comorbidities (63% female, median age 68 (60-74) years). Only 70 patients (1.4%) had ≥ 2 comorbidities.

Patients with comorbidities had increased withdrawal rate of methotrexate with hazard ratios ranging from 1.10-2.06 (Figure). Similarly, patients with comorbidities had poorer remission rate with odds ratios ranging from 0.38-0.74 except for previous cancer.

Conclusion: In this nationwide cohort of >5000 RA patients treated with methotrexate in routine care, approximately 15% of patients had comorbidities at treatment start. Patients with comorbidities had higher rate of withdrawal and poorer remission rates. This warrants specific attention when treating these patient groups in routine care.

Acknowledgement: Thank you for the Danish departments of Rheumatology for reporting to DANBIO

Figure. Comorbidities in RA and impact on methotrexate withdrawal and DAS28 remission (6 months)

Time interval for identifying comorbidities (ambulatory or hospitalized): Malignancy: 0-ever, other comorbidities: 0-5 years previously
Prevalence of comorbidities: Malignancy 8.8%, Infection 7.1%, Prosthesis 4.7%, Pulmonary disease 3.3%, Diabetes 4.8%, Myocardial infarction: 1.6%, Kidney disease: 1.0%, Any comorbidity: yes: 15.5%
All analyses are reported with 'no comorbidity' as reference group.
Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval, DAS28: disease activity score based on 28 joints, RA: rheumatoid arthritis, csDMARD: conventional synthetic disease modifying drug

Abstract THU0134 – Figure 1

Disclosure of Interests: Bente Glinborg Grant/research support from: Biogen, Pfizer, AbbVie, Niels Steen Krogh: None declared, Frank Mehnert: None declared, Merete L. Hetland Grant/research support from: BMS, MSD, AbbVie, Roche, Novartis, Biogen, Pfizer, Consultant for: Eli Lilly, Speakers bureau: Orion Pharma, Biogen, Pfizer, CellTrion, Merck, Samsung Bioepis

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.4023

THU0135

DETERMINANTS OF DISCORDANCE IN PATIENT'S AND PHYSICIAN'S GLOBAL ASSESSMENTS OF DISEASE ACTIVITY OF RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS IN THE "REAL" STUDY – BRAZIL

Maria Fernanda Guimarães¹, Maria Raquel Pinto¹, Gustavo Resende¹, Carla Machado², Ana Beatriz Vargas-Santos³, Cleandro Albuquerque⁴, Manoel Bertolo⁵, Paulo Louzada Jr⁶, Rina Giorgi⁷, Sebastiao Radominski⁸, Karina Bonfiglioli⁹, Maria de Fátima Sauma¹⁰, Ivanio Pereira¹¹, Claiton Brenol¹², Licia Mota¹³, Geraldo Castelar-Pinho¹⁴. ¹Hospital das Clínicas da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil; ²Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil; ³Hospital Universitário Pedro Ernesto – Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; ⁴Hospital Universitário – Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil; ⁵Faculdade de Ciências Médicas – Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil; ⁶Faculdade de Medicina da USP Ribeirão Preto, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil; ⁷Hospital do Servidor Público Estadual de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; ⁸Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil; ⁹Faculdade de Medicina – Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; ¹⁰Faculdade de Medicina – Universidade Federal do Pará, Belém, Brazil; ¹¹Hospital Universitário – Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil; ¹²Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil; ¹³Faculdade de Medicina – Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil; ¹⁴Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Background: Discordance between the patient's global assessment of disease activity (PGA) and examiner's global assessment (EGA) has been described in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (1,2). Understanding the reasons for this discrepancy is essential in the context of treat-to-target treatment strategy.

Objectives: To assess the determinants of PGA and EGA and factors associated with discordance between them.

Methods: The REAL study included RA patients from Brazilian public health centers. Clinical, laboratory and outcomes measures were collected. PGA and EGA were rated on a visual analog scale and analyzed. Three groups were defined: no discordance (a difference between PGA and EGA within 3 cm), positive discordance (PGA exceeding EGA by >3 cm), and negative discordance (PGA less than EGA by >3 cm).

Multivariate regression analysis was used to identify determinants of PGA and EGA and their discordance.

Results: 1115 patients (89.4% female, mean age 56.7y and median disease duration of 12.7y) were enrolled. Two factors were associated with PGA in the final multivariate model: one point increase in the pain scale (PS) leads to an increase of 0.62 in PGA; one point increase in HAQ increases by 9.25 points the PGA. The factors associated with EGA were: PS, number of tender and swollen joints (NTJ and NSJ), RF, ESR, HAQ and use of corticosteroids. Discordance between patient and physician was found in 30.52%: positive discordance (PD) in 24.6% and negative discordance (ND) in 5.92%. An increase of one point in the NSJ was associated with a 12% increase in the chance of ND. The chance of PD increased by 90% and 2% for each unit increased in HAQ and PS respectively. Finally, the chance of PD decreased by 3% for each point increased in NTJ and by 15% for each point increased in NSJ.

Conclusion: In one-third of the assessments, there was disagreement between PGA and EGA (a PD in 80% of them). Pain and function were determinants for patients to estimate disease activity, while swollen joints were the main factor related to a worse examiner's evaluation. These data show how different can be the perspectives of patients and assistants.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Smolen JS, Strand V, Koenig AS, Szumski A, Kotak S, Jones TV. Discordance between patient and physician assessments of global disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis and association with work productivity. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2016; 18:114.
- [2] Desthieux C, Hermet A, Granger B, Fautrel B, Gossec L. Patient-physician discordance in global assessment in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic literature review with meta-analysis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2016; 68:1767.

Disclosure of Interests: Maria Fernanda Guimarães: None declared, Maria Raquel Pinto: None declared, Gustavo Resende Grant/research support from: ABBVIE, PFIZER, Consultant for: ABBVIE, JANSSEN, NOVARTIS, Paid instructor for: Novartis, Janssen, Speakers bureau: ABBVIE, JANSSEN, NOVARTIS, PFIZER, UCB, Carla Machado: None declared, Ana Beatriz Vargas-Santos Grant/research support from: Has received personal fees and/or non-financial support from Pfizer, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche, Novartis and UCB, Cleandro Albuquerque Shareholder of: Has received personal fees and/or non-financial support from Pfizer, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche, Novartis and UCB, Grant/research support from: Has received personal fees and/or non-financial support from Pfizer, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche, Novartis and UCB, Consultant for: Has received personal fees and/or non-financial support from Pfizer, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche, Novartis and UCB, Paid instructor for: Has received personal fees and/or non-financial support from Pfizer, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche, Novartis and UCB, Speakers bureau: Has delivered speeches at events sponsored by AbbVie and Pfizer, Paulo Louzada Jr Consultant for: Has received consulting fees from Pfizer, Rina Giorgi Consultant for: Has received consulting fees from Roche, Pfizer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, UCB, Eli-Lilly, AbbVie, Abbott and EMS, Speakers bureau: Has received speaking fees from Roche, Pfizer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, UCB, Eli-Lilly, AbbVie, Abbott and EMS, Sebastiao Radominski Consultant for: Abbvie, Celgene, Genentech/Roche, Janssen, Pfizer, UCB, Speakers bureau: Abbvie, Celgene, Genentech/Roche, Janssen, Pfizer, UCB, Karina Bonfiglioli Speakers bureau: Has received speaking fees from Roche, Pfizer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Abbvie and Janssen., Maria de Fátima Sauma: None declared, Ivanio Pereira Consultant for: Has received consulting fees from Roche, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Eli-Lilly, Abbvie and Janssen, Speakers bureau: Has received speaking fees Roche, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Eli-Lilly, Abbvie and Janssen, Claiton Brenol Shareholder of: Has participated in clinical and/or experimental studies related to this work and sponsored by AbbVie, BMS, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche; has received personal or institutional support from AbbVie, BMS, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche; has delivered speeches at events related to this work and sponsored by AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche, Grant/research support from: Has participated in clinical and/or experimental studies related to this work and sponsored by AbbVie, BMS, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche; has received personal or institutional support from AbbVie, BMS, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche; has delivered speeches at events related to this work and sponsored by AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche, Consultant for: Has participated in

clinical and/or experimental studies related to this work and sponsored by AbbVie, BMS, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche; has received personal or institutional support from AbbVie, BMS, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche; has delivered speeches at events related to this work and sponsored by AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche, Paid instructor for: Has participated in clinical and/or experimental studies related to this work and sponsored by AbbVie, BMS, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche; has received personal or institutional support from AbbVie, BMS, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche; has delivered speeches at events related to this work and sponsored by AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche, Speakers bureau: Has delivered speeches at events sponsored by AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer and Roche, Licia Mota Speakers bureau: Has delivered speeches at events sponsored by AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer, Roche and UCB., Geraldo Castelar-Pinheiro Consultant for: Has received consulting fees from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Glaxosmithkline, Janssen, Pfizer, Sanofi Genzyme and Roche

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.1582

THU0136

TNF INHIBITORS IN PREGNANCY: STOP, REDUCE OR CONTINUE? – OBSERVATIONS FROM A PREGNANCY OUTPATIENT CLINIC

Isabell Haase¹, Susanna Spaethling-Mestekemper², Matthias Schneider¹, Rebecca Fischer-Betz¹. ¹Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf, Policlinic of Rheumatology and Hiller Research Unit, Düsseldorf, Germany; ²Rheumapraaxis, Munich, Germany

Background: Women with active Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) are more prone to relapses and complications during pregnancy. The potential risks of disease activation and treatment during gestation should be weighed in a shared decision prior to conception. An increasing number of women who wish to conceive are being treated with TNF inhibitors (TNFi). Some wish to discontinue or at least reduce therapy while pregnant and require information on opportunities and risks.

Objectives: To study the outcome of pregnancies in women with RA who either discontinued, reduced or maintained their TNFi treatment after conception.

Methods: Pregnancies from an outpatient pregnancy clinic were evaluated before conception, during each trimester and postpartum. Clinical characteristics, disease activity (DAS28-CRP), medication use and pregnancy outcome were analysed. A flare was defined as increase in clinical activity leading to intensified treatment (new treatment with prednisolone or increase in dosage ≥ 5 mg/day and/or treatment with intraarticular glucocorticoids and/or (re-)treatment with DMARDs/TNFi). All women received extensive counselling before pregnancy based on current knowledge and subsequently decided to continue or stop TNFi at conception. If they stayed on TNFi and were in remission, women received the suggestion to stretch the therapy intervals in a disease activity guided manner.

Results: After exclusion of one miscarriage, 56 completed pregnancies were enrolled and grouped according to their decision to stop (group 1) or continue (group 2) TNFi therapy during pregnancy. The latter were subdivided into those who could stretch the therapy intervals (group 2a) and those who could not (group 2b). Group 1 also contained seven women who received Tocilizumab or Rituximab until conception. Despite low disease activity (DAS ≤ 3.2) at conception in all groups, a higher flare rate during pregnancy and postpartum was observed after discontinuation of TNFi. In addition, a higher dose of oral prednisolone and more frequent intraarticular therapy was reported in group 1 (table 1). Postpartum, 38.9% restarted TNFi therapy. About half of the women who chose to stay on therapy during gestation were able to stretch the injection interval of their TNFi, which was either Adalimumab (every 3.0 weeks), Certolizumab (median every 4.0 [min 4.0, max 5.0] weeks) or Etanercept (median every 3.0 [min 2.0, max 6.0] weeks) (Table 2). Relapse rate as well as prednisolone consumption was comparable between group 2a and group 2b.

Abstract THU0136 –Table 1.

	TNFi exposure until conception (N=37)	Pregnancies with ongoing TNFi exposure (N=19)	
		Successful reduction (N=9)	No reduction possible (N=10)
Patient characteristics at conception			
Age (years), median (IQR)	32 (29-36)	32 (30-34)	36 (34.25-36.75)
Disease duration (months), median (IQR)	80 (48-204)	96 (84-276)	70 (54-109.5)
Seropositivity, n (%)	21 (56.8%)	5 (55.6%)	8 (80.0%)
DAS28, median (IQR)	2.8 (2.5-3.1)	2.9 (2.5-3.0)	2.7 (2.6-2.9)
Prednisolone (mg/day), (median, IQR)	5.0 (5.0-7.0)	5.0 (3.5-5.0)	5.0 (3.5-5.0)
Sulfasalazine, n (%)	2 (5.4%)	3 (33.3%)	-
HCQ, n (%)	1 (2.7%)	2 (22.2%)	3 (30.0%)
Pregnancy outcomes			
DAS28-CRP ≤ 3.2, n (%)			
1 st trimester	23 (62.2%)	8 (88.9%)	8 (80.0%)
2 nd trimester	16 (43.2%)	8 (88.9%)	8 (80.0%)
3 rd trimester	23 (62.2%)	8 (88.9%)	8 (80.0%)
3 months postpartum	18 (48.6%)	7 (77.8%)	7 (70.0%)
6 months postpartum	28 (76.5%)	7 (77.8%)	8 (80.0%)
Flare during pregnancy, n (%)	25 (67.6%)	-	2 (20.0%)
Flare postpartum, n (%)	19 (51.4%)	2 (22.2%)	2 (20.0%)
Preterm birth ¹ , n (%)	10 (27.0%)	1 (11.1%)	-
Low birth weight ² , n (%)	7 (19.0%)	-	-
Breastfeeding, n (%)	25 (67.6%)	9 (100.0%)	9 (90.0%)
Glucocorticoid use during pregnancy			
Prednisolone (mg/day), (median, IQR)			
1 st trimester	10.0 (5.0-10.0)	5.0 (5.0-5.75)	5.0 (3.5-5.0)
2 nd trimester	10.0 (10.0-15.0)	5.0 (5.0-5.75)	5.0 (3.5-7.0)
3 rd trimester	10.0 (9.5-13.0)	5.0 (5.0-5.0)	5.0 (3.5-7.0)
Of those taking prednisolone – taking ≥ 10mg/d, n (%)			
1 st trimester	15 (65.2%)	1 (16.7%)	2 (20.0%)
2 nd trimester	23 (85.2%)	-	2 (20.0%)
3 rd trimester	18 (75%)	-	1 (10.0%)
Intraarticular prednisolone therapy, n (%)	17 (45.9%)	-	1 (10.0%)

¹ delivery $\leq 36+6$ weeks of gestation, ² birth weight < 2500 g, HQ = hydroxychloroquine

Table 1

Abstract THU0136 –Table 2.

	No TNFi	Adalimumab	Certolizumab	Etanercept
Women on therapy at preconception				
All Groups, n (%)	7 (12.5%)	7 (12.5%)	18 (32.1%)	23 (41.1%)
Group 1, n (%)	7 (18.9%)	4 (10.8%)	8 (21.6%)	18 (48.6%)
Group 2a, n (%)	-	1 (11.1%)	5 (55.6%)	3 (33.3%)
Group 2b, n (%)	-	2 (20.0%)	6 (60.0%)	2 (20.0%)
Women of group 2a during pregnancy				
Therapy interval (weeks), median (min-max)	-	3.0	4.0 (4.0-5.0)	3.0 (2.0-6.0)

Conclusion: Women with RA who discontinue TNFi at conception face a higher risk of flares during pregnancy and often have an increased demand for steroids to control disease activity. When in remission under ongoing TNFi therapy during pregnancy, it seems possible and safe for women to reduce the frequency of injections in a disease activity guided manner. These real-world data will help to provide women with comprehensive advice on treatment options and risks regarding TNFi therapy at pregnancy counselling.

Disclosure of Interests: Isabell Haase: None declared, Susanna Spaethling-Mestekemper: None declared, Matthias Schneider Grant/research support from: GlaxoSmithKline and UCB Pharma for performing the LuLa-study., Speakers bureau: Chugai, Rebecca Fischer-Betz Grant/research support from: GlaxoSmithKline and UCB Pharma for performing the LuLa-study.

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.2566

THU0137

SUBCLINICAL THYROID DYSFUNCTION IS A CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE RISK IN FEMALE RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

Suad Hannawi¹, Haifa Hannawi², Issa Al Salmi³. ¹Ministry of Health and Prevention, Rheumatology, Dubai, United Arab Emirates; ²Ministry of Health and Prevention, Dubai, United Arab Emirates; ³The Royal Hospital, Muscat, Oman

Background: Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a multisystem autoimmune disease that proved to be associated with other autoimmune diseases particularly hypothyroidism. Subclinical hypothyroidism had been reported in RA. As well, RA patients are at double the risk of cardiovascular diseases (CVD). The increased risk of CVD is not fully explained by the