of telecare of Rheumatology Nursing (CTCER) of our hospital and health care activity generated by this.

Methods: Design: Observational study cross.

Patients: 301 patients for follow-up were derived to CTCER in a hospital of third level of January - November 2016. Our hospital serves a population of 600,000 people.

Protocol: We have a specific document for referral to CTCER where the rheumatologist specifies the reason for referral, the nurse review period and diagnosis. Nurse appointment the patient in its agenda for the telephone follow-up on the date indicated and recorded in the history of the patient efforts made the day of the appointment. The most common medical efforts making the nurse are preset by consensus with rheumatologists. Coming out than expected are reviewed with responsible for the patient's rheumatologist.

Variables analyzed: diagnosis, reason shunt type of FAME, adverse events, appearance of Comorbidities, nurse management, new problems after telephone consultation.

Statistical analysis: descriptive analysis of the main variables.

Results: Of the 301 patients, 68.4% were women. The diagnoses were: rheumatoid arthritis (RA) 116 (38.5%), spondyloarthropathy (SpA) 34 (11.3%), psoriatic arthritis (PsA) 45 (15%), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (18.9%), vasculitis 1 (0.3%), arthritis juvenile idiopathic (AJI) 5 (1.7%), Still's disease adult 3 (1%), osteoporosis 4 (1.3%), 4 undifferentiated arthritis (1.3%). Them reasons of referral were: control to the month of home of FAME synthetic 120 (39.9%), Control to the home of FAME biological 28 (9.3%), review consultations 110 (36.5%), control toxicity hepatic 17 (5.6%), control alteration hematologic 7 (2.3%), control alteration renal 1 (0.3%), control of security of treatment Mycophenolate 4 (1.3%), control of safety of Teriparatide 6 (2%), wish gestational 1 (0.3%), analytical control not performed in consultation 13 (4.3%) (Table1). The most derived synthetic FAME was methotrexate 174 (57.5%) and the most derived biological FAME was etanercept 16 (5.3%) (table2). Nurse managed bypass autonomously 298 (99%) referrals, need help of the rheumatologist in 2 (0.7%) referrals and having only 1 shunt derived to 2017. 17 (5.6%) leads new problems with the call appeared: intolerance digestive 11 (3.7%), headache 3 (1%), worsening 1 (0.3%), moderate 1 infection (0.3%), poor adhesion 3 (1%), new comorbidity 3 (1%).

Conclusions: The inquiry of telecare is a form of collaborative work rheumatologist-nurse. The nurse can manage leads independently without having to go to your rheumatologist. This type of nurse call brings improvements in patient care, prevents displacement, decreased on-site visits and improves the safety of patients.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-eular.1674

THU0767-HPR ADVANCED PRACTICE MUSCULOSKELETAL PHYSIOTHERAPY SERVICES: A NATIONAL EVALUATION

O. Fennelly¹, C. Blake¹, O. Fitzgerald^{2,3}, R. Breen^{2,4}, A. Brennan^{2,5}, J. Ashton^{2,6}, C. Cunningham¹ on behalf of The National Clinical Programmes for Rheumatology and Orthopaedics. ¹School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Sports Science, University College Dublin; ²National Clinical Programme for Rheumatology, Royal College of Physicians; ³Department of Rheumatology, St. Vincent's University Hospital; ⁴Health Service Executive, HSE; ⁵Physiotherapy, AMNCH; ⁶Physiotherapy, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

Background: Patients with musculoskeletal (MSK) disorders may remain on lengthy hospital outpatient waiting lists to be reviewed by a consultant doctor, although medical or surgical intervention may not be required (1,2). In 2012, a waiting list initiative saw the introduction of Advanced Practice Physiotherapists (APPs) across 16 hospitals in Ireland. APPs triage and manage patients awaiting a consultant doctor appointment, who are deemed non-urgent or unlikely to require surgery on screening of referral letters. APP scope of practice generally involves some traditionally medical-controlled acts such as: administering injections, ordering investigations/imaging, surgical listing and onward referral to hospital specialities; and depending on consultant doctor availability, their input may be sought on clinical decisions if required.

Objectives:

- Profile the national APP patient caseload
- Establish the clinical outcomes of APP consultations

Methods: A national database was established with all APPs (n=22) submitting patient data for 2014. These data were analysed using descriptive statistics.

Table 1. Outcomes of APP Consultations

Clinical Outcome	Service Users (n=16,577) n (%)
Physiotherapy*	6,715 (41)
Clinical Investigations	4,833 (29)
Clinical Imaging ^a	1,865 (27)
Orthopaedic/Rheumatology Services [†]	2,818 (18)
Other Hospital Speciality [‡]	563 (4)
MSK Injection	685 (4)
Surgical listing	404 (2)

Note: Other clinical outcomes included discharge. Clinical Imaging was added to the database from August to December inclusive. Valid data: ^an=7,009, [†]n=16,194, [‡]n=15,557.

Results: Data showed that APPs assessed 13,981 new patients, who presented most commonly with MSK disorders of the knee (n=3,096), lumbar spine (n=2,926) and shoulder (n=1,945) (Fig. 1) and the median wait time was 167 days (IQR 91–316). Including an additional 2,596 return appointments, the most common clinical outcomes were physiotherapy and/or clinical investigations (Table 1), and clinical decisions were made independently by the APP in 77% (n=11,728) of recorded cases (n=15,189).

Figure 1. APP MSK Caseload (n=13,367)

Conclusions: APP services provide a more efficient MSK clinical pathway and reduce demands on the Consultant Doctor services. Collection of National Data enables ongoing service evaluation and monitoring of key performance indicators.

References:

- [1] Wood, L., Hendrick, P., Boszczyk, B. & Dunstan, E. 2016. A review of the surgical conversion rate and independent management of spinal extended scope practitioners in a secondary care setting. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*, 1–5.
- [2] Desmeules, F., Toliopoulos, P., Roy, J. S., Woodhouse, L.J., Lacelle, M., Leroux, M., Girard, S., Feldman, D.E. & Fernandes, J. C. 2013. Validation of an advanced practice physiotherapy model of care in an orthopaedic outpatient clinic. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 14, 162.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank the National Clinical Programmes and Health Service Executive for their funding and support of this project.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-eular.4300

THU0768-HPR PHONE FOLLOW-UP PROGRAM ENHANCES TREATMENT SAFETY AND DRUG CONCORDANCE ON DISEASE MODIFYING ANTI-RHEUMATIC DRUGS (DMARDs) THERAPY FOR RHEUMATOLOGY PATIENTS

P.F. Lee, Y.S. Cheung, C.K. Lam, M.C. Leung, C.M. Chan, W.L. Ng. *United Christian Hospital, Hospital Authority, Hong Kong, Hong Kong*

Background: DMARDs are beneficial for a number of rheumatic conditions. However, treatment effect may take a few weeks. On the other hand, patients may experience adverse effects (AEs) early on and they may not be able to follow complicated dosage titration regimen. In order to enhance treatment safety and empower self-management, rheumatic disease patients requiring initiation or change of DMARDs were recruited to a rheumatology nurse phone follow-up program.

Objectives: (1) To evaluate the service outcomes of Rheumatology Nurse Phone follow-up Program and (2) explore the factors that may influence treatment adherence

Methods: Upon initiation of DMARDs therapy at out-patient clinic or before hospital discharge, patients and/or their caregivers will be counseled for the new treatment plan and self-management knowledge by rheumatology nurse. A telephone follow-up by rheumatology nurse will be arranged within 4 weeks to monitor patients' condition. Treatment responses, AEs, drug concordance of patients and advice given in each phone consultation (PC) were recorded. Retrospective case review was performed.

Results: There were 1230 episodes of PC performed by rheumatology nurse in 2015. 180 episodes of PC involved 76 patients (56 female) were randomly selected. The average number of PC was 2.4 times per case. The mean age of patient was 58 (24–85) years. Disease categories mainly involved rheumatoid arthritis (60.5%), systemic lupus erythematosus (7.9%), spondyloarthritis (5.3%) and gout (3.9%). The most common DMARDs prescribed were methotrexate (40.8%), hydroxychloroquine (27.6%), sulphasalazine (22.4%) and 39.5% of the study cases have received steroid courses. Among the 76 patients, 8 (10.5%) have taken wrong dosage and another 4 (5.3%) patients have not started therapy due to worries about potential AEs. Altogether 40 patients (52.6%) reported AEs after starting DMARDs. The most common AEs were rash, itchiness, dizziness, alopecia and oral ulcers. For non-adherence behaviour, 8 patients (10.5%) have self-stopped their medication and another 7 patients (9.2%) have self-adjusted the medication respectively. Eventually 90% of the cases were able to continue therapy with or without adjustment of regimes. Only 7 cases (9.2%) required interruption of current treatment or switching to other DMARDs due to AEs within the study period.

Conclusions: Rheumatology nurse phone follow-up program provided a timely and convenient platform to increase patient understanding of disease and treatment, improve their self-efficacy and enhance safety and concordance with DMARDs treatment.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-eular.4421

THU0769-HPR SCOPE FOR BIOLOGIC PRESCRIBING COST SAVING INITIATIVES WITHIN THE RHEUMATOLOGY DEPARTMENT

R. Heaton¹, J. Nasralla¹, A. Ismail¹, A. Brooks², L. Mercer¹, C. Filer¹.

¹Rheumatology; ²Pharmacy, Stepping Hill Hospital, Stockport, United Kingdom

Background: The rheumatology department at Stepping Hill Hospital prescribes biologic treatment for 400 inflammatory arthritis patients via external Homecare services. Significant resultant drug waste in the event of drug discontinuation is anticipated.¹ The initiation of a pro-active telephone call at three months, to capture and act upon primary inefficacy and non-tolerance has been considered as a potential alternative method for waste reduction.

Objectives: Establish the baseline level of biologic drug waste (via Homecare) following cessation of biologic treatment. Review whether a proactive phone call (and subsequent limitation of supply if appropriate) prior to the three month review at the start of biologic therapy can help reduce biologic waste. Establish whether there is scope to implement other initiatives to reduce waste in the department.

Methods: Patients who had stopped or switched biologic treatments delivered through Homecare providers were identified using the trust's biologic database and clinic records. Information on biologic delivery quantities and schedule were provided by Homecare companies. Data was analysed using Microsoft Excel[®]; number (and cost in accordance with pharmacy tariffs) of doses "wasted" was calculated by referring the date of treatment cessation with the date and quantity of last biologics deliveries and patient stock levels as reported by the homecare company. Doses obtained free of charge were excluded. Baseline data was captured over a six month period. Four costs were calculated; (1) Total waste. (2) Wasted supply exceeding two months to assess whether an increase in delivery frequency to two monthly could reduce waste. (3) Waste from unopened deliveries to establish whether waste could be reduced by improved patient education around refusing deliveries in the event of treatment failure or intolerance. (4) Waste from the second biologic prescription issued as a result of stopping biologic at the three month review to assess viability of the proactive phone. A proactive patient phone call was then initiated and waste data captured for a three month period following this intervention.

Results: 27 patients stopped treatment during the 6 months baseline data collection. 23 patients had drug waste totalling £ 32,140.80. The total value of wasted stock exceeding two months supply was £ 5,414.36. Three patients accepted deliveries for further supply and stopped treatment before opening final deliveries, creating a waste total of £ 5,509.09. Four patients stopped treatment at their three month review, £ 4,572.22 of additional biologic was supplied and then wasted as a result. Following the pro-active phone call intervention, 21 patients were contacted before their second supply was due and supply subsequently limited for 8 patients, four of whom stopped treatment at their next consultant review. Limiting supply in the four patients saved £ 6,682.

Conclusions: Initiating a proactive phone call at three months following biologic initiation can reduce drug waste. Other initiatives such as patient education to refuse deliveries and increasing delivery frequency also appear viable waste reduction initiatives.

References:

- [1] Whiteman J, McVeigh O, Watters M. Hospital Pharmacy and the Pharmaceutical Industry Collaborate to Reduce Waste of Biologic Medicine. *Rheumatology*. 2016 Apr 1;55.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-eular.6333

THU0770-HPR COST SAVINGS BY FAVOURING INFLIXIMAB BIOSIMILARS IN THE EASTERN REGION OF AUSTRIA

B. Reichardt¹, G. Reiter¹, T. Stamm², V. Nell-Duxneuner³.

¹Behandlungswirtschaft, BGKK, Eisenstadt; ²Section for Outcomes Research, Center for Medical Statistics, Informatics, and Intelligent Systems, Vienna;

³Klinikum Peterhof and Ludwig Boltzmann Department for Epidemiology of rheumatic diseases, NöGKK, Baden, Austria

Objectives: Since April 2015, the availability of Infliximab biosimilars offered a new potential for cost savings in limited financial resources of the healthcare system. In Austria, there is currently no open tendering for drugs dispensed at charge of sickness funds and biosimilars take a long time to gain an appreciable market share overall. A contract made between the public hospital operator and the regionale sickness fund of the Eastern Austrian county Burgenland (BGKK) offered a new opportunity regarding the interface problems due to the dually financed (inpatient and outpatient care is separately financed in Austria) health system. Only outpatient care is covered by regional sickness funds.

Methods: With reference to the NOR-SWITCH study (1), confirming the interchangeability of the branded Infliximab and infliximab biosimilar, and the large

price advantage of the latter, the BGKK agreed as an exception in the Austrian health system on direct reimbursement of infliximab to the public hospital operator (inpatient care).

The cost savings were calculated based on the monthly invoices of the hospitals. Instead of € 477.19 for 100 mg of branded infliximab, the price for biosimilar infliximab does not exceed € 300.–. The 23 patients on biosimilar were infused with 9,400 mg infliximab overall.

Results: After signing the agreement in December 2016, 23 consecutive patients, representing 82% of all patients on infliximab in Eastern Austrian county Burgenland, switched to or were incident users of an infliximab biosimilar, the 18% have not yet switched due to remaining stocks of branded infliximab or were adolescents where switching is not forced because of lacking data. According to the individual dosing and a price benefit of about 37%, monthly cost savings of about € 16,650.00 could be generated.

Conclusions: Despite availability of cost-effective infliximab biosimilars in Austria, the drugs did not gain significant market share, in contrast to the Scandinavian healthcare systems, <4% vs. >90% in the first half year of 2016. Further research is needed including clinical data to strengthen the results of this pilot study.

References:

- [1] Jørgensen, K. et al. Biosimilar infliximab is not inferior to originator infliximab: Results from the 52-week randomized NOR-SWITCH trial. *United European Gastroenterology Week 2016*; LB15.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-eular.6202

THU0771-HPR INCORPORATING SENIOR PHARMACIST INPUT IN TERIPARATIDE PATHWAY ENSURES ADHERENCE TO PRESCRIBING GUIDANCE - AUDIT/QUALITY IMPROVEMENT RESULTS FROM A DISTRICT RHEUMATOLOGY UNIT

W. Gan, L. Bromilow, S. Vasireddy. *Bolton NHS Foundation Trust, Bolton, UK*

Background: Teriparatide is licensed for up to two years to treat severe osteoporosis. It is the most expensive osteoporosis treatment available (around £ 3500 per year). Hence a locally adapted national NICE guidance is used for prescribing in our department, but the previous level adherence was uncertain. In 2011, a directory of services was agreed for our metabolic bone clinic. At the time a Rheumatology senior pharmacist review with the prescribing senior clinician was agreed on our teriparatide treatment pathway, including pre-treatment DXA, and a specified bone profile screen before treatment and for repeat prescribing at specified intervals. The agreement also incorporated access for our patients to senior pharmacist advice if needed via the department's secretarial team.

Objectives: Our objective was to assess the impact of the senior pharmacist input on our adherence to the agreed guidelines in our Teriparatide pathway, including assessing treatment completion and response.

Methods: Patients with osteoporosis who were started on Teriparatide between 2011–2015 were identified from pharmacy prescribing spreadsheets. A retrospective review of case notes of all patients were carried out. Data including age, gender, prior agents tried, pre-treatment bone profile, pre and post treatment DXA, and treatment completion were collected on a Microsoft Excel 2010 spreadsheet for processing and descriptive statistics.

Results: 33 patients who were started on teriparatide treatment between 2011–2015 were identified (29 female and 4 male). Mean age was 76.2 (range 63–92). All had pre-treatment DXA, and 32 (97%) were compliant with recommendations for initiation of teriparatide treatment with respect to DXA (one patient borderline). All 33 patients had a pre-treatment bone profile within acceptable limits before start of treatment (adjusted Calcium, Serum Parathyroid Hormone, Vitamin D level, e-gfr). 28 (84.8%) patients tried one agent before initiation of Teriparatide treatment and 5 (15.2%) patients tried 2 agents. 24 (72.7%) patients completed the full course of recommended treatment. 11 out of 24 patients who completed Teriparatide treatment have had post-treatment DXA. 3 out of 24 patients who completed Teriparatide treatment had a fragility fracture after treatment.

Conclusions: This audit confirms the benefit of incorporating Rheumatology senior pharmacist review in the pathway from the excellent compliance with guidelines in initiating and managing Teriparatide noted in the results. This is likely to have also contributed to the high completion rate of the treatment course. However, only 11 out of 24 had post treatment DXA, and this needs improvement by the next audit cycle, through input of senior clinicians who are in charge of requesting DXA. We would, therefore, recommend incorporating senior pharmacist input for review in teriparatide treatment pathways routinely.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-eular.7031

THU0772-HPR VARIATION IN RHEUMATOLOGY NURSING CARE IN THE NETHERLANDS: A SURVEY AMONG NURSES

Y. Van Eijk-Hustings on behalf of Working Group Rheumatology Nursing Research. *Clinical epidemiology and medical technology assessment/Patient & Care, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, Netherlands*

Background: EULAR recommendations for the role of nurse aim at guaranteeing a certain standard of care for people with rheumatic musculoskeletal diseases