Scientific Abstracts Thursday, 15 June 2017 459

THU0668 RELIABILITY OF PATIENT GLOBAL ASSESSMENT IN RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS PATIENTS

G. Kageyama¹, A. Onishi², Y. Ueda², J. Saegusa², A. Morinobu². ¹Department of Rheumatology, Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki General Medical Center, Amagasaki; ²Department of Rheumatology, Kobe University Hospital, Kobe, Japan

Background: Patient's global assessment (PtGA) is one of the most widely used patient reported outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) that reflects both disease activity and other factors. PtGA is onymous and obtained at hospital, which may cause a conscious or unconscious bias, whereas PtGA obtained anonymously may be free from any bias. The credibility of PtGA to report RA patient outcomes has been usually investigated by assessing test-retest reliability. There has been no study comparing routine PtGA and PtGA where patients answered anonymously.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to compare routinely obtained in-hospital PtGA before clinical examination with those answered anonymously at home. Additionally, physician's global assessment (PhyGA) was compared with routine PtGA and anonymized PtGA

Methods: We asked RA patients (n=389) to answer and mail the PtGA test anonymously, Clinical data regarding disease duration, stage, class, swollen joint counts, tender joint counts, pain visual analog scale (VAS), PhyGA, Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ), EuroQOL five dimensions questionnaire (EQ5D), Kessler 6 scale (anxiety/ depression), treatment data, laboratory data, and socioeconomic factors were collected simultaneously. We compared the PtGA that is routinely surveyed at hospital before clinical examination with those surveyed anonymously at home. We calculated a discrepancy score by subtracting anonymized PtGA from routine in-hospital PtGA. We defined (1) positive discrepancy when routine PtGA was over-rated relative to the anonymized PtGA; (2) negative discrepancy when routine in-hospital PtGA was under-rated relative to the anonymized PtGA.

Results: The anonymized PtGA score was higher than routinely evaluated in-hospital PtGA (p<0.0001). The anonymized PtGA poorly correlated with routine in-hospital PtGA (r=0.426, p<0.0001). We compared patients who had discordance between in-hospital PtGA and anonymized PtGA. We used 3 models in which the discordance between both PtGAs was set at 10 mm, 20 mm, or 30 mm. If we adopted 30 mm as discordance, the pain scale remained to be a risk factor of positive discrepancy (higher in-hospital PtGA than anonymized PtGA). If we adopted 20 mm or 10 mm as discordance, the pain scale remained to be a risk factor of positive discrepancy and remaining low quality of life (QOL) negative discrepancy (lower in-hospital PtGA than anonymized PtGA) after multivariate analysis. The discordance between PhyGA and routine PtGA are associated with high pain VAS. The discordance between PhyGA and anonymized PtGA is associated with tender joint counts, swollen joint counts, and low QOL.

Discordance Model	Difference between in-hospital PtGA and anonymized PtGA				
Discordance Model	30mm	20mm	10mm		
Household income	-0.074 ± 0.18	0.14 ± 0.14	0.09 ± 0.082		
Married (yes)	1.0 ± 1.2	1.3 ± 1.0	-0.057 ± 0.06		
Tender Joint Counts	-0.3 ± 0.3	-0.12 ± 0.18	0.011 ± 0.14		
Swollen Joint Counts	0.5 ± 0.66	0.32 ± 0.37	0.2 ± 0.18		
CRP (mg/dl)	-0.42 ± 0.51	-0.26 ± 0.40	-0.18 ± 0.26		
Pain VAS	0.11 ± 0.035 **	0.12 ± 0.026 ***	0.081 ± 0.013 ***		
Physician's Global Assessment	0.028 ± 0.066	-0.017 ± 0.045	-0.0089 ± 0.026		
EQ5D	0.093 ± 0.056	0.093 ± 0.037 *	0.043 ± 0,018 *		
K6 scale(Anxiety/Depression)	-0.15 ± 0.14	0.0027 ± 0.091	0.56 ± 0.59		

Value are expressed as Estimate ± Standard Error. * p<0.05. *** p<0.001

Conclusions: Discrepancy exists between routine in-hospital PtGA and anonymized PtGA.

The high pain VAS scale and low QOL are risk factors that could make the difference between routine PtGA from anonymized PtGA. There is a possibility that high pain VAS score and low QOL influence the reliability of PtGA.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-eular.1488

THU0669 THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN HARRIS HIP SCORE AND DISEASE ACTIVITY OR HIP MRI FEATURES IN ANKYLOSING SPONDYLITIS

Z. Wu 1 , L. He 2 , M. Yang 3 , Y. Liu 4 , D. He 5 , Y. Zhang 6 , C. Wang 7 , $\underline{\text{H. Xu}}{}^8$. ¹ Department of Rheumatology, Xijing Hospital; ² Department of Rheumatology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'An JiaoTong University Hospital, Xi'An; ³Department of Rheumatology, Nanfang Hospital, Guangzhou; ⁴Department of Rheumatology, West China Hospital, Sichuang; 5 Department of Rheumatology, GuangHua Hospital, Shanghai; ⁶ Department of Rheumatology, Tangdu Hospital; ⁷Medical Affairs, Xian-Janssen Pharmaceutical Ltd, Xi'An; ⁸Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Shanghai Changzheng Hospital, Shanghai,

Background: Hip involvement is a common clinical feature observed in ankylosing spondylitis (AS) patients and it often leads to substantial restriction of the body functions.1 Hip involvement in AS is mainly assessed by radiographic changes, clinical symptoms or MRI. The Harris hip score (HHS) is a valuable assessment

tool to measure health status of AS patients. However, the relationship between HHS and other clinical indices is unknown.

Objectives: To evaluate relationship between HHS and other commonly used clinical indices.

Methods: In this multicentre, observational study, AS patients with hip clinical manifestation are enrolled and randomly assigned to infliximab treatment (group I, with/without DMARDs and/or NSAIDs) or conventional therapy (group II, DMARDs and/or NSAIDs). Primary endpoint: to compare functional improvement of hip joint (HHS) between two treatment groups (infliximab and conventional therapy) at week 30. Secondary endpoint: to compare disease activity and functional improvement of AS and radiologic progression of hip joint between two treatment groups at week 30 and 52. Association between baseline HHS and disease activity measures such as Bath ankylosing spondylitis (BAS)-functional index (BASFI), BAS disease activity index (BASDAI), AS disease activity score (ASDAS), CRP and ESR was analysed using Pearson correlation coefficient. BAS metrology index (BASMI) and hip imaging functions (MRI of hip, BAS radiology hip index [BASRI-h]) were analysed using independent two-sample t-test or ANOVA based on data characteristics

Results: Study is ongoing; currently, only baseline information is analyzed. Baseline demographics and disease characteristics did not show any significant difference between groups. Almost all baseline disease activity measures showed significant Pearson correlation (high correlation in BASFI=0.646) with HHS, except for BASDAI (table 1). Significant association between HHS and three MRI scores (articular cartilage stripping, bone destruction under joint surface, femoral head bone marrow cavity edema) and BASRI-h was shown (table 2). Also, significant correlation was shown between BASMI and HHS (F value [degree of freedom]=4.70 [4]; p=0.0022).

Table 1. Association between HHS and disease activity measures at baseline

	Mean (SD)	Pearson correlation-HHS	P Value
ASDAS	2.81 (0.904)	-0.449	0.0004
BASDAI	4.60 (1.109)	-0.169	0.1691
BASFI	3.79 (2.050)	-0.646	< 0.0001
CRP	12.270 (19.4810)	-0.348	0.0074
ESR	22.1 (24.06)	-0.386	0.0023

Table 2. Association between HHS and hip imaging functions at baseline

		N	HHS, mean (SD)	T-test (degree of freedom)	P value
Articular cartilage stripping	No	29	62.5 (9.13)	2.27 (54.97)	0.0271
	Yes	32	55.9 (13.39)		
Bone destruction under joint surface	No	22	63.8 (4.66)	3.08 (49.29)	0.0034
	Yes	38	56.2 (14.02)		
Femoral head bone marrow cavity edema	No	29	62.4 (9.37)	2.18 (58.00)	0.0332
	Yes	31	55.8 (13.46)		
BASRI-h (at least one Hip ≥1)	_	29	54.7 (14.01)	-3.00 (37.55)	0.0048

Conclusions: The baseline results of HHS were found to be well associated with disease activity scores like BASFI, ASDAS and BASMI and four hip imaging features in AS patients with hip involvement.

References:

[1] Jeong H, et al. Korean J Intern Med. 2017; 32(1): 158-164.

Disclosure of Interest: Z. Wu: None declared, L. He: None declared, M. Yang: None declared, Y. Liu: None declared, D. He: None declared, Y. Zhang: None declared, C. Wang Employee of: Xi'an Janssen pharmaceuticals Ltd., H. Xu: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-eular.3724

THU0670 DETECTION OF SERUM ANTI-DNASE I ANTIBODIES IN SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS USING IMPROVED **IMMUNOSORBENT ASSAY**

A.S. Trofimenko 1,2 , <u>I.P. Gontar</u> 1 , I.A. Zborovskaya 1 , L.N. Shilova 2 , E.G. Korenskaya 2 . $^{\overline{I}}$ Research Institute for Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology; ² Volgograd State Medical University, Volgograd, Russian

Background: Diagnosis of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a sophisticated problem in most of the disease cases. The reasons of this include common diagnostic markers of SLE (ANA, anti-dsDNA, anti-Sm), that are insufficiently reliable itself as well. One of possible ways to overcome these difficulties is searching for new SLE markers. These emerging diagnostic tools are not only to be valuable for diagnosis establishment, but also to provide economical efficiency and facility in common use.

Objectives: To compare diagnostic efficiency of anti-DNase I antibodies measured by conventional ELISA and by originally modified enzyme immunosorbent assay using magnetic polyacrylamide beads as an antigen carrier.

Methods: The research was carried out in agreement with the WMA Declaration of Helsinki principles, it was approved by the Regional Committee on Medical Ethics. All the patients signed the informed consent. We have enrolled 54 in-hospital adult patients with SLE, verified by the ACR criteria (1997). Control group (n=52) was comprised of patients with rheumatoid arthritis, systemic sclerosis, systemic vasculitides, dermatomyositis, and Sjogren's disease. Serum