Disease activity in ankylosing spondylitis: the global therapeutic target
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Spondyloarthritis (SpA) is a multifaceted disease with frequent predominant axial involvement.1 Typical sacroiliac radiographic changes allow to classify the patients as ankylosing spondylitis (AS). Imaging is able to classify patients as AS or non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) and illustrate and recognize the several steps from inflammation to structural damage, particularly in sacroiliac joints and spine. For decades, these radiographic findings have been the cornerstone for the classification and diagnosis of the disease.1 Contrary to other chronic rheumatic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, radiographic progression over time is only of limited interest as an outcome measure of the disease in the follow-up of patients with AS in current practice. In fact, radiographic progression is slow and does not even occur in all patients, has a low sensitivity to change over time and is associated with unidirectional evolution without regression. The tool used in current research to quantify the structural damage of the spine in AS is the modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spinal Score (mSASSS), which gives higher scores for ossification and bridging of the vertebral (which may represent a repair mechanism) than for erosive (‘inflammatory’) changes. Advanced structural changes are associated with functional and spinal mobility impairment.2

During the last decade, the use of anti-TNF agents has represented a major breakthrough in the treatment of patients with AS and with SpA in general as well.3 But, whereas they demonstrated high effectiveness in controlling signs and symptoms of the disease (including extra-articular manifestations, quality of life, productivity), the attempts to illustrate/demonstrate a potential reduction in radiographic progression under TNF inhibition (using mSASSS over a 2-year period and comparison to a historical cohort of patients with AS not treated with TNF blockers) have failed.4 Several potential risk factors for radiographic progression in AS have been suggested, such as smoking, elevated C reactive protein (CRP) levels, low non steroidal anti inflammatory drug (NSAID) intake, baseline presence of syndesmophytes, high scores for disease activity and various biomarkers (vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), calprotectin, adipokines).5

In Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, Molnar et al6 evaluated radiographic progression in AS, using the database of the Swiss cohort patients with AS and spine radiographic follow-up every 2 years, although this analysis was based in about 2/3 of the patients on only one radiographic interval of 2 years. This study included 432 with long-standing, real-life classical AS patients with AS and syndesmophytes, and 616 intervals with two consecutive X-rays and used a statistical model adjusted for the potential factors associated with radiographic progression of the spine and a model adjusted for ASDAS (Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score) value before start of anti-TNF agents. In multivariable analysis, prior anti-TNF treatment was associated with a reduction by 50% of the odds for radiographic progression (defined as an increase of at least 2 units of the mSASSS or appearance of at least one new syndesmophyte in 2 years) during the next 2-year interval. Their results suggest that a longer duration of anti-TNF exposition is associated with a stronger protective effect. Moreover, using the above-mentioned model, they found that this effect seems to be mediated through the control of disease activity; patients with an ASDAS less or equal 1.3 (inactive disease) under anti-TNF treatment did not show radiographic progression at all.

Several aspects from this study should be discussed.

First, this study shows an effect of TNF-blocker therapy on structural damage in the spine with a fair level of evidence. Previous reports suggested a potential relationship, using retrospective analysis over a long period,6–8 but in the absence of a controlled study (that would probably never been performed), confirmation applying sophisticated statistical models is of value.

This kind of study with results drawn from retrospective data analysis demonstrates the usefulness of well-built cohorts; several are available, and some focused on early stages of the disease,9 10 11 with promising forthcoming results. Moreover, this study gives the opportunity for validation of a definition of radiographic progression (at least 2 mSASSS units over 2 years) and validation of an operational ASDAS cut-off (less or equal 1.3) for remission or inactive disease in real life.12 13 These are useful tools for further studies and in clinical settings as well as in case of the ASDAS.

Second, the results of this study underline the importance of controlling disease activity, thus confirming previous studies suggesting such a relationship between disease activity, measured by CRP14 or ASDAS15 16 and radiographic progression on a cross-sectional level. Regarding the association disease activity-radiographic progression, the observation of non-progression after reaching remission may represent an argument of a link of causality between these two, also suggested by the association between dose tapering of TNF inhibitors and more rapid progression in AS patients with syndesmophytes.17 However, suppression of clinical disease activity by long-term TNF-blockers might be more relevant than radiographic progression for clinical outcome parameters such as function and spinal mobility.18

The results of the study by Molnar et al6 raise the question: can the equation/association ‘induced remission leading to absence of radiographic evolution’ be extrapolated to other treatments with different mode of action, such as NSAIDs or other biologics and to other subsets of SpA? This needs to be demonstrated.19 20

Finally, these data give sense to a treat-to-target (T2T) strategy11 with benefit for signs and symptoms and for structural damage as well and, as a consequence of this, on function, even in more advanced diseases. Clinical remission reached by an effective anti-inflammatory treatment such as TNF-blockers may lead to non-progression of structural damage, particularly in case
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of early initiation, since bone formation seems secondary to local inflammation.

In conclusion, the results of this study represent a plea for a tight control of disease activity in AS and potentially in SpA in general as well, assessed by the ASDAS. There is now more and more evidence that remission/inactive disease defined by an ASDAS <1.3 is a worthwhile treatment (‘T2T’) aim with long-term consequences. ASDAS is easy to evaluate and to use in current practice compared with radiographic scoring. This defines a clear target for the therapeutic strategies in axial SpA.
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