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Background: Macrovascular involvement and cardiovascular (CV) risk have not been sufficiently studied in patients with mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD). In particular, the gold standard assessment method of aortic stiffness carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity (cfPWV) has never been evaluated in patients with this disease.

Objectives: Aims of the present study were to examine cfPWV in MCTD and to evaluate its associations with MCTD associated parameters and traditional CV risk factors.

Methods: cfPWV measurements were performed in 43 MCTD patients and 107 healthy controls. The difference between cfPWV in the two groups was statistically examined and subsequently controlled for the effect of possible confounding factors. Association of cfPWV with MCTD associated organ involvement, routine laboratory parameters and immunological markers was also evaluated. Finally, relationship of cfPWV with medications and traditional CV risk factors was examined.

Results: Adjusted statistical analyses for confounding factors showed significantly higher cfPWV values in MCTD patients in comparison to controls. Except the disease itself, age and blood pressure were the main predictors of cfPWV. Adjusted statistical analyses for confounding factors showed significantly higher cfPWV values in MCTD patients in comparison to controls. Except the disease itself, age and blood pressure were the main predictors of cfPWV.

Conclusion: Despite it is not included in EULAR current recommendations for SSC complications, our patients have remarkably improved with MP, especially skin involvement, with a good safety profile. These data reaffirm those obtained in previous studies and encourages us to continue considering its use.
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Background: Distinguishing primary Raynaud’s phenomenon (PRP) from Raynaud’s phenomenon secondary to systemic sclerosis (SSc) is crucial in the early detection of SSc. Recently we reported that patients with more severe vasculopathy suffer from a prolonged ischemia time during Raynaud’s attack. [1] Additionally, it appears that the thumb is more frequently involved in SSc, where it seems to be spared in PRP. [2] These two characteristics are easily recognized by patients and physicians, and can help raising awareness for SSc.

Objectives: The aim was to study if the recovery of a Raynaud’s attack and involvement of the thumb are differentiators for SSc in patients with Raynaud’s phenomenon (RP).

Methods: A stepwise cooling and recovery procedure was performed, provoking an RP attack, in patients with PRP and SSc. One hand was submerged up till the radiocarpal joint in water. The water temperature was lowered in steps of 3 degrees Celsius every four minutes, from 33 until at least 9 degrees Celsius. Afterwards ten minutes of recovery in room air of 23 degrees Celsius was observed. During the procedure perfusion of the fingertips was assessed by photo-electric plethysmography.

Results: In total 18 patients with SSc and 68 patients with PRP underwent the procedure. Seventeen (94%) SSc patients had no restoration of perfusion after ten minutes in one or more fingers, compared to 28 (41%) PRP patients (figure 1), with a negative predictive value of 98%. During cooling, 17 (94%) SSc patients developed abnormal perfusion in the thumb compared to 48 (71%) PRP patients (p=0.036), with a negative predictive value of 95%. There was no difference in involvement of the other fingers during cooling (all p>0.05). Positive predictive values were low.

Conclusion: In patients with RP, when there is restoration of perfusion in all fingers after ten minutes or when the thumb is spared, the presence of an underlying SSc is very unlikely. Although this objective measurement needs to be verified with patient’s reports, these results suggest that these simple signs can help physicians to assess if the patient needs to be referred for additional tests.