Cardiac complications of systemic sclerosis (SSc) are well-deservedly gaining the attention of the medical community. This increased interest is driven by technological advances in non-invasive imaging technologies such as tissue Doppler echocardiography and cardiac MR (CMR) that reveal frequent involvement of the heart and its clinical importance in SSc. Historically, autopsy studies in SSc indicate a high prevalence (>50%) of cardiac fibrosis, and clinically evident cardiac involvement has long been considered a poor prognostic marker in SSc. Indeed, the European Clinical Trials and Research Group reported that 26% of SSc disease-related mortality could be attributed to cardiac causes. However, the overall prevalence of cardiac disease in SSc is unknown, in part due to the lack of a consensus definition, classification of ‘subclinical’ cardiac involvement, and the plethora of diverse approaches employed for its detection.

The cardiac complications of SSc encompass multiple distinct entities including primary cardiac involvement manifested by diastolic dysfunction (an early manifestation of cardiac fibrosis), heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, conduction blocks and arrhythmias, myocarditis, as well as pericardial disease; and cardiac involvement secondary to systemic or pulmonary arterial hypertension, renal failure, amyloidosis and other SSc complications. The pathogenesis of SSc-associated primary cardiac involvement is not well understood, and likely encompasses small vessel damage, vasoconstriction and chronic ischaemia-reperfusion injury, cardiac inflammation and fibrosis.

Although systolic dysfunction in SSc is uncommon, diastolic dysfunction is common and predicts poor outcomes. In an observational study of 153 consecutive SSc patients, we showed that 23% had echocardiographically defined left ventricular diastolic dysfunction (often asymptomatic), and its presence was predictive of mortality. A recent study in a large and unselected cohort of SSc patients similarly found that the incidence of diastolic dysfunction was 17% at baseline, and increased to 29% during follow-up of 3.4 years. Significantly, mortality in this group was increased more than fourfold compared with that in SSc patients without evidence of diastolic dysfunction at baseline, underscoring the clinical significance of diastolic dysfunction, and the need for its early recognition.

The prevalence of cardiac involvement in SSc is even higher when screening using CMR. In a study of 62 SSc patients with no prior heart disease or coronary artery disease (CAD) risk factors, 45% had myocardial fibrosis assessed by late gadolinium-enhanced CMR. Moreover, despite the absence of significant epicardial coronary stenosis by CT coronary angiography, stress CMR perfusion imaging revealed subendocardial perfusion defects (a sign of impaired microvascular perfusion) in 79% of the patients. In a prospective study of 201 patients with SSc without known cardiac involvement, cardiac fibrosis was detected by late gadolinium enhancement CMR in 27.9%, most of whom had no evidence of cardiac abnormalities by echocardiography. The presence of late gadolinium enhancement was correlated with ventricular arrhythmias. Even without late gadolinium enhancement, the extracellular volume (ECV) fraction (a CMR index of diffuse myocardial fibrosis) is higher in SSc patients compared with controls. These observations provide strong evidence of the high prevalence of clinical—and subclinical—cardiac involvement in SSc patients.

Given the high prevalence and clinical impact of cardiac involvement in SSc, deeper understanding of its natural history and treatment are sorely needed. In this issue of Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, Valentini et al present findings from the DeSSciper (to decipher the optimal treatment of SSc) cohort study evaluating the impact of aspirin and vasodilator therapy on myocardial disease in SSc. This large multicentre observational and event-driven study enrolled 654 SSc patients from 20 sites. Patients underwent thorough cardiac monitoring (including current clinical practice), including history and physical exam at baseline and every 3 months, along with ECG, Holter and echocardiographic evaluations at baseline and every 6 months. Patients were not preselected for cardiac involvement, so the population represents a primary prevention cohort. Patients were classified as receiving vasodilator therapy if they received an ACE inhibitor, an angiotensin II receptor blocker or a calcium channel blocker in some combination. Some 20% also received targeted vasodilator therapy (prostanoids, endothelin receptor antagonists and phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors). Outcome measures included occurrence of (1) ventricular arrhythmias (considered a sign of myocardial ischaemia), (2) Q waves, cardiac blocks and/or pacemaker implantation (considered a sign of fibrosis) and (3) left ventricular ejection fraction <55% and/or congestive heart failure (considered a sign of progressive myocardial disease). The results showed that while vasodilator therapy was not associated with measured outcomes on univariate analysis, on multivariate analysis vasodilator treatment was associated with lower incidence of ventricular arrhythmia. Additionally, low-dose aspirin was associated with a lower incidence of the combined endpoint (Q waves, conduction blocks and/or pacemaker implantation) in univariate and multivariate analysis.

These important results need to be placed in the context of the limitations of the study. Foremost is the observational nature of the study. Assignment to treatment groups was not randomised, raising the distinct possibility that unmeasured differences between the treatment groups may have confounded the results. Moreover, the outcome measures selected were not standard for a cardiac outcome study, and the relatively low incidence of individual outcomes required combination into groups based on presumed (although not proven) pathophysiology. Nonetheless, the study raises interesting and timely questions regarding the management of SSc-associated cardiac disease.

First, should low-dose aspirin be routinely prescribed to SSc patients? The mechanism by which Q waves (the sine qua non for diagnosing myocardial infarction by ECG) are prevented by aspirin would presumably be via platelet inhibition or its anti-inflammatory properties. However, for the primary prevention of CAD in non-SSc cohorts, recent large trials and meta-analyses have demonstrated that the benefits of ASA do not outweigh bleeding risks except...
for haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), serial measurement of diffuse myocardial fibrosis by CMR demonstrated significant progression of ECV fraction in patients who did not undergo HSCT, while significant regression was noted in those who did receive HSCT. Furthermore, the changes in ECV were mirrored by changes in modified Rodnan Skin Score. These observations highlight the utility of CMR, and also demonstrate that cardiac fibrosis in SSc is potentially reversible.

Multiple recent studies underscore the high prevalence and significant clinical impact of cardiac involvement in SSc— but also uncover unmet needs. Consensus guidelines for screening, utilisation of advanced imaging and the appropriate management of the entire spectrum of SSc cardiac involvement—from subclinical to overt, from the myocardium to the conduction system to the pericardium—are emerging, but to date are largely based on expert opinion. To inform these guidelines, we urge more investigation in this area. Needed are basic research employing animal models of disease to understand the pathogenesis of SSc cardiac disease and identify potential therapeutic targets, and clinical research to understand the risk factors, predictors, natural history and relevant biomarkers of cardiac involvement (including both blood and imaging) for patient stratification and monitoring. Ultimately, controlled clinical trials will be required in order to demonstrate safety and efficacy of novel drugs and treatment strategies for SSc-associated cardiac involvement.
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