uveitis does not have a major impact on activity of musculoskeletal manifestations and functional status in axSpA. However, recent uveitis showed a somewhat unexpected association with lower disease activity. The latter might be related to a higher likelihood of being treated with TNF inhibitors in the presence of uveitis.

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research within the research network PROCLAIR (01EC1405).

Disclosure of Interests: Imke Redeker: None declared, Johanna Callhoff: None declared, Falk Hoffmann: None declared, Hildrun Haibel: None declared, Joachim Sieper Consultant for: Abbvie, Böhlinger Ingelheim, Janssen, Lilly, Merck, Mylan, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB., Speakers bureau: Abbvie, Böhlinger Ingelheim, Janssen, Lilly, Merck, Mylan, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB., Angela Zink Speakers bureau: Speakers fees from AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, Denis Poddubnyy Grant/research support from: AbbVie, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Consultant for: AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Speakers bureau: AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, UCB Pharma
DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.5328

THU0366

MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING IN COMPARISON WITH CONVENTIONAL RADIOGRAPHY FOR DETECTION OF STRUCTURAL CHANGES TYPICAL FOR SPA – DATA FROM THE ASSESSMENT OF SPONDYLOARTHRITIS INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY (ASAS) COHORT

Mikhail Protopopov¹, Denis Poddubnyy^{1,2}, Fabian Proft¹, Stephanie Wichuk³, Pedro Machado⁴, Robert G Lambert⁵, Ulrich Weber^{6,7}, Susanne Juhl Pedersen^{8,9}, Mikkel Østergaard^{8,9}, Joachim Sieper¹, Martin Rudwaleit¹⁰, Xenofon Baraliakos¹¹, Walter P Maksymowych³. ¹Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Department of Gastroenterology, Infectiology and Rheumatology, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Berlin, Germany; ²German Rheumatism Research Centre, Berlin, Germany; ³University of Alberta, Department of Medicine, Edmonton, Canada; ⁴University College London, Centre for Rheumatology and MRC Centre for Neuromuscular Diseases, London, United Kingdom; ⁵University of Alberta, Department of Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging, Edmonton, Canada; ⁶King Christian 10th Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Gråsten, Denmark; ⁷University of Southern Denmark, Institute of Regional Health Research, Odense, Denmark; ⁸Copenhagen Center for Arthritis Research, Center for Rheumatology and Spine Diseases, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁹University of Copenhagen, Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Copenhagen, Denmark; ¹⁰Klinikum Bielefeld Rosenhöhe, Bielefeld, Germany; ¹¹Ruhr-University Bochum, Rheumazentrum Ruhrgebiet, Herne, Germany

Background: In axial spondyloarthritis, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is useful for depicting active inflammatory lesions. The utility of MRI to display structural changes is not that well established.

Objectives: Comparison of MRI and conventional radiography of the sacroiliac joints (SIJs) for detection of structural lesions typical for axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) in an international multireader exercise.

Methods: Patients from the ASAS Cohort with symptoms suggestive of axSpA and both radiographs and T1-weighted MRIs of SIJs available for central reading were included. SIJs radiographs were scored by 3 central readers according to the modified New York (mNY) criteria grading system. Structural damage on radiographs was defined as fulfillment of the radiographic mNY criterion (patient level) or presence of grade 2 sacroiliitis (single joint level) (majority decision). MRI scans were assessed for structural changes compatible with axSpA (global statement) and separate changes (erosion, sclerosis, periarticular fat metaplasia and ankylosis) by 7 central readers (majority decision). Absolute agreement between MRI and radiography and Kappa coefficient were determined.

Results: Overall, 199 patients (398 joints) were included, 149 (74.9%) had a diagnosis of axSpA. 102 (51.3%) had definite radiographic sacroiliitis, 65 (32.7%) had structural changes suggestive of SpA on MRI (global assessment). The absolute agreement between MRI and radiography was 69.3%, kappa - 0.39 (Table 1). Structural damage on radiographs often (48.1% of cases) could not be confirmed by MRI. Among structural lesions, erosions on MRI showed the best discriminative capacity regarding the structural damage on radiographs (Table 1).

Conclusion: Only modest agreement between MRI and conventional radiography in detection of structural changes typical for SpA in the SIJs was revealed; erosions on MRI showed the best agreement with the presence of definite structural damage on radiographs.

Abstract THU0366 –Table 1.

Table 1. Comparison of MRI with conventional radiographs for the detection of structural damage in the sacroiliac joints at the patient level (n=199)

		Radiographic sacroiliitis fulfilling the mNY criteria		Absolute agreement	Kappa value
		No (n=97)	Yes (n=102)		
Presence of structural lesions typical for SpA on MRI according to the global assessment	No (n=134)	85 (42.7%)	49 (24.6%)	69.3%	κ=0.39
	Yes (n=65)	12 (6.0%)	53 (26.6%)		
Presence of any structural changes (erosions, sclerosis, ankylosis or fat metaplasia) on MRI	No (n=120)	77 (38.7%)	43 (21.6%)	69.3%	κ=0.37
	Yes (n=79)	20 (10.1%)	59 (29.6%)		
Presence of erosions or sclerosis or ankylosis on MRI	No (n=124)	79 (39.7%)	45 (22.6%)	68.3%	κ=0.37
	Yes (n=75)	18 (9.0%)	57 (28.6%)		
Presence of erosions on MRI	No (n=141)	85 (42.7%)	56 (28.1%)	65.8%	κ=0.32
	Yes (n=58)	12 (6.0%)	46 (24.1%)		
Presence of sclerosis on MRI	No (n=163)	90 (45.2%)	73 (36.7%)	59.8%	κ=0.21
	Yes (n=36)	7 (3.5%)	29 (14.6%)		
Presence of ankylosis on MRI	No (n=195)	97 (48.7%)	98 (49.2%)	50.8%	κ=0.04
	Yes (n=4)	0 (0.0%)	4 (2.0%)		
Presence of fat metaplasia on MRI	No (n=157)	90 (45.2%)	67 (33.7%)	62.8%	κ=0.27
	Yes (n=42)	7 (3.5%)	35 (17.6%)		

mNY criteria – modified New York Criteria for ankylosing spondylitis; SpA – spondyloarthritis; MRI – magnetic resonance imaging

Abstract THU0366 –Table 2.

Table 2. Comparison of MRI with conventional radiographs for the detection of structural damage in the sacroiliac joints at the single joint level (n=398)

		Definite radiographic sacroiliitis (grade ≥2)		Absolute agreement	Kappa value
		No (n=209)	Yes (n=199)		
Presence of any structural changes (erosions, sclerosis, ankylosis or fat metaplasia) on MRI	No (n=272)	184 (46.2%)	88 (22.1%)	71.6%	κ=0.42
	Yes (n=126)	25 (6.3%)	101 (25.4%)		
Presence of erosions or sclerosis or ankylosis on MRI	No (n=283)	187 (47.0%)	96 (24.1%)	70.4%	κ=0.39
	Yes (n=115)	22 (5.5%)	93 (23.4%)		
Presence of erosions on MRI	No (n=312)	192 (48.2%)	120 (30.2%)	65.6%	κ=0.29
	Yes (n=86)	17 (4.3%)	69 (17.3%)		
Presence of sclerosis on MRI	No (n=345)	201 (50.5%)	144 (36.2%)	61.8%	κ=0.21
	Yes (n=53)	8 (2.0%)	45 (11.3%)		
Presence of ankylosis on MRI	No (n=393)	209 (52.5%)	184 (46.2%)	53.8%	κ=0.03
	Yes (n=5)	0 (0.0%)	5 (1.3%)		
Presence of fat metaplasia on MRI	No (n=330)	195 (49.0%)	135 (33.9%)	62.6%	κ=0.23
	Yes (n=68)	14 (3.5%)	54 (13.6%)		

MRI – magnetic resonance imaging

Disclosure of Interests: Mikhail Protopopov: None declared, Denis Poddubnyy Grant/research support from: AbbVie, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Consultant for: AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Speakers bureau: AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, UCB Pharma, Fabian Proft Grant/research support from: Novartis, Consultant for: yes but less than 10,000, Paid instructor for: yes but less than 10,000, Speakers bureau: yes but less than 10,000, Stephanie Wichuk: None declared, Pedro Machado Consultant for: Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche and UCB,

Speakers bureau: Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche and UCB, Robert G Lambert Consultant for: Bioclinica, Parexel, Abbvie, Ulrich Weber Consultant for: Abbvie, Susanne Juhl Pedersen: None declared, Mikkel Østergaard Grant/research support from: Abbvie, Celgene, Centocor, Merck, Novartis, Consultant for: Abbvie, BMS, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Hospira, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Novo, Orion, Pfizer, Regeneron, Roche, and UCB, Speakers bureau: Abbvie, BMS, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Hospira, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Novo, Orion, Pfizer, Regeneron, Roche, and UCB, Joachim Sieper Consultant for: Abbvie, Boehringer Ingelheim, Janssen, Lilly, Merck, Mylan, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB., Speakers bureau: Abbvie, Boehringer Ingelheim, Janssen, Lilly, Merck, Mylan, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB., Martin Rudwaleit Consultant for: Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Janssen, Eli Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, UCB Pharma, Consultant for: Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Janssen, Eli Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, UCB Pharma, Xenofon Baraliakos Grant/research support from: Abbvie, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Centocor, Chugai, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Roche and UCB, Grant/research support from: Abbvie, Pfizer, Merck Sharp & Dohme, UCB Pharma, Novartis, Consultant for: Abbvie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Chugai, Janssen Biologics, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Galapagos, Speakers bureau: Abbvie, Chugai, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Walter P Maksymowych Grant/research support from: Abbvie, Pfizer, Janssen, Novartis, Consultant for: Abbvie, Eli Lilly, Boehringer, Galapagos, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer and UCB Pharma; Chief Medical Officer for Canadian Research and Education Arthritis

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.7901

THU0367

ANALYSING IMPAIRMENTS IN PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE (AS ASSESSED BY THE AS PERFORMANCE INDEX (ASPI)) IN PATIENTS WITH AXIAL SPONDYLOARTHRITIS

Eerik Ahomaa^{1,2}, Uta Kiltz^{1,2}, Björn Bühring^{1,2}, Xenofon Baraliakos^{1,2}, Salima van Weely³, Juergen Braun^{1,2}, ¹Rheumazentrum Ruhrgebiet, Herne, Germany; ²Ruhr-University, Bochum, Germany; ³Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), Leiden, Netherlands

Background: In patients with axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) physical functioning is often impaired. The current gold standard to assess physical functioning is self-reported questionnaires (i.e. BASFI), which can be influenced by patients' subjective feelings. Therefore, a performance-based test-battery was designed to measure physical functioning more objectively: the ankylosing spondylitis (AS) Performance Index (ASPI) [1]. Based on domains taken from BASFI tasks were designed to imitate activities of daily living (ADL). Although the ASPI has been evaluated a thorough analysis of the deficits of physical functioning and factors which influence the performance of patients with axSpA has not been performed to date.

Objectives: The aim of the present study assesses the relation between self-reported assessments of physical functioning and actual performance of patients, and to detect influencing factors.

Methods: Consecutive axSpA patients underwent standardized assessments concentrating on the following variables: patient and disease characteristics, patient-reported outcomes (ASDAS, BASFI, BASMI, ASAS Health Index (ASAS HI), PHQ-9, IPAQ), mSASSS and ASPI (ASPI 1: Bending, 2. Putting on socks, 3. Getting up from the floor) [1]. The performance was measured in seconds as time to complete a task based on published instructions. Impairment in physical performance was defined as inability of patients to perform ≥ 1 ASPI test. Spearman Rho correlation was used to compare self-reported functioning and performed physical functioning. Logistic regression analysis was used to identify factors associated with impaired physical performance.

Results: A total of 200 patients (AS 66%, nr-axSpA 34%) was included: 69% males, 44.3 $\pm$ 12.5 years old, mean symptom duration 17.9 $\pm$ 12.6 years, BMI 27.2 $\pm$ 5.5, mean ASDAS 2.5 $\pm$ 1.1, BASFI 4.0 $\pm$ 2.7, BASMI 3.5 $\pm$ 1.8), ASAS HI 7.0 $\pm$ 4.1, PHQ-9 8.8 $\pm$ 6.2, and mSASSS (n=157) 10.2 $\pm$ 18.8). 133 patients were treated with bDMARDs (66.5%). In total 44 patients (22%) were not able to perform one or more ASPI tests. The mean time for bending was 18.6 $\pm$ 9.5 sec (n=179/90%), for putting on socks 12.8 $\pm$ 6.4 sec (n=156/78%), and for getting up from floor 6.5 $\pm$ 5.0 sec (n=187/94%). A significant correlation was found for all three ASPI-tests with BASFI (0.5-0.7), ASAS HI (0.4-0.6) and spinal mobility as

assessed by BASMI (0.4-0.7). Self-reported physical activity (IPAQ) correlated weakly with ASPI (all 0.2) and structural damage correlated only with the task putting on socks (r=0.3), whereas the other tests did not correlate. Logistic regression showed influence of obesity, spinal mobility and global functioning on actual performance but not of disease activity and self-reported physical function, (Figure 1).

Conclusion: This study confirms a good correlation of the ASPI with standard questionnaires but it showed a substantial floor effect strongly suggesting that additional information on actual performance is needed. Thus, to obtain a complete picture of function and impairments of patients with axSpA the actual performance needs also to be assessed. Moreover, obesity should be addressed as a potential modifying factor contributing to limitation in actual performance.

	Univariable		Multivariable	
	OR (CI)	p	OR (CI)	p
Age	1.08 (1.04-1.11)	<0.001		
Male sex	1.46 (0.68-3.11)	0.332		
BMI	1.17 (1.01-1.25)	<0.001	1.20 (1.09-1.32)	<0.001
ASDAS	2.35 (1.67-3.41)	<0.001		
BASFI 0-10	1.95 (1.58-2.40)	<0.001		
ASAS HI 0-17	1.41 (1.26-1.60)	<0.001	1.40 (1.06-1.86)	0.019
BASMI 0-10	2.44 (1.87-3.19)	<0.001	2.78 (1.77-4.36)	<0.001
PHQ-9 0-27	1.15 (1.08-1.21)	<0.001		

Abstract THU0367 – Figure 1. Association between clinical characteristics of patients with SpA and physical performance

REFERENCE:

- [1] Van Weely SFE, Dekker J, Steultjens MP, van Denderen JC, Nurmo-hamed MT, Dijkmans BAC, van der Horst-Bruinsma IE. Objective evaluation of physical functioning after tumor necrosis factor inhibitory therapy in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: a selection of 3 feasible performance-based tests. J Rheumatol. 2015 Apr;42(4):623-9.

Disclosure of Interests: Eerik Ahomaa: None declared, Uta Kiltz Grant/research support from: Abbvie, Chugai, Eli Lilly, Grünenthal, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and UCB., Consultant for: Abbvie, Chugai, Eli Lilly, Grünenthal, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and UCB., Björn Bühring Grant/research support from: GE/Lunar and Kinemed., Consultant for: GE/Lunar and Lilly, Xenofon Baraliakos Grant/research support from: Abbvie, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Centocor, Chugai, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Roche and UCB, Grant/research support from: Abbvie, Pfizer, Merck Sharp & Dohme, UCB Pharma, Novartis, Consultant for: Abbvie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Chugai, Janssen Biologics, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Galapagos, Speakers bureau: Abbvie, Chugai, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Salima van Weely: None declared, Juergen Braun Shareholder of: Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Chugai, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB, Grant/research support from: Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Chugai, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB, Grant/research support from: Abbott, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Celltrion, Chugai, Johnson & Johnson, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, UCB Pharma, Grant/research support from: Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Chugai, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB, Grant/research support from: Abbvie (Abbott), Amgen, Baxter, Biogen, BMS, Boehringer, Celgene, Celltrion, Centocor, Chugai, Hexal, Janssen, Lilly, Medac, MSD (Schering-Plough), Mylan, Mundipharma, Novartis, Pfizer (Wyeth, Hospira), Roche, Sanofi-Aventis and UCB, Consultant for: Abbvie (Abbott), Amgen, Baxter, Biogen, BMS, Boehringer, Celgene, Celltrion, Centocor, Chugai, Hexal, Janssen, Lilly, Medac, MSD (Schering-Plough), Mylan, Mundipharma, Novartis, Pfizer (Wyeth, Hospira), Roche, Sanofi-Aventis and UCB, Speakers bureau: Abbvie (Abbott), Amgen, Baxter, Biogen, BMS, Boehringer, Celgene, Celltrion, Centocor, Chugai, Hexal, Janssen, Lilly, Medac, MSD (Schering-Plough), Mylan, Mundipharma, Novartis, Pfizer (Wyeth, Hospira), Roche, Sanofi-Aventis and UCB, Speakers bureau: Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Chugai, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB, Consultant for: Abbott, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Celltrion, Chugai, Johnson & Johnson, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, UCB Pharma, Speakers bureau: Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Chugai, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB, Grant/research support from: Abbvie (Abbott), Amgen, Baxter, Biogen, BMS, Boehringer, Celgene, Celltrion, Centocor, Chugai, Hexal, Janssen, Lilly, Medac, MSD (Schering-Plough), Mylan, Mundipharma, Novartis, Pfizer (Wyeth, Hospira), Roche, Sanofi-Aventis and UCB, Speakers bureau: Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Chugai, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.4527