Gradual tapering TNF blockers versus conventional synthetic DMARDs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in sustained remission: First year results of the randomised controlled Tara-study
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Background: Clinical and radiographic outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) have improved enormously in the last two decades, due to early detection of the disease, early initiation of ‘intensive’ therapy and a treat-to-target approach. As a result, 50%–60% of early RA patients will achieve sustained remission during the first year of follow-up. In aforementioned cases current guidelines recommend to consider tapering treatment, but an optimal approach to gradually de-escalate conventional synthetic or biologic DMARDs (respectively csDMARDs and bDMARDs) is currently lacking.

Objectives: The aim of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of two tapering strategies, namely gradual tapering of csDMARDs and anti-TNF therapy during one year of follow-up.

Conclusions: For the first time, we showed that the response rate of switchers with low adalimumab concentrations was comparable to biological-naive patients, whereas switchers with intermediate and high concentrations responded worse. Therefore, drug concentration assessment may optimise switching, as it helps to identify those patients that may benefit from a second TNFi.

Methods: In this multicenter single-blinded randomised controlled trial RA patients in sustained remission for at least 3 consecutive months, defined as a DAS≤2.4 and a swollen joint count (SJC)≤1, which was achieved with csDMARDs and a TNF blocker were included. Eligible patients were randomised into gradual tapering csDMARDs followed by the TNF blocker or vice versa. Medication was tapered in three steps over the course of 6 months. Gradual tapering was done by cutting the dosage into half, a quarter and thereafter it was stopped. The primary outcome for the clinical effectiveness was disease flare defined as DAS44 >2.4 and/or SJC>1. Secondary outcomes were quality of life and functional ability.

Results: A total of 187 patients were randomly assigned to tapering csDMARDs (n=93) or tapering anti-TNF (n=94). Patients had an average symptom duration of 6.7 years and were predominantly female (66%) with an average age of 56.4 years (figure 1A). The cumulative flare ratio in the csDMARD and anti-TNF tapering group was respectively 32% and 41% (figure 1B), which corresponds with a hazard ratio of 0.91 (95% CI: 0.68 to 1.22, p=0.55). In the last 3 months the increase in cumulative flare ratio differs the most between the two groups. On the other hand, in 48% and 51% of patients respectively tapering csDMARDs or anti-TNF the medication could be completely withdrawn (figure 1C). Percentages of patients which are not completely tapered are higher than the flare ratios, due to loss of remission without a disease flare. Furthermore, mean DAS and mean HAQ over time, and after 1 year, did not differ between both tapering groups (figure 1D and E).

Conclusions: There were no significant differences in flare ratios, disease activity and functional ability between both tapering strategies during the first year of follow-up. Therefore, in RA patients who are in sustained remission we advise to taper anti-TNF first, but before tapering therapy rheumatologists should take the risk of a disease flare and patient’s wishes into account.
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development. Using data of 110 RA patients treated with infliximab (IFX), we previously reported that ANA development along with ANA levels at base line were associated with treatment response. However, no replication studies have been reported. In addition, whether the findings are true to general biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) is uncertain.

Objectives: To replicate an association between poor response and development of ANA during IFX treatment in patients with RA. To analyse whether the association is found in other bDMARDs.

Methods: We analysed a dataset of IFX. However, no replication studies have been reported. In addition, whether the findings are true to general biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) is uncertain.

Results: We found that ANA development at 3 months after starting bDMARDs was significantly associated with cessation of bDMARDs due to insufficient response within a year (OR 3.70, p=0.037). We further found that RA patients who did not develop ANA at 3 months but developed ANA within a year showed a significant association with treatment failure between 12 and 24 months (OR 7.11, p=0.044). ANA levels at baseline showed significant association with or tendency of insufficient response in both situations (OR 1.21 and 1.69, respectively), independently on ANA development. We still found associations of ANA development after conditioning on IFX usage, indicating that the associations are not limited to IFX. Female was associated with ANA development (OR 1.85) and high levels of vitamin D at baseline (OR 2.41, p=0.006), which is consistent with previous data in healthy population. bDMARDs use (OR 2.09, p=0.056) was also a risk for ANA development. Among bDMARDs, anti-TNF agents, especially IFX, were risk factors of ANA development (OR 6.34, p<0.0001).

Conclusions: ANA development during treatment is associated with poor response to bDMARDs, which is not limited to IFX. Female and IFX usage are risk factors for ANA development.
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Background: Although treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) has significantly improved during the past decades, many patients do not adequately respond or become resistant to current treatments. It is currently unknown why some patients respond well and others do not, and how the response rate could be improved. Vitamin D has strong immunomodulatory properties and it has been shown RA patients have a lower serum 25(OH)D level than healthy individuals. Moreover, vitamin D levels are correlated with disease severity. Interestingly, in vitro studies have shown that vitamin D induces the suppressive effects of etanercept in a simplified model for synovial inflammation. This suggests that vitamin D could improve the therapeutic response to etanercept in RA patients.

Objectives: To investigate if etanercept response is related to serum vitamin D (25(OH)D) levels in RA patients.

Methods: For this study, data were used from the IREACH trial, a multicenter stratified single blind randomised clinical trial. RA patients, according to the 2010 classification criteria, who started with etanercept within the first 12 months of the study were included in the analysis. Serum vitamin D (25(OH)D) levels were determined at the start of treatment (Tstart) and 3 months later using the LIAISON 25 OH Vitamin D TOTAL assay. Correlation coefficients between vitamin D levels and the disease activity score (DAS) were calculated. Treatment response was determined with the EULAR response criteria, and difference in response rates was assessed using Chi-Square tests.

Results: 91 patients started etanercept in the first 12 months of the study, of which 24 did not have serum for 25(OH)D measurements at both start of treatment and three months later. Therefore, a total of 67 patients was included in this study, of which 82% was female. At baseline, 45 (67%) and 48 (73%) were positive for rheumatoid factor and anti-citrullinated protein antibodies, respectively. DAS after etanercept treatment was weakly inversely correlated with serum 25(OH)D after treatment (r=-0.29, p=0.02) and the change in 25(OH)D during treatment (r=-0.25, p=0.04). After correcting for DAS and serum 25(OH)D at the start of treatment the aforementioned correlations were still found. Importantly, EULAR response rate was significantly lower in patients who were vitamin D-deficient at the start of treatment (34.6% vs 59.4%) and in patients with decreasing 25(OH)D levels during treatment (39.2% vs 57.7%) (figure 1).

Conclusions: RA patients with a serum 25(OH)D level below 50 nmol/L at the start of etanercept treatment or a decreasing level during treatment have a lower EULAR response rate. Therefore, increasing serum 25(OH)D level in vitamin D-deficient patients may be important to achieve optimal effects of TNFβ blocking therapy.
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Background: Previous ecological data from the UK and Denmark suggest a decline in the incidence of joint replacements for PA patients following the introduction of tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi). However, patient-level data on the comparative effectiveness of TNFi compared to conventional synthetic DMARD (csDMARD) use on the need for total hip (THR) or knee (TKR) replacement are lacking.

Objectives: To estimate the impact of TNFi use on subsequent need for THR or TKR (primary outcomes) or other joint replacement (OJr) (secondary outcome) in patients with RA.

Methods: A propensity score (PS) matched cohort was analysed using the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Registry (2001 – 2016) for Rheumatoid Arthritis (BSRBR/RA) data. Exclusion criteria were: previous THR or TKR (all analyses) or OJR (secondary analysis), less than 6 months follow-up, prevalent biological DMARD use, or first biological DMARD use that was not a TNFi. Patients were followed from date of registration up to the earliest of date of outcome, death, loss-to-follow-up or change of TNFi exposure status (stopping, switching or starting).