Table 1. The pre-test and post-test mean scores for all participants of gout education programme.

Question no	Question type	Areas of knowledge tested	Mean scores, n=30			p-value
			Post-test	Pre-test	Difference	
1	Application	Features of gout for diagnosis	3.47 ±0.97	3.53 ±1.11	-0.07±1.02	0.72
2	Application	Interpretation of investigation	2.73 ±0.79	2.3 ±1.12	0.433±0.73	<0.01
3	Application	Treatment of acute gout	1.97 ±0.85	2.27 ±0.74	-0.30±1.01	0.14
4	Application	Treatment of stable gout	3.47 ±1.22	3.07 ±1.05	0.40±1.52	0.16
5	Recall	Indication of urate lowering therapy	4.87 ±0.34	3.87 ±0.97	0.47±0.90	<0.01
6	Recall	Allopurinol mechanism	3.17 ±0.99	2.77 ±0.94	0.40±1.19	0.08
7	Recall	Allopurinol dose initiation	5.00 ±0.00	3.53 ±1.14	1.47±1.14	<0.01
8	Recall	Allopurinol side effects	4.97 ±0.18	4.1 ±0.89	0.87±0.9	<0.01
9	Recall	Non-pharmacological management	4.00 ±0.46	3.6 ±1.10	0.4±1.25	0.09
10	Recall	Medication which can aggravate gout	4.07 ±0.74	3.23 ±1.46	0.833±1.51	<0.01

Conclusion: Gout educational programme for primary care doctors was effective in improving recall knowledge but not in application knowledge. Some specific areas of deficiency in gout knowledge identified were features of gout and management of acute and stable gout.

REFERENCES

- Richette P, Doherty M, Pascual E, et al. 2016 updated EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the management of gout. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017; 76: 29–42.
- Abhishek A, Doherty M. Education and non-pharmacological approaches for gout. *Rheumatology*, 57(suppl_1), 2018; i51–58.
- Dalbeth N. Management of gout in primary care: challenges and potential solutions. *Rheumatology*. 2013; 52(1): 1549–1550
- JA Singh. Quality of Life and Quality of Care for patients with Gout. *Curr Rheumatol Rep*, 2009; 11(2): 154–160

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank the doctors who participated in gout education programme.

Disclosure of Interests: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.7346

SAT0418 ASSOCIATION BETWEEN ANEMIA AND HYPERURICEMIA: KOREAN NATIONAL HEALTH AND NUTRITION SURVEY 2016

Yeonhee Eun¹, Eun-Jung Park², Ji-Young Chai³, Hoon-Suk Cha¹, Eun-Mi Koh¹, Hyungjin Kim¹, Jaejoon Lee¹. ¹Samsung Medical Center, Department of Medicine, Seoul, Korea, Rep. of (South Korea); ²National Medical Center, Department of Medicine, Seoul, Korea, Rep. of (South Korea); ³Bundang Jeseang General Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, Seongnam, Korea, Rep. of (South Korea)

Background: Hyperuricemia and anemia may be related in terms of sharing comorbidities such as chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular disease. However, to our knowledge, no studies have been conducted on the relationship between two conditions. For the association of gout and anemia, there was a previous cohort study showing that patients with anemia had a 2-fold higher risk of gout compared to patients without anemia. And the risk of gout was significantly higher after adjusting for serum urate levels and kidney function. However, there is a lack of data on the relationship between anemia and hyperuricemia.

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to investigate the association between hyperuricemia and anemia using data from Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES VII; 2016), which is representative of the Korean population.

Methods: The present study included 5887 participants aged ≥ 19 years from KNHANES VII. Logistic regression was used to examine the

association between anemia and hyperuricemia. Subgroup analysis was performed to confirm whether the association between two conditions varies according to participant characteristics.

Results: In an adjusted model that corrected for body mass index, smoking, drinking, physical activity, income, and education levels, hyperuricemia was 1.4 times more than that those without anemia (HR 1.41, 95% CI 1.01–1.98). The association of anemia with hyperuricemia was not seen under 65 years of age (HR 2.68, 95% CI 1.60–4.48). In addition, this association was not clear in women, but was significant in men (HR 2.62, 95% CI 1.47–4.70). In the results of subgroup analysis according to comorbidities, the association of anemia with hyperuricemia was significant only in subgroups with chronic kidney disease, diabetes, hypertension, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular diseases. There was no association between anemia and hyperuricemia in obese subjects, but hyperuricemia risk was higher in non-obese subjects with anemia compared to those who did not have anemia.

Conclusion: In a Korean representative sample, the risk of hyperuricemia was higher for anemia. The association of anemia with hyperuricemia was more pronounced in subjects with older age, male population, and other comorbid conditions.

Disclosure of Interests: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-eular.7462

SAT0419 HAS THE INTRODUCTION OF A PRIMARY CARE GOUT GUIDELINE RESULTED IN SERUM URIC ACID (SUA) TARGETS BEING ACHIEVED?

Rashid Farid^{1,1}, Leanne Gray¹, Dean John¹, Daniel Holehouse², Lesley Ottewell¹.

¹Royal Lancaster Infirmary, Rheumatology, Lancaster, United Kingdom; ²Bay Medical Group, Morecambe, United Kingdom

Background: Gout is the most common inflammatory arthritis thought to affect 2.4% of the UK population. A local primary care audit in 2012 suggested suboptimal gout management. In an attempt to improve gout management we introduced a local guideline which was supported by an increase in gout education to our local primary care physicians.

Objectives: To assess the impact of a local primary care gout guideline (introduced in 2015) by comparing pre-guideline audit data (2012) to post guidelines audit data (2019). To identify if the introduction of this guideline translated into a reduction in secondary care referrals for advice on gout management.

Methods: Retrospective analysis of primary care data covering all patients with active diagnosis of gout since 2015 in a large primary care medical group (list size of 30,000 patients). This data was then compared to the results of a previous audit carried out prior to introduction of guideline (2012). As well as assessing primary care data, we carried out a secondary care audit pre and post guideline introduction.

Results: Out of a population list of 30,000 patients, 650 (2.1%) cases were coded as gout. 521 (80%) were male and 129(20%) were female. 429(66%) were on ULT. 407 (94.8%) were on Allopurinol. 21(4.8%) were on Febuxostat and only 1 patient on sulfinpyrazone.

Of those on Allopurinol, 24 (5.9%) had no documented monitoring of SUA levels. Out of the remaining 383 (94.1%), 179 (46.7%) achieved target SUA of <360, compared to 16.7% in the previous audit. 204 (53.3%) had not achieve the target SUA levels. 178 (46.4%) patients had had their SUA levels checked in the last year compared to 23.5% in the previous audit. 107 (28%) had SUA levels checked in last 3 years compared to 40% in the previous audit. SUA levels were not checked in the last 3 years in 98(25.6%) compared to 36.4% in the past.

Of those on febuxostat, 14 (67%) achieved target SUA levels. 7 (33%) did not achieve target SUA levels. 10 (47%) patients had their SUA levels checked in the last year. 7(33%) had their SUA levels checked within the last 3 years and 4 (20%) had not had their SUA levels checked within the last 3 years.

No patient was prescribed more than 300mg allopurinol daily by their primary care physician despite the recommended dose being up to 900mg daily.

We found a 70% reduction in the number of referrals to secondary care for gout management over the same period of time.

Conclusion: The introduction of a local primary care gout guideline and associated education appears to have improved the number of patients achieving target serum uric acid levels as well as leading to a reduction in secondary care referrals for gout.