RESPONSIVENESS OF PATIENT REPORTED OUTCOMES MEASUREMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (PROMIS®) COMPUTERIZED ADAPTIVE TESTS (CATS) IN SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS (SLE)
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Background: The accurate measurement of patient reported outcomes is a priority for patient-centered care in SLE, a chronic systemic disease with significant impact on quality of life. PROMIS CATs are precise measures of physical, mental, and social health with construct validity in SLE. The longitudinal responsiveness (sensitivity to change) of PROMIS CATs in SLE patients is unknown.

Objectives: To evaluate the responsiveness of PROMIS CATs in SLE outpatients using patient and physician-derived anchors.

Methods: Adult SLE patients were recruited from an SLE Center of Excellence. Subjects completed 14 selected PROMIS CATs at two visits a minimum of one month apart. SLE disease activity was measured with a patient global assessment of change, a physician global assessment of change, and the physician-derived SELENA-SLEDAI. Responsiveness of PROMIS scores was evaluated using known-groups validity. Effect sizes were compared across groups of patients who differed in their patient global assessment of change, physician global assessment, and SELENA-SLEDAI using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests.

Results: A diverse cohort of 228 SLE patients, including 45 (19%) patients flaring by SELENA-SLEDAI, completed baseline surveys. Follow-up surveys were completed by 190 (83%). There was poor agreement between patient and physician global assessments of change, a physician global assessment of change, and the physician-derived SELENA-SLEDAI. Responsiveness of PROMIS scores was evaluated using known-groups validity. Effect sizes were compared across groups of patients who differed in their patient global assessment of change, physician global assessment, and SELENA-SLEDAI using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests.

Conclusions: PROMIS CATs showed modest responsiveness to patient-reported outcomes, but generally not physician-derived changes in lupus health status in domains of anger, pain interference, and physical function. These data suggest that certain PROMIS CATs are precise and sensitive tools which may be used to measure and monitor important aspects of the patient experience of lupus not captured by physician-derived metrics. Further studies are needed to evaluate the responsiveness of PROMIS CATs in populations with greater SLE disease activity and more regular follow-up for patients with SLE.
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PREVALENCE AND SEROLOGICAL PROFILE OF ANTI-DFS70 POSITIVE SUBJECTS: DATA FROM A ROUTINE ANA COHORT
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Background: Anti-Dense Fucose Speckled 70 (DFS70) antibodies are a common finding in clinical laboratory referrals. High prevalence of DFS70 autoantibodies in healthy population and usual negative association with Antinuclear Antibody (ANA)-associated autoimmune rheumatic diseases were reported.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of anti-DFS70 antibodies in a routine diagnostic laboratory setting and their association with other circulating serum autoantibodies.

Methods: Consecutive sera submitted for ANA screening were analyzed for anti-DFS70 antibodies by indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) (n=3175, 1030 men and 2145 women) then confirmed by Immunoblotting. IIF DFS70 positive adult patients were recruited previous written consent and tested for the following autoantibodies: anti-ENA, anti-dsDNA, -anti-TPO, -anti-TG, aCL, anti-PCA, AMA, ASMA, anti-LKM, anti-MPO, anti-PR3 and ASCAs.

Results: The prevalence of anti-DFS70 antibodies was 1.7% (n=55) in the whole population and 4.6% in the ANA-positive samples. Comparison between DFS70 IIF and Immunoblotting showed an excellent correlation between the two methods (R=0.99). Analysis of anti-DFS70 antibodies titer distribution revealed that 63% of the total cohort showed high titters (≥1:640). Gender difference (female: male, 4:1) was observed in anti-DFS70 positive group and in anti-DFS70 negative/ANA positive group. The prevalence of anti-DFS70 positive female (2.1%, 45/2145) was statistically significant higher than males (1.0%, 10/1030) (p<0.05). The comparison among referring sources evidenced a prevalence of anti-DFS70 positive subjects from Endocrinology Department (9.1% versus 2.6% from Hematology, 2.1% from outpatients, 1.6% from Neurology, 1.2% from Internal Medicine, 1% from Cardiology, 0.6% from Rheumatology). Of note, our data evidenced isolated reactivity of anti-DFS70 autoantibodies in males group, while 51% of females showed concomitant disease-marker autoantibodies.

Conclusions: We found a prevalence of anti-DFS70 antibodies in adult sera from routine ANA cohort of 1.7%. The serological profile of DFS70-positive females required further investigations in order to define the presence of serum autoantibodies. Anti-DFS70 reactivity in male population may represent an exclusive biomarker predicting the absence of other autoantibodies.
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VALIDITY OF THREE 0–10 VISUAL ANALOG SCALES (VAS) FOR QUANTITATIVE PHYSICIAN ASSESSMENT OF INFLAMMATION, DAMAGE, AND DISTRESS TO SUPPLEMENT A PHYSICIAN GLOBAL ASSESSMENT 0–10 VAS
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Background: Rheumatologists generally view their primary goal as control of inflammation in order to prevent long-term damage, and quantitative assessment involves measures of inflammatory activity (lab tests, joint counts, and indices). Although structural damage and patient distress (fibromyalgia, depression, etc.) are widely recognized, these problems generally are described narratively, and not assessed quantitatively. Recent advances in control of inflammation, as well as increased degenerative diseases in an aging population and recognition of a high prevalence of fibromyalgia, may have shifted rheumatologists’ patient mix more prominently toward damage and distress vs inflammation.

Objectives: To analyze physician global assessment (DOGCL) on a 0–10 visual analog scales (VAS), and 3 additional 0–10 VAS for inflammation, damage, and distress, as well as estimates of the proportion of each to explain DOGCL.

Methods: Rheumatologists at one academic site complete a 0–10 DOGCL VAS, 3 further 0–10 VAS to assess inflammation (reversible disease) (DOCINF), joint and other organ damage (irreversible disease) (DOCDAM), and patient distress (fibromyalgia, depression, etc.) (DOCSTR), in routine care. The proportion of DOGCL attributed to inflammation, damage, and distress (total=100%) also is estimated. Mean values were analyzed in a cross-sectional study of 570 patients, and compared in subgroups with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), osteoarthritis (OA), or fibromyalgia (FM), using tests and analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Results: Mean DOGCL VAS was 4.4/10 in all patients, 4.4 in 131 with OA, 4.6 in 98 with RA, and 5.2 in 89 with FM (table 1). Highest mean scores were seen for subscale VAS for inflammation, damage, and distress, as well as estimates of the proportion of each to explain DOGCL.

Conclusions: The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of anti-DFS70 antibodies in a routine diagnostic laboratory setting and their association with other circulating serum autoantibodies.

Methods: Consecutive sera submitted for ANA screening were analyzed for anti-DFS70 antibodies by indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) (n=3175, 1030 men and 2145 women) then confirmed by Immunoblotting. IIF DFS70 positive adult patients were recruited previous written consent and tested for the following autoantibodies: anti-ENA, anti-dsDNA, -anti-TPO, -anti-TG, aCL, anti-PCA, AMA, ASMA, anti-LKM, anti-MPO, anti-PR3 and ASCAs.

Results: The prevalence of anti-DFS70 antibodies was 1.7% (n=55) in the whole population and 4.6% in the ANA-positive samples. Comparison between DFS70 IIF and Immunoblotting showed an excellent correlation between the two methods (R=0.99). Analysis of anti-DFS70 antibodies titer distribution revealed that 63% of the total cohort showed high titters (≥1:640). Gender difference (female: male, 4:1) was observed in anti-DFS70 positive group and in anti-DFS70 negative/ANA positive group. The prevalence of anti-DFS70 positive female (2.1%, 45/2145) was statistically significant higher than males (1.0%, 10/1030) (p<0.05). The comparison among referring sources evidenced a prevalence of anti-DFS70 positive subjects from Endocrinology Department (9.1% versus 2.6% from Hematology, 2.1% from outpatients, 1.6% from Neurology, 1.2% from Internal Medicine, 1% from Cardiology, 0.6% from Rheumatology). Of note, our data evidenced isolated reactivity of anti-DFS70 autoantibodies in males group, while 51% of females showed concomitant disease-marker autoantibodies.

Conclusions: We found a prevalence of anti-DFS70 antibodies in adult sera from routine ANA cohort of 1.7%. The serological profile of DFS70-positive females required further investigations in order to define the presence of serum autoantibodies. Anti-DFS70 reactivity in male population may represent an exclusive biomarker predicting the absence of other autoantibodies.
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