and survival analysis, were used to investigate associations between hip shape modes and change in cartilage volume, incident BMLs, worsening knee pain and left KR respectively. All models were adjusted for baseline age, sex, BMI, knee injury or surgery and hip radiographic osteoarthritis (ROA), while the KR model was additionally adjusted for WOMAC pain and knee ROA.

**Results:** Ten hip shape modes were identified, describing 78% of the total shape variance in descending order from mode 01 (31% variance) to mode 10 (1.82% variance). Hip shapes with a larger greater trochanter (mode 07) were associated with lower knee cartilage volume loss (Beta=0.214, 95% CI:0.17,0.21), while a shorter and narrower femoral neck shape (mode 09) was related to increased volume loss (Beta=-3.86, 95% CI: -6.16,-1.56). Increasingly non-spherical femoral head (mode 04) was associated with an increased risk of incident BMLs (RR:1.19, 95% CI:1.07,1.34). Those with a longer, wider femoral neck and a larger femoral head (mode 01) had an increased risk of worsening knee pain (RR:1.33, 95% CI:1.04,1.66), whereas those with a smooth curving upper femoral neck (mode 09) had a lower risk of worsening knee pain (RR:0.78, 95% CI:0.70,0.90). A larger greater trochanter and wider femoral neck shape (mode 08) was associated with an increased risk of KR (RR:1.73, 95% CI:1.18,2.52), while increasing acetabular coverage (mode 10) was associated with a lower risk of KR (RR:0.54, 95% CI:0.36,0.8).

**Conclusions:** Hip shape variations were associated with significant MRI-based and clinical outcomes in knee over 10.7 years, possibly due to biomechanical, lifestyle or other factors related to both joints. These results suggest that hip shape may play an important role in the onset and progression of knee osteoarthritis over time.
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**EFFECTS OF EDUCATION AND INCOME ON PREVALENCE, INCIDENCE, AND PROGRESSION OF RADIOGRAPHIC KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS: AN ANALYSIS OF THE OSTEARTHRITIS INITIATIVE DATA**

I. Shirinsky, V. Shirinsky, Research Institute Of Fundamental And Clinical Immunology, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

**Background:** Low socioeconomic status (SES) is one of the strongest predictors of morbidity and mortality from many chronic diseases including cardiovascular diseases, obesity, and diabetes. Currently, there is insufficient data regarding impact of SES on knee osteoarthritis (OA).

**Objectives:** To evaluate the associations between education, income levels and prevalence, incidence, and progression of radiographic knee OA

**Methods:** For the current analysis we used data from the publically available Osteoarthritis Initiative (OAI) database. The education status of the participants was dichotomized into either low/moderate or high educational attainment. The income status was dichotomized using 50 K US threshold. A baseline sample was used to analyse the impact of SES on knee OA prevalence (prevalence sample). To evaluate the effects of SES on knee OA incidence and progression we analysed the samples of OA participants with KL =2 at baseline (incidence sample) and OA participants with JSN <3 at baseline (progression sample), respectively.

**Results:** Prevalence, incidence and progression samples consisted of 4371 participants (8741 knees), 2268 participants (4535 knees), and 3950 participants (4013 knees), respectively. Higher education attainment and higher income were associated with decreased prevalence of the knee OA in the crude analyses. After adjustment for confounders these associations became insignificant (Table). There was no effect of SES on incidence and progression of the knee OA.

**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.8 (0.7–0.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.05 (0.9–1.18)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.8 (0.7–0.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.05 (0.9–1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>0.71 (0.64–0.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1 (0.87–1.4)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.71 (0.64–0.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1 (0.87–1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR – odds ratio, CI – confidence interval

**Conclusions:** Higher levels of education and income are linked with decreased prevalence of radiographic knee OA. Lack of this association after adjustment suggests confounding or mediating effects of other risk factors. Future studies are needed to delineate the precise mechanisms of how SES impact knee OA.
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**ADJUSTING FOR THE INTRA-ARTICULAR PLACEBO EFFECT IN KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS THERAPIES**
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**Background:** Currently, there is a large debate regarding the appropriateness of intra-articular (IA)-saline injection as a “placebo” comparator in knee osteoarthritis (OA) trials and meta-analyses. There is substantial evidence to suggest that the injection of saline into the joint is not without treatment effect.

**Objectives:** This study aimed to assess the current literature’s estimates of the IA-saline treatment effect against a range of appropriate minimal clinically important difference (MCID) values to identify if IA-saline provides a therapeutic effect that is not indicative of a null-effect.

**Methods:** The treatment effect estimates of IA-saline and topical placebo for knee OA pain, relative to oral placebo, were derived from a published network meta-analysis Bannuru et al, 2015 and compared across a range of plausible