enzyme mRNAs involved in SPM synthesis. Even more striking was a pronounced effect of Tr14 at 12–36 hours on Fpr1 and Fpr2 mRNAs, which are the transmembrane receptors for the SPM lipid mediators. Consistent with elevated levels of enzymes regulating SPM synthesis, and SPR receptors, there was a noticeable decrease in the mRNA levels of the p65/RelA subunit of NFκB at 72–96 hours. NFκB is a critical transcription factor in inflammation, regulating numerous cytokines and chemokines.

Conclusions: Tr14 and diclofenac had very different effects on the SPM synthetic pathway after cutaneous wounding. Tr14 stimulated mRNA levels of several key regulators of SPM synthesis, and had a marked effect on the mRNA levels of the SPM receptors. Tr14, not diclofenac, suppressed mRNA levels for NFκB subunit p65/RelA, which may explain some of the anti-inflammatory and proresolving properties of Tr14.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-eular.3789

AB0081

LOW LIPOCALIN-2 IN SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS PREGNANCIES- A POSSIBLE MECHANISM FOR LOSS OF TOLERANCE

T.T. Pedersen¹, M.H. Fenstad², B. Jakobsen¹, H.S. Koksvik¹, T.S. Moksnes¹, M. Wallenius¹, T.H. Flo³, M. Haug³. ¹Norwegian National Advisory Unit on Pregnancy and Rheumatic diseases; ²Dept. of Immunology and Transfusion Medicine, St. Olavs Hospital; ³Centre of Molecular Inflammation Research and Dept. of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway

Background: Lipocalin-2 (LCN2) has become increasingly relevant as a potential clinical biomarker of rheumatic diseases.¹ The biological role of LCN2 in the adaptive immunity is less understood. It has been shown that LCN2 can induce immune tolerance by upregulation of human leukocyte antigen G (HLA-G) expression and by expansion of T-regulatory cells.² LCN2-deficient mice have been found to be more susceptible to induction of autoimmunity.³ Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a disease associated with loss of tolerance. Pregnancy complications seen in SLE are also regarded as a consequence of immune dysregulation. LCN2 might therefore play a role as an immune modulator in SLE-pregnancies.

Objectives: The study objective was to obtain a better understanding of immune regulation in pregnant women with SLE. In this study, we analysed serum LCN2 and clinical parameters in women with RA, SLE and healthy controls during pregnancy and postpartum.

Methods: The Norwegian National Advisory Unit on Pregnancy and Rheumatic Diseases collects serum samples in a biobank from women with inflammatory rheumatic diseases. Samples were obtained before pregnancy, in each trimester and 6 weeks, 6 months and 12 months postpartum for pregnant women with SLE (n=28), RA (n=34) and healthy pregnant controls (n=19). A sandwich ELISA was used to measure LCN2 in the serum samples. The biobank database was linked to RevNatus, a Norwegian quality registry collecting comprehensive clinical data about these women.

Results: Our cohort of pregnant women with SLE and RA had low disease activity throughout pregnancy and 67%–95% used medication (table 1). LCN2 levels in serum samples from women with SLE were found significantly lower compared to samples from women with RA and healthy controls at all time-points (p<0.05) (graph).

Abstract AB0081 – Table 1. Disease activity and medication

	SLE patients			RA patients		
	1st trimester	2nd trimester	3rd trimester	1st trimester	2nd trimester	3rd trimester
Disease activity						
LAI-P Mean (range) [SD]	0,04 (0–0,25) [0,08]	0,02 (0–0,16) [0,05]	0,005 (0–0,08) [0,02]			
DAS28 Mean (range) [SD]				2,34 (1,79–3,91) [0,76]	2,57 (1,42–5,02) [1,06]	2,57 (1,79–4,90) [0,94]
Medication						
Prednisolone, receiving (%) (dose range [mg])	17 (2,5–7,5)	48 (2,5–7,5)	48 (2,5–10)	28 (2,5–10)	33 (2,5–15)	38 (2,5–12,5)
Other immunosuppressive receiving (>1*) (%)	96 (33)	91 (39)	95 (43)	67 (11)	76 (5)	80 (10)

*>1: more than one of the following: SLE: hydroxychloroquine; azathioprine, RA: sulfasalazine, methotrexate, hydroxychloroquine, TNF inhibitors

Abstract AB0081 – Figure 1

Conclusions: Pregnant women with SLE had lower levels of LCN2 compared to pregnant women with RA and healthy controls. Our cohort of women had well controlled disease, making it likely that our findings represent inherent biological differences rather than effects of disease activity. Low LCN2 levels can be a possible mechanism for loss of tolerance seen in SLE patients during pregnancy.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Abella V. Biomarkers 2015;20(8):565–71.
- [2] La Manna G. PLoS One 2014 Feb 27;9(2).
- [3] Pawar RD. Clin Immunol 2014 Sep;154(1):49–65.

Acknowledgements: This study is supported by grants from St. Olavs Hospital and Norsk Revmatikerforbund.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-eular.3637

AB0082

CLINICALLY RELEVANT DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN DIFFERENT RHEUMATOID FACTOR ASSAYS

W. Falkenburg^{1,2}, H. von Richthofen¹, J. Koers¹, C. Weykamp³, M. Schreurs⁴, L. Bakker-Jonges⁵, I.-A. Haagen⁶, W. Lems⁷, D. Hamann⁸, D. van Schaardenburg⁷, T. Rispens¹. ¹Immunopathology, Sanquin Research; ²Rheumatology, Amsterdam Rheumatology and immunology Center, Amsterdam; ³Queen Beatrix Hospital, Winterswijk; ⁴Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam; ⁵Reinier de Graaf Groep, Delft; ⁶Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis; ⁷Amsterdam Rheumatology and immunology Center; ⁸Sanquin Research, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Background: Accurate measurements of rheumatoid factors (RFs), autoantibodies binding IgG, are important for diagnosing rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and for predicting disease course. Worldwide, various RF assays are being used that differ in technique and target antigens.

Objectives: To study whether assay choice leads to clinically important discrepancies in RF status and level.

Methods: RF measurements using 4 commercial RF assays were compared in 32 RF+ samples. Using ELISAs, the influence of the target antigen source—human IgG (hIgG) versus rabbit IgG (rIgG)—on measured RF levels was investigated in arthralgia patients and RA patients.

Results: Substantial discrepancies were found between RF levels measured in the four commercial assays. 6 samples (19%) with RF levels below or slightly above the cut-off in an rIgG-based assay were RF+ in three assays using hIgG as the target antigen, some with very high levels. Direct ELISA comparisons of RF reactivity against hIgG and rIgG estimated that among 173 ACPA+ arthralgia patients, originally RF negative in rIgG-based assays, up to 10% were single positive against hIgG. Monoclonal RFs binding to hIgG and rIgG or hIgG only supported these findings. In a cohort of 69 early RA patients, virtually all RF responses reacted with both targets, although levels were still variable.

Conclusions: The use of RF assays that differ in technique and target antigen, together with the different specificities of RF responses, leads to discrepancies in RF status and levels. This has important consequences for patient care if RA diagnosis and disease progression assessments are based on RF test results.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-eular.1477