Disclosure of Interests: None declared
DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.7530

AB0460 USE OF PLATELET RICH PLASMA (PRP) IN TREATMENT OF DRY EYE SYNDROME IN THE PATIENTS WITH SJÖGREN SYNDROME: PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Annunziata Capacci¹, Francesco Ricci², Valentina Varriano³, Barbara Tolusso¹, Pietro Rubortone³, Anna Maria Paglionico¹, Antonio Ciardiello^{2,4}, Stefano Alivernini^{1,3}, Gianfranco Ferraccioli³, Elisa Gremese^{1,3}. ¹Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Division of Rheumatology, Rome, Italy; ²Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Division of Ophthalmology, Rome, Italy; ³Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Division of Rheumatology, Rome, Italy; ⁴Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Division of Ophthalmology, Rome, Italy

Background: Xerofthalmia and xerostomia are the hinge symptoms of Sjögren Syndrome and often they negatively influence patients' quality of life. The eyewashes use based on Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) has been applicable in the treatment of the xerofthalmia, both primitive and secondary.

Objectives: To evaluate the effect of subconjunctival injections and PRP based eyewashes on the ocular dryness (subjective and objective) in patients suffering of primary Sjögren syndrome (pSS).

Methods: Six pSS patients (6 females, age at the beginning 48.2±9.7 years) have been recruited in the study. All patients were reaching the criteria for pSS diagnosis; for each patient clinical and immunological data have been recorded. Patients with Schirmer Test value, in at least an eye, inferior to 10 mm after 5 minutes, and suffering from severe dryness calculated by OSDI score (between 33 and 100, severe condition of dry eye) in spite of therapies with tear substitutes were selected. The OSDI (Ocular Surface Index) is a questionnaire for the evaluation of the subjective ocular dryness. Selected patients have been addressed to treatment with PRP. The PRP is constituted by human plasma enriched with plaques by means of the utilisation of a special kit, therefore each patient has been subjected to a blood drawing, from which PRP has been extracted. Of this, a part has been injected in subconjunctival seat; from remained one, an eyewash has been extracted that the patients have assumed 6 times in the day up to exhaustion (about 5 days) during which another topic therapy was not used. The patients have been valued to the basal time and after ten days of the procedure; besides follow-up visits each three months are scheduled. To each evaluation Schirmer Test data and OSDI have been checked.

Results: Each patient had severe xerofthalmia, evaluated by Schirmer Test (right eye: 3.33±2.66; left eye: 6.83±6.5) and index OSDI (59.71±20.72). All the patients had Schirmer Test values, in at least an eye, lesser than 10 mm after 5 minutes. The analyzed cohort had homogeneous clinical characteristics (presence of xerostomy and absence of inflammatory indexes, hypergammaglobulinemia, arthritis and lymphadenomegaly). After one week (T1), OSDI values were significantly more reduced compared to the basal time (38.89±15.12; p=0.028). The Schirmer Test values were not significative different to the follow-up visit compared to the basal one. No patients presented pre- and post-procedural complications, neither related infectious events. At 3 months follow up after first treatment (T2) no statistically significant difference in OD/OS Schirmer test values and OSDI score compared to the basal time were observed.

Conclusion: The use of PRP in dry eye syndrome in patients with Sjögren syndrome seems to be effective in alleviating symptoms and improving patients' life quality. Is need further follow-up to confirm data, to value effect also on the objective tests and to evaluate necessity of repeating treatment.

REFERENCES

- [1] Shiboski SC; Arthritis Care Res, 2012; 64(4): 475–487
- [2] Alio J; Ophthalmic Research, 2017; 39: 124–129
- [3] Alio J; Curr Pharm Biotech, 2012; 13: 1257–1265

Disclosure of Interests: Annunziata Capacci: None declared, Francesco Ricci: None declared, Valentina Varriano: None declared, Barbara Tolusso: None declared, Pietro Rubortone: None declared, Anna Maria Paglionico: None declared, Antonio Ciardiello: None declared, Stefano Alivernini: Speakers bureau: BMS, Gianfranco Ferraccioli: Speakers bureau: BMS, Roche, Elisa Gremese: Consultant for: AbbVie, BMS, Celgene, Janssen, Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Sanofi, UCB, Roche, and Pfizer, Speakers bureau: BMS, Speakers bureau: Roche, Speakers bureau: AbbVie, BMS, Celgene, Janssen, Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Sanofi, UCB, Roche, and Pfizer
DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.7397

AB0461 BELACEPT IN SLE KIDNEY TRANSPLANT PATIENTS

Irene Carrión Barberá¹, Melissa Fajardo², Demetra Tsapepas², Hilda Fernandez², Anca Askanase³. ¹Hospital del Mar, Rheumatology, Barcelona, Spain; ²Columbia University Medical Center, Nephrology, New York, United States of America; ³Columbia University Medical Center, Rheumatology, New York, United States of America

Background: Lupus nephritis (LN) results in the need for renal replacement therapy (RRT) in 10-30% of LN pts; of these 30% receive a kidney transplant (KT). Belatacept (bela) is a second-generation selective T-cell co-stimulator blocker (inhibits CTLA-4) used as an alternative to calcineurin inhibitors (CNI) for maintenance regimens after KT. The pathogenic relevance of CTLA-4 inhibition and the favorable cardiovascular profile of bela make it an attractive therapeutic option in SLE. Additionally, bela IV ensures therapeutic adherence.

Objectives: The current study was initiated to evaluate the effect of bela on graft function and extrarenal SLE.

Methods: This retrospective single-center study evaluates the outcomes of LN KT recipients treated with bela from 2006–2018 at the Columbia University Lupus and Renal Transplant Cohorts. The bela regimen was 5mg/kg every 2 weeks x 5 doses, then monthly. CNI weaning among the bela group was not standardized. Immunosuppressive regimen, kidney allograft function, and SLE activity were examined.

Figure 1: Creatinine trend before and after bela

Figure 2: SLEDAI-2KG before and after bela

Table 1: Characteristics of patients on bela

ID	Age at SLE dx	Race	LN class	Time to KT (yrs)	Type KT	Induction	Reason for conversion	Immunosuppressive regimen before conversion
A	16	Black	IV, VI	13	DDKT	ATG	Non-adherence	TAC, MMF
B	30	Asian		2	LRKT	ATG	Mod IFTA & Arteriosclerosis	TAC, MPA, PRED
C	18	White	IV, V	23	DDKT	ATG	Cortical necrosis	CYC, MMF, PRED
D	19	Black	V	9	LUKT	ATG	CNI side effects	TAC, MPA, PRED
E	22	Asian		5	LRKT	ATG	CNI side effects	TAC, MMF, PRED
F	18	Black	V	17	DDKT	Alemtuzumab	CNI side effects	TAC, MMF, PRED
G	25	White (Hispanic)	III, IV	23	DDKT	Basiliximab	TMA (biopsy)	TAC, MPA, PRED

Results: 48 pts with LN had undergone KT between 2006–2018 with follow-up time of 72.2 ± 74.6 months. Bela was started in 7 pts on CNI regimens (TAC N=6, cyclosporine N=1) at 15.5 ± 17.1 months after KT. All pts were female, age at SLE diagnosis 21.1 ± 4.9 yrs; 5 had undergone RRT prior to KT (4 hemodialysis, 1 peritoneal dialysis) for 38.7 ± 37.8 months. The interval between SLE diagnosis and KT was 13.1 ± 8.3 yrs. At the time of bela initiation, all pts were also treated with prednisone (7.1 ± 2.7 mg/day), 6 with mycophenolate (1123 ± 625 mg/day), and 1 azathioprine (25mg/day). CNIs were continued in 5/7 patients at 6 months after bela. 2 pts were on hydroxychloroquine, 2 took it only prior to KT. In 5 patients, creatinine stabilized 6 months after bela, 1 returned to HD due to CNI-toxicity and pyelonephritis and 1 is relisted for KT due to ACR and cortical necrosis (Fig. 1). No allograft failure due to recurrent