: results from the German spondyloarthritis inception cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75:2114–8.
- Lee W, Reveille JD, Davis JC, *et al.* Are there gender differences in severity of ankylosing spondylitis? results from the psoas cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007;66:633–8.
- van Tubergen A, Ramiro S, van der Heijde D, *et al.* Development of new syndesmophytes and bridges in ankylosing spondylitis and their predictors: a longitudinal study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:518–23.
- Chen H-A, Chen C-H, Liao H-T, *et al.* Factors associated with radiographic spinal involvement and hip involvement in ankylosing spondylitis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2011;40:552–8.
- Essers I, Ramiro S, Stolwijk C, *et al.* Do extra-articular manifestations influence outcome in ankylosing spondylitis? 12-year results from OASIS. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2016;34:214–21.
- Sun L, Wu R, Xue Q, *et al.* Risk factors of uveitis in ankylosing spondylitis: an observational study. *Medicine* 2016;95:e4233.

TNF inhibitors help reduce the change of ankylosis as well as improve symptoms



Compared to other treatments, TNF inhibitors slowed radiologic progression significantly.

INTRODUCTION

Ankylosing spondylitis is a chronic inflammatory disease. It mainly affects the joints in the spine, and the sacroiliac joint (in the back part of the pelvis), causing back pain and stiffness. Some changes in ankylosing spondylitis are very slow. For example, the destruction of bony structures or other changes in the spine. Because these can eventually be seen on an X-ray they are called *radiographic progression*. This type of damage can cause permanent loss of mobility in the spine.

Ankylosing spondylitis can also affect other joints and be linked with other diseases, such as psoriasis (a skin disease), inflammatory bowel disease and uveitis (an inflammation in the eye). These non-joint symptoms are known as extra-articular manifestations and they may arise from the same underlying causes, typically inflammation in the body.

People with ankylosing spondylitis may be given a type of medicine called a tumour necrosis factor inhibitor (often shortened to TNF inhibitor, or TNFi). This is a biologic drug (short for biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug, or bDMARD). Biologic drugs work by targeting specific molecules that cause inflammation, in this case TNF. By doing so, they reduce inflammation and decrease pain and disease worsening. Because it can take many years to be able to see radiographic progression on an X-ray, it also takes a long time to be able to say whether a drug has slowed or stopped this kind of damage.

WHAT DID THE AUTHORS HOPE TO FIND?

The authors hoped to show whether TNF inhibitors change radiographic progression in people with ankylosing spondylitis.

WHO WAS STUDIED?

The study looked at 1,280 people with ankylosing spondylitis. Of these, they identified 338 people who had been treated with a TNF inhibitor at least once. Everyone had been diagnosed with ankylosing spondylitis and treated at one clinic in Korea.

HOW WAS THE STUDY CONDUCTED?

This was a retrospective observational study. This means that the authors looked back at existing databases of information, and there was no intervention being tested. The authors used medical records going back 18 years and found 338 people who had been treated with a TNF inhibitor at the clinic. They looked at X-rays of people's spines and used a scoring system called the modified Stoke AS Spinal Score (mSASSS) to see how radiographic progression had changed over time, and what the differences were between periods when people were taking a TNF inhibitor compared to when they were not.

WHAT WERE THE MAIN FINDINGS OF THE STUDY?

The main finding was that compared to other treatments, TNF inhibitors slowed the radiographic progression. This suggests that these treatments may play an important role in radiographic progression.

ARE THESE FINDINGS NEW?

Yes. Previous studies have suggested there is a link between TNF inhibitors and slowing or stopping radiographic progression. However, it is difficult to compare results with a control group because most people with increased inflammation tend to be treated with TNF inhibitors. In clinical trials it is not ethical to leave people without treatment for long periods of time to see what happens to their radiographic progression. These findings are new, because the authors used an advanced statistical method that let them show that the TNF inhibitors are causing the slowing of disease progression over a long period of time, and that the findings are not just by chance. This is the first time there has been strong evidence to show that TNF inhibitors can slow radiographic progression in ankylosing spondylitis.

WHAT ARE THE LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY?

The main limitation is that not all records in the database were complete or well organised. This means that there was some information missing. For example, smoking is known to affect radiographic progression, but this variable was excluded from this study because people's smoking status was often not recorded in the database.

WHAT DO THE AUTHORS PLAN ON DOING WITH THIS INFORMATION?

This research will be shared. The authors plan to do more research about radiographic progression in people who have not been treated with TNF inhibitors.

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ME?

If you have ankylosing spondylitis, your disease may evolve slowly over a long period of time. This study shows that treatment with TNF inhibitors can slow radiographic progression in people with ankylosing spondylitis, and might help to slow down disability.

If you have any questions or concerns about your disease or its treatment, speak to your doctor.

Disclaimer: This is a summary of a scientific article written by a medical professional (“the Original Article”). The Summary is written to assist non medically trained readers to understand general points of the Original Article. It is supplied “as is” without any warranty. You should note that the Original Article (and Summary) may not be fully relevant nor accurate as medical science is constantly changing and errors can occur. It is therefore very important that readers not rely on the content in the Summary and consult their medical professionals for all aspects of their health care and only rely on the Summary if directed to do so by their medical professional. Please view our full Website Terms and Conditions. <http://www.bmj.com/company/legal-information/>

Date prepared: September 2020

Summary based on research article published on: 13 July 2020

From: Koo, BS, *et al.* Necrosis Factor Inhibitors Slow Radiographic Progression in Patients with Ankylosing Spondylitis: 18-Year Real-world Evidence. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;79(10):1327–1332. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216741.

Copyright © 2020 BMJ Publishing Group Ltd & European League Against Rheumatism. Medical professionals may print copies for their and their patients and students non commercial use. Other individuals may print a single copy for their personal, non commercial use. For other uses please contact our [Rights and Licensing Team](#).