REFERENCES

- [1] Oray M, Abu Samra K, Ebrahimiadib N, Meese H, Foster CS. Long-term side effects of glucocorticoids. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2016;15:457-65
- Disclosure of Interests:** None declared
- DOI:** 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.7846

SLE, Sjögren's and APS – treatment

AB0457 **AUDIT ON HYDROXYCHLOROQUINE RELATED RETINOPATHY SCREENING IN RHEUMATOLOGY PATIENTS IN SVUH**

Aadil Al Ghafr, Eamonn S. Molloy. *St Vincent's University Hospital, Rheumatology, Dublin, Ireland*

Background: Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) is a medication commonly used to treat rheumatoid arthritis (RA), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and other rheumatological conditions. A known adverse effect associated with long-term use of these medications is vision loss resulting from irreversible retinal toxic effects. Recent guidelines emphasized on routine ophthalmological examination and to adhere on real weight-based dosages.

Objectives: This audit conducted to evaluate adherence rate on standard recommendation on HCQ related retinopathy screening in rheumatology patients in St Vincent's University Hospital (SVUH).

Methods: Patient were on HCQ and attended rheumatology clinics at SVUH with rheumatic diseases selected randomly from on 01/08/2017 and end on 01/04/2018. A total sample size of 56 patients received a questionnaire that included information on HCQ dose, duration and actual body weight. Other information included precipitant factors and ophthalmology examination reports were retrieved retrospectively from patient's chart. Standards were measured against recommendations on screening for HCQ retinopathy published by American Academy of Ophthalmology, 2016 Revision.

Results: Total 56 patients on HCQ with different rheumatic disease studied. Out of 56 patients studied, 6/56 (10.7%) were <25 years (young), 45/56 (80.4%) were 25-65 years (middle age), and 5/56 (8.9%) were >65 years (elderly). Sex distribution showed that 7/56 (12.5%) were males and 49/56 (87.5%) were females. Around 35.7% (20/56) were in HCQ dose >5mg/kg, and 64.3% (36/56) were on standard HCQ dose (<=5mg/kg of real body weight. The were 44.6% (25/54) patients on HCQ for a period <5 years, the rest, 55.4% (32/56) were on HCQ for a period of 5-20years, and none of these patients were on it for >20 years duration. There were only 1/56 (1.8%) patient with history of HCQ toxicity, 98.2% (55/56) showed no history of HCQ related toxicity. None of the 56 patients had any of the following influencing factors: renal impairment, Tamoxifen usage, coagulopathies, hepatic impairment, or pre-existing retinal/macular disease. However, there were 8.9% (5/56) of this group their age was > 65years (verses 51/56 91.1% were <65years age. Only 69.6% (39/56) of these patients underwent retinal baseline screening, were 17/56 (30.4%) did not receive any baseline screening after commencing HCQ therapy. Frequency of follow up retinal screening were done annually in 19/56 (33.9%), 5 yearly in 6/56 (10.7%). However, it was not done in 21/56 (37%) and it was not due in 9/56 (16.1%). Out of those who underwent the screening at any time, 32/56 (57.1%) showed normal examination results, only 1/56 patient (1.8%) showed abnormal results. Rest of the patient data either were not available, or examination were not done.

Conclusion: Above data emphases the need to adhere on standards HCQ retinal screening as baseline and follow up. Furthermore, adherence on real weight calculated dose rather than estimated/average body weight.

REFERENCES

- [1] -Michael F. Marmor, Ulrich Kellner, Timothy Y.Y. Lai, et al. Recommendations on Screening for Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine Retinopathy (2016 Revision). *Ophthalmology.* 2017 Mar;124(3):e28-e29.

Disclosure of Interests: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.196

AB0458

A PHASE II RANDOMISED, DOUBLE-BLIND, PLACEBO-CONTROLLED, PROOF OF CONCEPT STUDY OF ORAL SELETALISIB IN PATIENTS WITH PRIMARY SJÖGREN'S SYNDROME (PSS)

Maria Juarez¹, Nieves Diaz¹, Geoffrey I. Johnston¹, Saba Nayar², Andrew Payne¹, Eric Helmer¹, Dionne Cain¹, Paulette Williams³, Wan Fai Ng⁴, Benjamin Fisher², Jacques-Eric Gottenberg⁵, Giuliana Guggino⁶, Xavier Mariette⁷, Marika Kvarnström⁸, Valerie Devauchelle-Pensec⁹, Jose Rosas¹⁰, Juan Sanchez-Burson¹¹, Roberto Giacomelli¹², Francesca Barone², Simon J. Bowman¹³. ¹UCB Pharma, Slough, United Kingdom; ²University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom; ³UCB Pharma, Raleigh, United States of America; ⁴Newcastle University and NIHR Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom; ⁵Strasbourg University Hospital, Strasbourg, France; ⁶University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy; ⁷Université Paris-Sud, Paris, France; ⁸Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁹Cavale Blanche Hospital, Brest, France; ¹⁰Hospital Marina Baixa, Villajoyosa, Spain; ¹¹Infantluisa Hospital, Sevilla, Spain; ¹²University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy; ¹³University Hospitals and Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Background: Seletalisib is a potent, selective oral inhibitor of phosphoinositide-3 kinase delta (PI3Kδ). Preclinical data have shown that the PI3Kδ pathway is upregulated within salivary glands of patients with PSS and contributes to disease pathogenesis.¹

Objectives: To assess the efficacy and safety of seletalisib in patients with PSS.

Methods: In this Phase II, double-blind, proof of concept study (NCT02610543), patients with PSS having an EULAR Sjögren's Syndrome Disease Activity Index (ESSDAI) score ≥5 were randomised 1:1 to seletalisib once daily or placebo (PBO) in addition to current PSS therapy for 12 weeks. The primary endpoint was change from baseline in ESSDAI at Week 12. The study was designed to have 80% power to detect a difference of 3.8 points in change from baseline in ESSDAI between seletalisib and PBO at Week 12 and required 58 patients to complete treatment. Other endpoints included EULAR Sjögren's Syndrome Patient Reported Index (ESSPRI), salivary gland biopsy changes, Schirmer's I test, immunoglobulin concentrations and incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs).

Table 1. Change from baseline to Week 12 for secondary and exploratory endpoints (full analysis set)

	Seletalisib n=13, LS mean (SE)	PBO n=14, LS mean (SE)	Difference (95% CI)
ESSPRI score ^a	-2.13 (0.68)	-0.57 (0.56)	-1.55 (-3.39, 0.28)
Stimulated salivary flow rate, mL/min ^a	-0.08 (0.11)	-0.11 (0.08)	0.02 (-0.27, 0.31)
Schirmer's I test score ^b	-0.9 (3.3)	0.5 (2.6)	-1.41 (-10.35, 7.52)
Immunoglobulin G, g/L ^a	-2.36 (0.71)	1.17 (0.64)	-3.53 (-5.55, -1.51)
Immunoglobulin M, g/L ^a	-0.33 (0.10)	0.07 (0.09)	-0.40 (-0.68, -0.12)
Immunoglobulin A, g/L ^a	0.03 (0.13)	0.06 (0.12)	-0.03 (-0.40, 0.34)

LS, least squares; SE, standard error

^a Mixed model for repeated measures analysis

^b Analysis of covariance

Results: The study was terminated early due to slow recruitment, which led to study power decreasing to 36%. Twenty of 27 patients randomised (seletalisib n=13, PBO n=14) completed treatment. Demographic characteristics were generally similar between groups. Mean (SE) change from baseline in ESSDAI at Week 12 was seletalisib -5.4 (1.7) vs PBO -2.8 (1.5); treatment difference vs PBO (95% CI) was -2.59 (-7.30, 2.11; p=0.266). The percentages of patients achieving a ≥3 point reduction in ESSDAI were seletalisib 66.7% vs PBO 54.5%. Post-hoc Bayesian analyses of treatment difference showed an 86.5% probability of being superior to PBO and a 48.8% probability of a >3 point difference from PBO. Clinically notable improvements in some secondary endpoints were also observed in the seletalisib group (Table 1). Minor salivary gland biopsies had broadly similar histological features across groups at baseline. At

Week 12, seletalisib treatment led to a reduction in the size and cellular organisation of mononuclear inflammatory cell foci vs PBO (Table 2). TEAEs were reported by 13/13 (100.0%) seletalisib and 13/14 (92.9%) PBO patients; most frequently reported: diarrhoea (5/13 [38.5%] vs 0/14 [0%]) and headache (3/13 [23.1%] vs 2/14 [14.3%]). Serious TEAEs were reported by 3/13 (23.1%) vs 1/14 (7.1%), and discontinuations due to TEAEs by 5/13 (38.5%) vs 1/14 (7.1%) seletalisib and PBO patients, respectively.

Table 2. Change from baseline at Week 12 in characteristics of mononuclear inflammatory cell foci from paired minor salivary gland biopsies

	Seletalisib n=7 Mean (SD)	PBO n=11 Mean (SD)
Average focus area, mm ²	-0.02 (0.02)	0.01 (0.06)
Focus score, number foci present/4 mm ² biopsy tissue	-0.43 (0.99)	0.20 (2.73)
Percentage infiltration	-1.3 (1.4)	2.5 (8.0)
Percentage of germinal centres	-13.5 (14.3) ^a	-0.9 (15.8) ^b
Percentage of T/B cell segregation	-17.6 (8.6) ^c	-12.7 (32.3) ^d
Percentage of foci with follicular dendritic cells	-23.2 (17.1) ^a	15.1 (40.7)
SD, standard deviation		
^a n=3; ^b n=7; ^c n=4; ^d n=9; ^e n=6		

Conclusion: Although this Phase II PSS study was terminated early due to slow recruitment, seletalisib demonstrated a trend to clinical improvement in patients with PSS and acceptable safety and tolerability. Histological analyses demonstrated encouraging effects of seletalisib on the organisation and extent of salivary gland lymphocytic infiltration in patients with PSS.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nayar S, et al. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2019;78:249–260

Acknowledgement: Funded by UCB Pharma

Disclosure of Interests: Maria Juarez Shareholder of: UCB Pharma, Employee of: UCB Pharma, Nieves Diaz Shareholder of: UCB Pharma, Employee of: UCB Pharma, Geoffrey I. Johnston Shareholder of: UCB Pharma, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, Employee of: UCB Pharma (and AstraZeneca + Pfizer previously), Saba Nayar: None declared, Andrew Payne Employee of: UCB Pharma, Eric Helmer Employee of: Galapagos, UCB Pharma, Takeda, Johnson & Johnson, Dionne Cain Employee of: UCB Pharma, Paulette Williams Shareholder of: UCB Pharma, Employee of: UCB Pharma, Wan Fai Ng: None declared, Benjamin Fisher Consultant for: Novartis, Roche, MedImmune, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Jacques-Eric Gottenberg Grant/research support from: Bristol-Myers Squibb, Grant/research support from: Bristol-Myers Squibb, Consultant for: Bristol-Myers Squibb, Lilly, Pfizer, Sanofi-Genzyme, UCB Pharma, Consultant for: Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, UCB, Sanofi-Genzyme, Pfizer, Giuliana Guggino Grant/research support from: Laborest, Pfizer, Consultant for: Novartis, Abbvie, Speakers bureau: Sandoz, Xavier Mariette Grant/research support from: Servier, Consultant for: AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Marika Kvarnström: None declared, Valerie Devauchelle-Pensec Grant/research support from: Roche-Chugai, Speakers bureau: MSD, BMS, UCB, Roche, Jose Rosas Consultant for: Abbvie, Amgen, Bristol, Janssen, Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Speakers bureau: Abbvie, Amgen, Bristol, Janssen, Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Pfizer, UCB Pharma, Juan Sanchez-Burson Speakers bureau: Lilly, Janssen, Pfizer, Roberto Giacomelli Grant/research support from: Pfizer, Actelion, Speakers bureau: Actelion, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Abbvie, Pfizer, Sobi, Roche, Francesca Barone Grant/research support from: GlaxoSmithKline, Roche, UCB

Pharma, Actelion, ONO Pharmaceutical, Consultant for: GlaxoSmithKline, Roche, Actelion, ONO Pharmaceutical, Simon J. Bowman Grant/research support from: Previously UCB Pharma (to University of Birmingham) and Roche, Consultant for: 2016-7: Novartis, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma 2017-8: AstraZeneca, MedImmune, GSK, Xtlbio, ONO Pharmaceutical 2018-9: Novartis, AstraZeneca, UCB Pharma
DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.4887

AB0459

IMMUNOGLOBULINS COMBINED WITH STANDARD THERAPIES FOR THE PREVENTION OF RELAPSES IN REFRACTORY OBSTETRICAL ANTIPHOSPHOLIPID SYNDROME: A SERIES OF 103 CASES

Alexander Makatsariya¹, Jamilya Khizroeva¹, Yehuda Shoenfeld², Laura Damian³, Omar Latino⁴, Jaume Alijotas-Reig⁵, Enrique Esteve-Valverde⁶, Daniel Henrion⁷, Patrick Cherin⁸, Cristina Belizna^{7,9}, ¹M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russian Federation; ²The Zabludowicz Center for Autoimmune Diseases, affiliated to Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Israel, Tel Aviv, Israel; ³Emergency Clinical County, Cluj, Romania; ⁴Hospital Carlos G. Durand- Buenos Aires- Argentina- Hospital Carlos G. Durand, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ⁵Vall d'Hebron University Hospital- Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ⁶Althaia Healthcare Network of Manresa, Barcelona, Spain; ⁷CNRS 6015 INSERM 1083, Angers, France; ⁸Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France; ⁹University Hospital Angers, Angers, France

Background: Optimal standard therapy in obstetrical antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) (aspirin and LMWH) is effective in 72–80% of pregnancies (1). Intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIG) are not more efficient than standard therapy (2, 3) and seems to be reserved to high risk pregnant APS patients (4) and/or refractory cases (5).

Objectives: The main aim of this study was to analyse the outcome of pregnancies in APS patients with recurrent obstetrical event despite conventional treatment, who received IVIG.

Methods: We have performed a retrospective multicentre open-labelled study (2010-2018).

Results: 103 patients (107 pregnancies) with obstetrical APS from 8 international centres were included. In all cases, the previous standard treatment was inefficient. Obstetrical APS was present in 73%, while 27% had obstetrical and thrombotic APS. Median age was 28 years. Triple antiphospholipid antibody (tAPL) positivity was found in 51% of patients and lupus anticoagulant (LA) in 60%. IV IG use was associated with favourable outcome in 101/107 pregnancies (94%). In multivariate analysis, previous history of prematurity and Ig use were associated with live-birth pregnancy (odds-ratio 0.12 95%CI 0.03-0.37, p 0.005). The dosages of IV IG were variable: 0.4g/kilo day-2g/kilo day but without differences on outcomes between patients (p 0.8). There were no differences in outcomes of pregnancies between patients with tAPL and/or LA positivity and patients with other antibodies profiles (p 0.8).

Conclusion: IVIG could be effective in cases of refractory obstetrical APS but prospective studies are necessary.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cervera R, Serrano R, Pons-Estel GJ, Cervera R, Shoenfeld Y, de Ramón E, Buonaiuto V, Jacobsen S, Zehner MM, Tarr T, Tincani A, Taglietti M, Theodossiadou G, Nomikou E, Galeazzi M, Bellisai F, Meroni PL, Derksen RH, de Groot PG, Baleva M, Mosca M, Bombardieri S, Housiau F, Gris JC, Quéré I, Hachulla E, Vasconcelos C, Fernández-Nebro A, Haro M, Amoura Z, Miyara M, Tektonidou M, Espinosa G, Bertolaccini ML, Khamashta MA. Morbidity and mortality in the antiphospholipid syndrome during a 10-year period: a multicentre prospective study of 1000 patients. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2015;74:1011-8.
- [2] Branch DW, Porter TF, Paidas MJ, Belfort MA, Gonik B. Obstetric uses of intravenous immunoglobulin: successes, failures, and promises. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2001;108:S133-8.
- [3] Dendrinou S, Sakka E, Makrakis E. Low-molecular-weight heparin versus intravenous immunoglobulin for recurrent abortion associated with antiphospholipid antibody syndrome. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2009;104:223-5.
- [4] Ruffatti A, Favaro M, Hoxha A, Zamboni A, Marson P, Del Ross T, Calligaris A, Tonello M, Nardelli GB. Apheresis and intravenous immunoglobulins used in addition to conventional therapy to treat high-risk pregnant antiphospholipid antibody syndrome patients. A prospective study. *J Reprod Immunol.* 2016;115:14-9.
- [5] Prete M, Urso L, Fatone MC, Pinto V, Perosa F. Antiphospholipids Syndrome Complicated by a Systemic Capillary Leak-Like Syndrome Treated With Steroids and Intravenous Immunoglobulins: A Case Report. *Medicine.* 2016;95:e2648.