Background: Axial spondyloarthritis (ax-SPA) is a chronic inflammatory arthritis that predominantly affects the spine and the sacroiliac joints. Fatigue is recognised as a central ax-SPA symptom. Ax-SPA fatigue is under-explored and often not addressed. Attention in clinical practice seems to be focused on pain and disease activity.

Objectives: The objectives of this study were to explore the meaning, contributing factors and the impact of fatigue on the ax-SPA patients. Self-management and the role of clinicians were also explored.

Methods: A qualitative phenomenological approach was used. A purposive sample of 10 patients with ax-SPA, who were experiencing fatigue (>7cm on a 10cm VAS scale and attending a specialist rheumatology clinic were selected. The participants completed a demographic questionnaire, the Bath ankylosing spondylitis disease activity index (BASDAI) and the Bath ankylosing spondylitis functional index (BASFI) outcome measures. Semi-structured interviews using open-ended questions lasted 30-60 minutes. Interviews were tape-recorded and transcribed verbatim. Data was analysed using Colaizzi’s framework. Two independent reviewers and 5 participants reviewed the data.

Results: Five males and five females were selected to participate in the study. The mean age was 46.6 years (29 - 69). Seven participants were working. The mean BASDAI was 5.4 and mean BASFI was 5. Most of the participants had disease duration of longer than 5 years. The six themes that emerged from the data are:

1. The meaning of fatigue
2. Fatigue patterns
3. Factors that modulate fatigue
4. The impact of fatigue
5. Self-management of fatigue
6. Professional support.

Fatigue was defined as an unpredictable, extreme and persistent tiredness. Fatigue had a cognitive and physical component, which was not related to physical activity and did not resolve by rest or sleep. Fatigue was distinguished from tiredness. Tiredness was perceived as a normal feeling which occurred after physical activity and was resolved by rest or sleep.

The severity, duration and frequency of fatigue varied. Fatigue was described as having a multi-factorial aetiology. Fatigue had an overall negative impact upon participants lives affecting their quality of life, leading to psychological and emotional consequences. Participants struggled to self-manage fatigue, receiving no support from health care professionals.

Conclusion: This research has contributed to a better understanding of the patients experiences of ax-SPA fatigue. Fatigue was described as a huge burden and life-changing. Ax-SPA fatigue should be acknowledged in clinical practice. Ax-SPA patients should be asked about fatigue during their clinical consultation. Fatigue should be addressed in its own right and independently from pain and disease activity.
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Background: The adolescent and young adult (AYA) rheumatology clinical service has a well established culture of involving our users in shaping how services are delivered. Since its inception in 2012 the Arthritis Research UK Centre for Adolescent Rheumatology has worked to offer every patient within the clinical service the opportunity to participate in research.

Objectives: This was achieved by 2015 and from 2014 we renewed our focus on an active involvement programme to promote the role of young people in prioritising and designing our research agenda and work-streams. We are currently developing our strategy for the next five years and reviewing our experience to date.

Methods: PPI/E activity records were searched for the period October 2014 to April 2018. This included number of patients involved, their age and diagnosis, and the type of activity along with the number of times they have been involved.

Results: 19 PPI/E activities were organised. From a total of 1554 adolescent and young adult patients 150 patients having been involved in PPI/E, the age range was 11-27 and 118 were female.

The activities consisted of focus groups (79%) and support days (11%) all but two of which were disease specific groups.

The disease specific PPI/E activities have been focused on JA, JSLE and JDM. The number of patients involved in these activities were 24.62% (n=99), 24% (n=17) and 66% (n=25) respectively.

Conclusion: Our results show that many of our patients have taken part in PPI/E activities (11.19%) with over 65% of our JDM patients having taken part in either a focus group or support day. The focus on
inflammatory rheumatic disease is in keeping with the stated aims of our Centre. The mean instances of involvement ranging from 2.09 3.56 suggest that patients feel PPI/E is worthwhile. We aim to share our results with the young people who have driven this work and will consider how we might move forward. We aim to consult with the group of patients we serve who have not been part of this process to date.
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Background: Rheumatology Nurse Practice is an accredited educational initiative spearheaded by members of the Rheumatology Nurses Societies Board of Directors and Education Department that has been ongoing for the last 10 years. It involves a combination of print issues and live broadcasts geared toward rheumatology nurses, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants. In 2017, nine evidence-based print issues were published along with nine corresponding case-based live broadcasts using the Google Hangouts On Air platform.

To gauge the impact of this education, a special cohort of 100 learners was recruited at the start of 2017 to provide in-depth feedback through the completion of detailed pre- and post-activity surveys. This abstract focuses on feedback from four issues of Rheumatology Nurse Practice that centered on the following topics:

- 1. New Insights into the Treatment of the Spondyloarthopathies
- 2. Looking at the Horizon: What Does the Future Hold in the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis?
- 3. The Pathophysiology of Spondyloarthritides: Connecting and Differentiating Characteristics
- 4. The Pathophysiology of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: A Nursing Primer

Objectives: To gauge improvements in learners knowledge, competence, and performance as a result of this education. Specific learning objectives were tied to each print issue of Rheumatology Nurse Practice and served as the basis for the pre- and post-activity surveys.

Methods: Each print issue contained a combination of evidence-based content related to the main theme, along with a series of individual essays written by activity faculty members. These essays all also linked to the main theme of the issue. Live broadcasts were intended to bring a real-life, case-based perspective to the education.

Results: Improvements of >25% in both knowledge and competence were noted between pre- vs. post-activity cohort surveys for all issues in this initiative. Learners also provided extensive feedback regarding specific areas of improvement within their day-to-day practice based on the education.

Conclusion: Print issues and online broadcasts within the Rheumatology Nurse Practice initiative are valued resources, dealing with sensitive and challenging issues faced by practicing rheumatology nurses and nurse practitioners in a clinically-meaningful manner.

Survey cohort participants showed a substantial improvement in their understanding of a variety of rheumatic diseases and improved their ability to communicate with patients about disease diagnosis and treatment options.
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Background: Most rehabilitation programs are hospital-based and rely on regular supervision (1). However, mobile health technologies such as smartphone applications may provide lower-cost ways to monitor and train the patients (2). We have developed a mobile-phone application for monitoring and training the patients at home.

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to compare a mobile-phone-based home exercise training program along with supervised physiotherapy program to a brochure-based home exercise training program along with supervised physiotherapy program in patients with knee osteoarthritis. We hypothesized that the patients who received mobile-phone-based home exercise training program along with supervised physiotherapy program over 3 weeks would have better balance, quality of life and less pain and disability score versus the patients who received brochure-based home exercise training program along with supervised physiotherapy program.

Methods: This was a randomized, prospective, comparative clinical study. The study included 40 patients, aged 4565 years, who diagnosed with a grade 2-3 knee osteoarthritis. The patients were randomly divided into two groups. While one group (n=20) received a mobile-phone-based home exercise training program along with supervised physiotherapy program, the second group (n=20) received a brochure-based home exercise training program along with supervised physiotherapy program as 15 sessions for a total of three weeks, five sessions per week. Pain intensity, balance, disability, and quality of life were measured with Visual Analogue Scale, Berg Balance Scale, WOMAC, and SF-36, respectively. All of the assessments procedures were performed again after the treatment.

Results: There were statistically significant improvements in measures of pain intensity, balance, disability, and quality of life between pre- and post treatment in both groups (p<0.05). However, no significant differences were found in any of patient outcome variables between the groups (p>0.05).

Conclusion: We could report that mobile-phone-based home exercise training program is not superior to brochure-based home exercise training program in terms of patient outcomes over 3-week program.
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