SAT0457

SJÖGREN BIG DATA PROJECT, THE FIRST EXAMPLE OF DATA SHARING IN AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES: ANALYSIS OF 10475 WORLDWIDE PATIENTS

S. Retamozo^{1,2,3}, N. Acar-Denizli⁴, W. Fai Ng⁵, M. Zeher⁶, A. Rasmussen⁷, T. Mandi⁸, R. Seror⁹, X. Li¹⁰, C. Baldini¹¹, J.-E. Gottenberg¹², D. Danda¹³, L. Quartuccio¹⁴, A. Minniti¹⁵, G. Hernandez-Molina¹⁶, U. Kalyoncu¹⁷, A.A. Kruize¹⁸, S.-K. Kwok¹⁹, M. Wahren-Herlenius²⁰, S. Praprotnik²¹, D. Sene²², E. Bartoloni²³, R. Solans²⁴, M. Rischmueller²⁵, Y. Suzuki²⁶, D. Isenberg²⁷, V. Valim²⁸, P. Wiland²⁹, G. Nordmark³⁰, G. Fraile³¹, H. Bootsma³², T. Nakamura³³, R. Giacomelli³⁴, V. Devauchelle-Pensec³⁵, B. Hofauer³⁶, M. Bombardieri³⁷, V. Fernandes Moça Trevisani³⁸, D. Hammenfors³⁹, S.G. Pasoto⁴⁰, T.A. Gheita⁴¹, F. Atzeni⁴², J. Morel⁴³, C. Vollenweider⁴⁴, P. Brito-Zerón^{1,45}, M. Ramos-Casals¹.
¹H. Clinic, IDIBAPS, Barcelona, Spain; ²Hosp Univ Córdoba, IUCBC; ³INICSA, UNC, CONICET, Córdoba, Argentina; ⁴Mimar Sinan Univ, Istanbul, Turkey; ⁵Newcastle Univ, NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle, UK; ⁶Debrecen Univ, Debrecen, Hungary; ⁷Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma, USA; ⁸Malmö Hosp, Lund Univ, Lund, Sweden; ⁹Université Paris Sud, INSERM, Paris, France; ¹⁰Anhui Provincial Hosp, Hefei, China; ¹¹Pisa Univ, Pisa, Italy; ¹²Strasbourg Univ, CNRS, Strasbourg, France; ¹³Christian Medical College and Hosp, Vellore, India; ¹⁴Hosp "Santa Maria della Misericordia", Udine; ¹⁵Sapienza Univ, Rome, Italy; ¹⁶INCMNS, Mexico, Mexico; ¹⁷Hacettepe Univ, Ankara, Turkey; ¹⁸Univ Medical Center, Utrecht, Netherlands; ¹⁹Catholic Univ of Korea, Seoul, Korea, Republic Of; ²⁰Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; ²¹Univ Medical Centre, Ljubljana, Slovenia; ²²Univ Paris VII Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, 2, Paris, France; ²³Perugia Univ, Perugia, Italy; ²⁴H. Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; ²⁵Western Australia Univ, Crawley, Australia; ²⁶Kanazawa Univ Hosp, Ishikawa, Japan; ²⁷University College, London, UK; ²⁸Federal Univ Espírito Santo, Vitória, Brazil; ²⁹Wrocław Medical Hospital, Wrocław, Poland; ³⁰Uppsala Univ, Uppsala, Sweden; ³¹H. Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain; ³²Univ Medical Center, Groningen, Netherlands; ³³Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan; ³⁴Aquila Univ, L'Aquila, Italy; ³⁵Brest Univ Hosp, CERAINO, Brest, France; ³⁶Technische Univ, München, Germany; ³⁷Queen Mary Univ, London, UK; ³⁸Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; ³⁹Haukeland Univ Hosp, Bergen, Norway; ⁴⁰Hospital das Clínicas, USP, São Paulo, Brazil; ⁴¹Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt; ⁴²Messina and Milan Univ, Milan, Italy; ⁴³Hosp and Univ of Montpellier, Montpellier, France; ⁴⁴German Hosp, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ⁴⁵H. CIMA- Sanitas, Barcelona, Spain

Objectives: To take a "high-definition" picture of the main features of primary Sjögren syndrome (SjS) following a worldwide data-sharing cooperative merging of international clinical SjS databases.

Methods: The Big Data Sjögren Project Consortium is an international, multi-centre registry created in 2014 including leading clinical centres in SjS of the 5 continents that shared a harmonised data architecture and conducted cooperative online efforts to refine collected data of primary SjS patients fulfilling the 2002 classification criteria.

Results: By January 2018, the participant centres had included 10 475 patients from 22 countries, including 7637 (73%) patients from Europe, 1420 (14%) from America, 1186 (11%) from Asia, 167 (1.4%) from Australia and 65 (0.6%) from Africa. The cohort included 9781 (93%) women and 694 (7%) men, with a mean age at diagnosis of primary SjS of 53 years. The frequencies of fulfilment of the 2002 criteria were 92% for dry eye, 94% for dry mouth, 83% for abnormal ocular tests, 82% for positive minor salivary gland biopsy, 78% for abnormal oral diagnostic tests and 76% for positive anti-Ro/La antibodies. The frequency of positive immunological markers at diagnosis was 79% for ANA, 73% for anti-Ro, 49% for RF, 45% for anti-La, 13% for low C3 levels, 14% for low C4 levels and 7% for cryoglobulins.

Conclusions: International data sharing-based projects merging disperse clinical registries may be essential tools to increase current knowledge and to improve patient care in specific systemic autoimmune diseases.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-eular.6018

SAT0458

URINE SOLUBLE UROKINASE PLASMINOGEN ACTIVATOR RECEPTOR AS A POTENTIAL BIOMARKER OF LUPUS NEPHRITIS ACTIVITY

S. Burcsár¹, G. Toldi², B. Szalay³, B. Vászárhelyi³, L. Kovács¹, A. Balog¹.
¹Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Albert Szent-Györgyi Health Center, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary; ²Neonatal Unit, Birmingham Women's and Children's Hospital, Birmingham, UK; ³Department of Laboratory Medicine, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

Background: Over the recent years, soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (suPAR) has been described as a valuable indicator of the activation state of the immune system. Our previous work supported that suPAR might be an objective marker for identifying systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients with active disease. Urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR) expression is also induced in podocytes during renal diseases such as focal segmental

glomerulosclerosis (FSGS). In addition, levels of suPAR were found to be elevated in paediatric and adult patients with FSGS. Current noninvasive laboratory and clinical measures of lupus nephritis (LN) activity are not sensitive or specific enough to reliably measure the course of LN. Improved, noninvasive biomarkers are needed to accurately detect LN activity.

Objectives: To evaluate suPAR in urine as a potential biomarker of renal system-specific disease activity in SLE.

Methods: A prospective follow-up study was designed. 14 SLE patients with newly diagnosed LN and 31 healthy individuals were enrolled in this study. LN was the leading symptom of all SLE patients. Further organ involvements including arthritis, leucopenia, serositis, fever and rash also occurred at the time of LN diagnosis. 12 out of 14 LN were confirmed by renal biopsy. Urine samples were taken before the initiation of LN induction therapy, and monthly thereafter (6 to 11 times). Global and renal disease activity were defined using the SLE Disease Activity Index 2000 (SLEDAI-2K) and the SLEDAI-2K renal domain score. suPAR concentrations in the urine were measured with the suPARnostic Flex ELISA assay. Non-parametric testing was used for statistical analysis, including the Kruskal-Wallis test and the Mann-Whitney U test.

Results: All urine suPAR levels were elevated in SLE patients with active LN compared with patients with resolved LN and healthy controls (21.75 vs 4.93 and 5.09 ng/mL, respectively, $p < 0.0001$). suPAR levels were higher measured before than after the initiation of LN induction therapy in patients before resolution of active LN (29.46 vs 21.07 ng/mL, $p = 0.0122$). Prospective follow-up measurements also suggested that urine suPAR levels raised again in patients with a relapse of LN according to SLEDAI-2K renal domain score ($n = 3$; 5.45 vs 15.19 ng/mL, $p = 0.0127$).

Conclusions: Urine suPAR is a promising LN activity biomarker, given its isolated elevation in urine in active LN and pronounced decrease with LN improvement. It may potentially become a more convenient alternative to renal biopsy, the current gold standard of LN activity monitoring.

Acknowledgements: Attila Balog was supported by the János Bolyai Scholarship. This work was supported by the GINOP-2.3.2-15-2016-00 030 research fund.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-eular.2283

SAT0459

OCCURRENCE OF LYMPHOMA IN SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS: A CASE-SERIES OF 38 PATIENTS

T. Huscenot¹, A. Mathian¹, M. Martin², C. Deligny³, J.-S. Allain⁴, M. Pha¹, N. Costedoat-Chalumeau⁵, V. Le Guern⁵, G. Pugnet⁶, F. Cohen-Aubart¹, C. Lavigne⁷, J. Haroche¹, M. Hié¹, M. Roriz⁸, S. Mouly⁸, M. Samson⁹, H. Devilliers⁹, B. Bonnotte⁹, M. Versini¹⁰, P.-Y. Jeandel¹⁰, A.-S. Korganow², T. Martin², V. Leblond¹¹, F. Charlotte¹¹, S. Choquet¹¹, Z. Amoura¹.
¹Service de Médecine Interne, centre de référence Lupus et Syndrome des Antiphospholipides, Hôpital La Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris; ²CHRU de Strasbourg, Strasbourg; ³CHU de Martinique, Martinique; ⁴CHU de Rennes, Rennes; ⁵Hôpital Cochin, Paris; ⁶CHU Purpan, Toulouse; ⁷CHU de Angers, Angers; ⁸Groupe Hospitalier Lariboisière-Fernand Vidal, Paris; ⁹CHU de Dijon, Dijon; ¹⁰CHU de Nice, Nice; ¹¹Hôpital la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

Background: Patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) are at higher risk than the general population of developing lymphoma. Relatively little is known about the risk factors, the treatment and the outcome of lymphoma in SLE.

Objectives: We aimed to describe a cohort of patients suffering from lymphoma in the setting of SLE and to study the risk factors of developing this complication.

Methods: We collected clinical data of SLE patients with confirmed lymphoma in a multicentric and retrospective study. SLE patients were eligible for the study if they fulfilled at least 4 of the 1997 ACR criteria for SLE. Exclusion criteria were HIV or C hepatitis infection.

Results: We included 38 patients (34 women and 4 men) coming from 10 different French University Hospitals. The lymphoma occurred after the diagnosis of SLE for 35 patients, with a median (range) time of 8.8 years (0–39). In their past or present medical history, 11 (29%) had a haematological involvement (5 immune thrombocytopenias, 4 autoimmune hemolytic anemias and 2 patients with both). Nine patients (24%) had associated Sjögren syndrome. 22 patients (58%) had a polyclonal hypergammaglobulinemia. Before the occurrence of the lymphoma, 18 patients (47%) had received an immunosuppressant during a median (range) period of 67 months. 6–195 17 patients had an indolent B cell lymphoma (IBCL), 14 a high-grade B cell lymphoma (11 diffuse large B cell lymphoma [DLBCL]; 2 a primary DLBCL of the central nervous system; and 1 an iatrogenic immunodeficiency-associated lymphoproliferative disorder), 5 a Hodgkin's disease (HD), and 2 a T cell lymphoma. The EBER *in situ* hybridization stain was positive in 7 of the 13 patients assessed (3/3 HD, 3/7 DLBCL and 1/2 IL) and was not associated with the immunosuppressant prescription. The median (range) of the survey after the lymphoma was 28.5 month (0–235.1). The 14 DLBCL patients except 1 were treated with chemotherapy: 4 died, 9 were in complete remission and 1 was in progression. Regarding the 17 IBCL, 9 patients were treated with chemotherapy, 2