

## Detection of myositis-specific antibodies

It was with much interest that we read the recent European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for idiopathic inflammatory myopathies.<sup>1</sup> These criteria include Jo-1 autoantibodies, and the authors discussed that future updates of the criteria should also include the more recently identified myositis-specific autoantibodies.<sup>1,2</sup> The interest in autoantibodies for classification is also illustrated by a recent proposal for a new clinico-serological classification of adult autoimmune myositis, which is based on the association of autoantibodies with distinct clinical phenotypes.<sup>3,4</sup> For example, antibodies to synthetases (eg, Jo-1, PL-7 and PL-12) define the antisynthetase syndrome, anti-MDA-5 antibodies are associated with myositis with overlap features such as interstitial lung disease, anti-TIF-1 $\gamma$  and anti-NXP-2 define a subgroup of dermatomyositis and anti-SRP and anti-HMGR are associated with necrotising autoimmune myositis.<sup>2</sup>

As autoantibodies play a role in the newly proposed classifications,<sup>1,2</sup> it is expected that measurement of myositis-specific autoantibodies will be increasingly introduced in clinical practice. Most of the myositis-specific autoantibodies have been identified by immunoprecipitation. Alternative, easy-to-use commercial line/dot immunoassays are available. However, such assays are not standardised and may suffer from low specificity.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, these assays need to be further validated.

We evaluated a cohort of 144 patients with inflammatory myopathy (IIM) and 240 controls (blood donors, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, rheumatoid arthritis, systemic sclerosis, Sjögren's syndrome and systemic lupus erythematosus; 40 of each) for myositis-specific autoantibodies using assays from Alphadia (myositis 12 IgG dot for Bluediver) (Mons, Belgium), Euroimmun (Euroline Autoimmune Inflammatory Myopathies) (Lübeck, Germany) and Trinity Biotech (ImmcoStripe Myositis Advanced LIA) (Buffalo, New York, USA).

The results are shown in [table 1](#). We observed differences in specificity (reactivity in controls) between the manufacturers and between individual antibodies. For example, 2.9% and 2.4% of controls tested positive for anti-Jo-1 by Euroimmun and Trinity, respectively, compared with 0.4% by Alphadia. Overall, Euroimmun and Trinity showed more reactivity in controls than Alphadia, except for anti-SAE for which Euroimmun showed less reactivity in controls. Differences in reactivities between manufacturers were also observed in myositis patients, with the most pronounced difference for anti-TIF-1 $\gamma$  (2.1% with Alphadia versus 12.4% with Euroimmun and 11% with Trinity). It should be noted that even for an established marker such as anti-Jo-1 antibodies, differences between manufacturers were observed in patients with IIM. The likelihood ratio (LR) (prevalence of antibodies in patients divided by prevalence of antibodies in controls) gives a good estimate of how the test result affects the post-test probability (an LR >10 indicates a clinical significant difference in pretest to post-test probability). The LRs are shown in [table 1](#) and further illustrate differences between individual antibodies and between manufacturers.

[Table 2](#) shows the corresponding phenotype of myositis-specific antibodies in patients with IIM. The association between antisynthetase antibodies and interstitial lung disease, arthritis

and Raynaud's phenomenon was highly significant for all assays. The association of TIF-1 $\gamma$  antibodies and dermatomyositis was high for two of the three assays tested. The association of other antibodies with certain phenotypes (eg, association of TIF-1 $\gamma$  and NXP-2 with malignancy, of Mi-2 with dermatomyositis, NXP-2 with calcinosis and MDA-5 with amyopathic IIM) were weaker and differed between the assays ([table 2](#)), indicating that the assays did not perform similarly.

Taken together, as myositis-specific autoantibodies are included in classification criteria, it is important that clinicians and laboratory professionals are aware of the performance characteristics of the assays used to detect such antibodies. Initiatives to harmonise assays across manufacturers are needed.

Jean-Baptiste Vulsteke,<sup>1</sup> Ellen De Langhe,<sup>2,3</sup> Kristl G Claeys,<sup>4,5,6</sup> Doreen Dillaerts,<sup>7</sup> Koen Poesen,<sup>8,9</sup> Jan Lenaerts,<sup>2</sup> René Westhovens,<sup>2,3</sup> Philip Van Damme,<sup>4,10</sup> Daniel Blockmans,<sup>1</sup> Petra De Haes,<sup>11</sup> Xavier Bossuyt<sup>7,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of General Internal Medicine, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>Department of Rheumatology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Tissue Homeostasis and Disease, Skeletal Biology and Engineering Research Center, Department of Development and Regeneration, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>4</sup>Department of Neurology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>5</sup>Laboratory for Muscle Diseases and Neuropathies, Department of Neurosciences, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology and Institute of Neuropathology, University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

<sup>7</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Experimental Laboratory Immunology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>8</sup>Laboratory for Molecular Neurobiomarker Research, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>9</sup>Laboratory Medicine, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>10</sup>Department of Neurosciences, Experimental Neurology, VIB Center for Brain & Disease Research, Laboratory of Neurobiology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>11</sup>Department of Dermatology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

**Correspondence to** Dr Xavier Bossuyt, Laboratory Medicine, University Hospitals Gasthuisberg, Leuven 3000, Belgium; xavier.bossuyt@uzleuven.be

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank Alphadia, Euroimmun and Trinity for providing the reagents to perform this study. PVD holds a senior clinical investigatorship of FWO-Vlaanderen.

**Contributors** EDL, J-BV, KGC, KP and XB designed the study. J-BV, DD, EDL and XB analysed the data. DD performed the autoantibody assays. EDL, KGC, PDH, JL, PVD, RW and DB take care of the patients included in the study and revised the manuscript. J-BV, EDL and XB drafted the manuscript.

**Funding** This study was funded by Alphadia, D-tek, Trinity – Immco and Euroimmun.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent** Retrospective study using leftover samples.

**Ethics approval** Local Ethics Committee.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2019. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

J-BV and EDL contributed equally.



**To cite** Vulsteke J-B, De Langhe E, Claeys KG, *et al.* *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;**78**:e7.

Received 28 December 2017

Accepted 30 December 2017

Published Online First 25 January 2018



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-212948>

**Table 1** Myositis-specific and myositis-associated antibodies in 144 patients with myositis and in 240 controls

IIM	(n=144)			Contr (n=240)			# Conc pos	BD (n=40)	CIPD (n=40)	RA (n=40)	Scl (n=40)	SJs (n=40)	SLE (n=40)	LR	95%CI	P value	
	# Pos	% Pos	# Pos	# Pos	% Pos	# Pos											# Pos
Jo-1 Alpha	31	21.4	22/25/22	30	1	0.4	1/1/1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	53.5	9.1 to 298	<0.0001
Jo-1 Euroimmun	29	20.0	22	24	7	2.9	1	0	0	1	1	2	3	6.9	3.2 to 15.1	<0.0001	
Jo-1 Trinity	24	16.6			6	2.5		1	2	0	1	2	0	6.6	2.9 to 15.6	<0.0001	
PL-7 Alpha	1	0.7	0/1/0	1	0	0.0	0/0/0	0	0	0	0	0	0	∞	0.44 to ∞	0.3750	
PL-7 Euroimmun	3	2.1	1	1	2	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2.6	0.50 to 12.4	0.3680	
PL-7 Trinity	1	0.7			2	0.8		0	1	0	0	1	0	0.9	0.11 to 6.3	1.0000	
PL-12 Alpha	3	2.1	1/2/1	2	1	0.4	0/0/1	0	1	0	0	0	0	5.3	0.72 to 34.7	0.1504	
PL-12 Euroimmun	3	2.1	1	2	3	1.3	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1.6	0.39 to 7.1	0.6758	
PL-12 Trinity	3	2.1			7	2.9		2	2	0	1	2	0	0.7	0.20 to 2.5	0.7492	
EJ Alpha	3	2.1	2/2/2	2	0	0.0	0/0/0	0	0	0	0	0	0	∞	1.3 to ∞	0.0520	
EJ Euroimmun	2	1.4	2	1	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	∞	0.87 to ∞	0.1400	
EJ Trinity	2	1.4			2	0.8		0	1	0	0	0	1	1.8	0.30 to 9.4	0.6326	
SRP Alpha	3	2.1	1/3/1	1	0	0.0	0/0/0	0	0	0	0	0	0	∞	1.3 to ∞	0.0520	
SRP Euroimmun	4	2.8	1	3	4	1.7	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1.6	0.46 to 6.0	0.4799	
SRP Trinity	2	1.4			2	0.8		0	1	0	0	1	0	1.8	0.30 to 9.4	0.6326	
Mi-2 Alpha	8	5.5	6/7/6	7	0	0.0	0/0/0	0	0	0	0	0	0	∞	3.5 to ∞	0.0003	
Mi-2a Euroimmun	8	5.5	7	2	3	1.3	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	4.2	1.3 to 15.3	0.0231	
Mi-2b Euroimmun	7	4.8			1	0.4		0	0	0	0	0	1	12.0	1.9 to 72.3	0.0052	
Mi-2 Trinity	9	6.2			1	0.4		0	0	0	0	0	1	15.5	2.5 to 91.1	0.0008	
MDA-5 Alpha	7	4.8	4/7/4	6	0	0.0	0/0/0	0	0	0	0	0	0	∞	3.1 to ∞	0.0010	
MDA-5 Euroimmun	10	6.9	5	7	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	∞	4.4 to ∞	<0.0001	
MDA-5 Trinity	10	6.9			5	2.1		0	1	1	0	0	3	3.3	1.2 to 9.2	0.0267	
TIF1γ Alpha	3	2.1	3/3/3	2	2	0.8	0/0/0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2.6	0.50 to 12.4	0.3680	
TIF1γ Euroimmun	18	12.4	8	6	3	1.3	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	9.5	3.0 to 29.8	<0.0001	
TIF1 (γ+α) Trinity	16	11.0			22	9.2		3	2	1	2	6	8	1.2	0.66 to 2.2	0.5974	
HMGR Alpha	7	4.8			7	0.0		0	0	0	0	0	0	∞	3.1 to ∞	0.0010	
Ro52 Alpha	32	22.1	26/29/26	27	57	23.8	53/57/53	0	0	1	3	36	17	0.9	0.61 to 1.3	0.6160	
Ro52 Euroimmun	39	26.9	33	33	79	32.9	70	0	6	2	12	38	21	0.8	0.58 to 1.1	0.1785	
Ro52 Trinity	36	24.8			89	37.1		2	9	3	16	39	20	0.7	0.47 to 0.91	0.0095	
SAE1/SAE2 Alpha	5	3.4	2/3/3	3	3	1.3	0/0/0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2.6	0.74 to 10.4	0.1572	
SAE1 Euroimmun	4	2.8	2	2	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	∞	1.8 to ∞	0.0193	
SAE1 Trinity	6	4.1			3	1.3		0	0	2	0	1	0	3.2	0.93 to 12.0	0.0854	
NXP-2 Alpha	8	5.5	3/4/5	5	1	0.4	0/1/0	0	0	0	0	1	0	13.8	2.2 to 81.7	0.0021	
NXP-2 Euroimmun	5	3.4	3	4	3	1.3	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2.6	0.74 to 10.4	0.1572	
MORC3 Trinity	7	4.8			2	0.8		1	0	0	0	1	0	6.0	1.4 to 24.5	0.0297	
OJ Euroimmun	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
OJ Trinity	0	0.0			0	0.0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Continued

Table 1 Continued

	IIM (n=144)		# Conc pos	# Conc off	>3x cut- off	Contr (n=240)		# Conc pos	BD (n=40)	CIPD (n=40)	RA (n=40)	Scl (n=40)	SJS (n=40)	SLE (n=40)		IIM versus contr	
	# Pos	% Pos				# Pos	% Pos							# Pos	LR		95% CI
PM75 Euroimmun	7	4.8	4	13	1	5.4	5	2	0	0	0	6	3	2	0.9	0.38 to 2.1	1.0000
Pm-Scl75 Trinity	7	4.8	5	16	0	6.7	5	3	3	1	4	4	3	2	0.7	0.31 to 1.7	0.5148
PM100 Euroimmun	7	4.8	5	8	0	3.3	5	0	3	0	3	3	1	1	1.5	0.56 to 3.8	0.5875
PM-Scl100 Trinity	11	7.6	3	17	3	7.1	4	3	5	1	5	5	2	1	1.1	0.53 to 2.2	0.8416
Ku Euroimmun	4	2.8	3	6	3	2.5	4	0	1	1	1	1	0	3	1.1	0.34 to 3.6	1.0000
Ku Trinity	3	2.1	3	5	2	2.1	4	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	1.0	0.27 to 3.7	1.0000
U1 snRNP 68 Trinity	1	0.7	1	4	1	1.7	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0.4	0.06 to 2.7	0.6544
U1 snRNP A Trinity	3	2.1	3	11	4	4.6	1	0	0	1	2	2	0	8	0.5	0.14 to 1.5	0.2672
U1 snRNP C Trinity	5	3.4	5	14	5	5.8	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	9	0.6	0.23 to 1.5	0.3424
U2 snRNP A' Trinity	2	1.4	2	4	1	1.7	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0.8	0.18 to 3.8	1.0000
U2 snRNP B' Trinity	2	1.4	2	6	2	2.5	1	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	0.6	0.13 to 2.4	0.7154
Fibrillarin Trinity	1	0.7	1	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	∞	0.44 to ∞	0.3750
KS Trinity	3	2.1	3	1	1	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5.3	0.72 to 34.7	0.1504
CN-1A Trinity	19	13.1	19	25	10.4	10.4	19	0	3	3	4	4	8	7	1.3	0.73 to 2.2	0.4080

For each antibody, the number of positive samples (and percentage) is given for myositis patients and controls as well as the number of positive samples with a value higher than 3 times the cut-off (for patients with myositis). The number of concordant (conc) results is given as follows: on the line that describes the AlphaDia results, concordance between all three assays (first number), between AlphaDia and Euroimmun (second number) and between AlphaDia and Trinity (third number) is given. On the line that describes the Euroimmun results, concordance between Euroimmun and Trinity is given. The likelihood ratio (LR) is given as well as the association between each of the antibodies and IIM (evaluated by  $\chi^2$  testing or Fisher's exact test (if cell size was <10) using Analyse-it for Excel). Patients with IIM (female/male: 80/64) (median age at diagnosis 53 years; age range 3–84 years) included dermatomyositis (n=57), polymyositis (n=48), antisynthetase syndrome (n=15), necrotising myositis (n=6), clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis (n=7), sporadic inclusion body myositis, overlap and undifferentiated myositis (n=9) and undefined (n=2). Diagnosis was based on a combination of clinically significant muscle weakness, elevated creatine kinase levels, electromyography, muscle biopsy (available in 90 of 144 patients) and/or skin manifestations. Demographic data (female/male, median age (age range) of the controls were 149/91, 57 years (16–85 years); 1723, 56 years (18–69 years) for the blood donors; 8/32, 56 years (32–75 years) for the chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIPD); 30/10, 57 years (26–75 years) for rheumatoid arthritis (RA); 25/15, 61 years (16–85 years) for systemic sclerosis (SSc); 35/5, 52 years (16–85 years) for Sjögren's syndrome (SjS); and 34/6, 47 years (25–84 years) for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). IIM, inflammatory myopathy.

**Table 2** Association of myositis-specific antibodies with clinical phenotype in patients with IIM

Phenotype	Marker	Alphadia				P value	Euroimmun				P value	Trinity				P value
		Pos	Pos	Neg	Neg		Pos	Pos	Neg	Neg		Pos	Pos	Neg	Neg	
		Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg		Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg		Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	
Malignancy	Tif1- $\gamma$	1	2	10	131	0.2135	3	14	8	119	0.1240	4	12	7	121	0.0212
	NXP-2 (MORC3)	2	6	9	127	0.1155	1	4	10	129	0.3318	1	6	10	127	0.4337
DM skin lesions	Mi-2	6	2	53	83	0.0638	6	5	53	80	0.3582	5	4	54	81	0.4873
	TIF- $\gamma$	3	0	56	85	0.0667	16	1	43	84	<0.0001	14	2	45	83	<0.0001
	NXP-2 (MORC3)	4	4	55	81	0.7166	3	2	56	83	0.4003	4	3	55	82	0.4449
	MDA-5	5	2	54	83	0.1228	8	2	51	83	0.0159	6	4	53	81	0.3176
	SAE	4	1	55	84	0.1590	4	0	55	85	0.0265	4	2	55	83	0.2273
Amyopathic DM	MDA-5	2	5	5	132	0.0380	2	8	5	129	0.0758	2	8	5	129	0.0758
Calcinosis	NXP-2 (MORC3)	2	6	7	129	0.0801	2	3	7	132	0.0316	7	5	2	130	<0.0001
Inclusion body myositis	CN-1A											1	18	5	120	0.5791
Arthritis	Jo-1	14	17	10	103	<0.0001	13	16	11	104	<0.0001	10	14	14	106	0.0003
	Jo-1, PL7, PL12 or EJ	16	21	8	99	<0.0001	16	21	8	99	0.0001	12	18	12	102	0.0004
ILD	Jo-1	19	12	13	98	<0.0001	19	10	13	100	<0.0001	15	9	17	101	<0.0001
	Jo-1, PL7, PL12 or EJ	22	15	10	95	<0.0001	23	17	9	93	<0.0001	17	13	15	97	<0.0001
Raynaud	Jo-1	12	18	13	99	0.0003	13	15	12	102	<0.0001	9	14	16	103	0.0063
	Jo-1, PL7, PL12 or EJ	16	20	9	97	<0.0001	17	22	8	95	<0.0001	11	18	14	99	0.0013

The association was evaluated by  $\chi^2$  testing or Fisher's exact test (if cell size was <10) using Analyse-it for Excel. IIM, inflammatory myopathy.

*Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;**78**:e7. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212915

## REFERENCES

- Lundberg IE, Tjärnlund A, Bottai M, *et al.* European League Against Rheumatism/ American College of Rheumatology Classification Criteria for Adult and Juvenile Idiopathic Inflammatory Myopathies and Their Major Subgroups. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;2017:1955–64.
- Lundberg IE, Tjärnlund A. Response to: '2017 EULAR/ACR classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups: little emphasis on autoantibodies, why?' by Malaviya. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212709 (Epub ahead of print: 15 Dec 2017).
- Malaviya AN. EULAR/ACR classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups: little emphasis on autoantibodies why? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;212701.
- Senécal JL, Raynaud JP, Troyanov Y. Editorial: a new classification of adult autoimmune myositis. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2017;69:878–84.