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Background: ACPA (anti-citrullinated peptide antibody) is a major risk factor for the onset of RA (rheumatoid arthritis) [1]. As ACPA becomes a common test in non-rheumatologists, ACPA positive patients not diagnosed with RA are increasing. However, it is unclear whether follow-up of ACPA-positive patients without synovitis leads to early treatment and consequently to the improvement of prognosis.

Objectives: To reveal whether to follow up the ACPA positive patients without synovitis.

Methods: In the four years from January 2015 to December 2018, we extracted the ACPA positive patients introduced from other hospitals, among which patients not diagnosed as RA at the first visit were selected. Then, their clinical courses and ACPA titers were retrospectively analyzed. The 2010 ACR-EULAR classification criteria was used for the diagnosis of RA [2]. For the significance test, a \( \chi^2 \) test and a t test with \( \alpha = 0.05 \) were used.

Results: Thirty six patients met the conditions, and then 10 patients, previously treated as RA, and 8 drop-out patients were excluded. In 18 patients remaining, 10 patients developed RA (follow-up days: avg. 404, max. 983) and the other 8 were non-RA, predisease patients (follow-up days: avg. 424, max. 926). All 10 RA patients initially started the treatment with MTX (methotrexate). Five of them reached remission with only MTX. Of the other five, three needed other csDMARDs because of an inadequate amount of MTX due to adverse events, and two had insufficient observation period. The ACPA titer was significantly higher in the RA patients than the predisease patients (468 versus 26.3 U/ml (P=0.0075)). Tenderness of DAS 28 subject joints at the first visit was a significant predictor of RA onset when trying to extract from clinical findings. (10 of 10 in RA patients vs. 2 of 8 in predisease patients (P=0.0044)).

Conclusion: In our research, the predictors of RA onset are not only ACPA, anti-citrullinated peptide and IgA rheumatoid factor predict the development of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum., 48 (10),2741-2749,2003.
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Background: Autoantibodies such as anti-citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA), anti-catalyzed peptide protein antibodies (CSP) and anti-peptidyl arginine deiminase 4 (PAD4) antibodies have been associated with disease severity and radiographic progression in rheumatoid arthritis (RA). However, very little is known about the anti-PAD 3 (PAD3) antibodies and of the added value of combining multiple autoantibodies to predict radiographic damage.

Objectives: To investigate the capability of rheumatoid factor (RF), ACPA, anti-CarP and anti-PAD3 antibodies to predict radiographic damage in RA, both individually and in combination.

Methods: We performed a nested cohort study within the « Swiss Clinical Quality Management » (SCQM) RA registry, Biobank samples were tested for RF [QUANTA Lite (QL), IgM and IgA], ACPA IgG [QL CCP3 and QUANTA Flash QD CCP3], anti-CarP IgG [anti-carbamylated-fused calf serum as antigen by prototype ELISA, research use only (RUO)] and anti-PAD3 IgG [QF PAD3, RUO] (all methods Inova Diagnostics). Outcome: radiographic damage assessed with a validated scoring method, the Ratingen (Rau) score. We examined the association of each autoantibody both separately and combined, with radiographic damage at baseline and over time with linear mixed-effects models. Multivariable analyses were corrected for age, sex, smoking status, disease duration, disease activity (DAS28), number of prior biologics and calendar year of biosampling.

Results: A total of 851 RA patients were included with a median of 4 Ratingen scores per patient. Autoantibodies were positive in the following proportion of patients: RF IgM 66.3%, RF IgA 56.9%, QL CCP3 63.8%, QF CCP3 63.3%, anti-PAD3 10.7% and anti-CarP 22.4%. Significantly higher baseline Ratingen scores were associated with the presence of RF (IgM and IgA) and anti-CCP3 (QL and QF) and greater progression over time with RF IgM and QL CCP3 IgG (p=0.01 and p=0.04 respectively). Patients’ positive for anti-PAD3 demonstrated higher mean baseline Ratingen scores compared with anti-PAD3 negative patients (14.9 vs. 8.8 respectively) which was significant in both univariable (Figure) and multivariable analyses (p=0.0002 and p=0.02 respectively). In the QL CCP3 negative subgroup (n=308), baseline Ratingen scores were significantly higher in anti-PAD3 positive patients (p=0.01). There were no significant differences with regards to anti-CarP, either in the whole population or in the seronegative cohorts. The presence of multiple autoantibodies was associated with higher baseline Ratingen scores, particularly the combination of RF IgM, RF IgA, QL CCP3, and anti-PAD3, with a baseline Ratingen scores of 16.1 (p=0.00001 compared to those with no autoantibodies). The presence of at least 3 of the following autoantibodies: RF IgM, QL CCP3, anti-CarP and anti-PAD3, was associated with significantly greater radiographic progression over 10 years (Figure) if these autoantibodies were absent (p=0.03).

Conclusion: The presence of anti-PAD3 antibodies was associated with significantly higher scores of radiographic damage at baseline, in both the overall population and in the subgroup of ACPA-negative patients. Combinations of autoantibodies (including anti-CarP and anti-PAD3) predicted both higher baseline radiographic damage and greater radiographic progression over time.
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